



N=400 2016 Iowa Republican presidential caucus voters

**FINAL**

Hello, this is \_\_\_\_\_ calling from Issues Research. We are conducting a short survey among voters in Iowa. We're not selling or promoting anything; our firm is a public opinion research firm and we conduct polls on issues.

A. Gender (by observation)

- 1 Male
- 2 Female

1. Are you registered to vote in Iowa?

- 1 Yes (continue)
- 2 No/DK (**terminate**)

2. How likely is it you will attend a Republican presidential caucus early next year?

- 1 Very likely (continue)
- 2 Somewhat likely (continue)
- 3 Somewhat unlikely (**terminate**)
- 4 Very unlikely (**terminate**)
- 5 Don't know (**terminate**)

3. Have you attended a Republican caucus in the past or will this be your first caucus?

- 1 Attended Republican caucus in the past
- 2 First caucus

Now, I'm going to mention some people who are possible or declared candidates for the Republican nomination for president. For each, please tell me if your feelings are very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable toward him or her. If you don't know enough about the person to answer, just say so. (**Randomize list**)

Very Fav	Smwt Fav	Smwt Unfav	Very Unfav	Don't Know
1	2	3	4	5

- 4. Jeb Bush
- 5. Ben Carson
- 6. Chris Christie
- 7. Ted Cruz
- 8. Carly Fiorina
- 9. Lindsey Graham
- 10. Mike Huckabee
- 11. Bobby Jindal
- 12. Rand Paul
- 13. Rick Perry
- 14. Marco Rubio

15. Rick Santorum
  16. Donald Trump
  17. Scott Walker
18. If the Republican caucuses were being held today and the following people were candidates for president, who would be your first choice? **If: “I am uncommitted” or “not sure” or “don’t know”, ask: “Toward which candidate would you lean today?” – code lean with choice. (Randomize names)**
- 1 Jeb Bush
  - 2 Ben Carson
  - 3 Chris Christie
  - 4 Ted Cruz
  - 5 Carly Fiorina
  - 6 Lindsey Graham
  - 7 Mike Huckabee
  - 8 Bobby Jindal
  - 9 Rand Paul
  - 10 Rick Perry
  - 11 Marco Rubio
  - 12 Rick Santorum
  - 13 Donald Trump
  - 14 Scott Walker
- 15 (Do not read) “I am uncommitted” and no choice for lean
  - 16 (Do not read) Not sure/Don’t Know and no choice for lean
  - 17 (Do not read) Refused

**ASK ALL**

19. Because a lot could happen between now and the Caucuses, what other candidates are you open to? **(Eliminate 1<sup>st</sup> choice in Q18 from options and read list only if necessary. Accept multiple responses)**
- 1 Jeb Bush
  - 2 Ben Carson
  - 3 Chris Christie
  - 4 Ted Cruz
  - 5 Carly Fiorina
  - 6 Lindsey Graham
  - 7 Mike Huckabee
  - 8 Bobby Jindal
  - 9 Rand Paul
  - 10 Rick Perry
  - 11 Marco Rubio
  - 12 Rick Santorum
  - 13 Donald Trump
  - 14 Scott Walker
- 15 (Do not read) Not sure/Don’t Know
  - 16 (Do not read) Refused

Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally? **(Randomize)**

**(For first one, and until respondent gets it, read issue and ask)** Considering all the issues, is this one you consider to be critically important, among those that are important, or not among the important ones to you personally?

	Critically Important 1	Among important 2	Not among important 3
20.	Social issues such as abortion and traditional marriage		
21	education		
22	jobs and the economy		
23	immigration		
24	taxes and government spending		
25	foreign policy and national security		
26	health care		

Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her? **(Randomize in same order as Qs 20-26 for respondent)**

	Yes, Could disagree 1	No, Could NOT disagree 2	Unsure 3
27.	Social issues such as abortion and traditional marriage		
28	education		
29	jobs and the economy		
30	immigration		
31	taxes and government spending		
32	foreign policy and national security		
33	health care		

Now I'd like to ask a few more questions on the topic of immigration...

34. Which comes closest to your own view about undocumented immigrants living in the U.S. **(rotate 1-3 top to bottom, bottom to top)**
- 1 They should be allowed to stay in the U.S. and, after meeting requirements like a background check and paying fines, they should eventually be allowed to apply for citizenship.
  - 2 They should be allowed to stay in the U.S. and, after meeting requirements like a background check and paying fines, they should eventually be allowed to stay in the U.S. legally, but not be eligible for citizenship.
  - 3 They should be required to leave the U.S.
  - 4 (Do not read) unsure

**If punch 3 "required to leave US" in Q34, ask:**

- 34A. If you knew it would cost taxpayers an estimated \$400 to \$600 billion dollars and take up to 20 years to deport 11 million undocumented immigrants would you still support taking this action?"

- 1 Yes, Still support
- 2 No, would not support
- 3 Don't know

For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty. **(Do not randomize)**

35. An executive order that grants temporary legal status to an estimated four million illegal immigrants for the next three years and stops enforcement action against them.

- 1 Amnesty
- 2 Not amnesty
- 3 Don't Know/Unsure

36. A legislative proposal that would allow illegal immigrants a pathway to citizenship if they pay a fine, back taxes, pass a background check, learn English and take other required steps over a period of years to get right with the law.

- 1 Amnesty
- 2 Not amnesty
- 3 Don't Know/Unsure

37. A proposal that does *not* allow a pathway to citizenship, but would allow illegal immigrants a legal residency status if they pay a fine, back taxes, pass a background check, learn English and take other required steps over a period of years to get right with the law.

- 1 Amnesty
- 2 Not amnesty
- 3 Don't Know/Unsure

38. Any action that goes beyond securing the border or stronger enforcement of existing laws.

- 1 Amnesty
- 2 Not amnesty
- 3 Don't Know/Unsure

39. If a Republican presidential candidate supports strengthening border security, but also says it is unrealistic to deport 11 million undocumented immigrants and that fixing the immigration system legislatively is needed to deal with the current situation, do you think that is amnesty or is not amnesty?

- 1 Amnesty
- 2 Not amnesty
- 3 Don't Know/Unsure

40. I'd like to read you a comprehensive, multi-step approach to illegal immigration and have you tell me if you support or oppose this plan. **If (support/oppose):** Strongly or just somewhat?

Current U.S. border security would be strengthened.  
Workplace employment verification would be brought online and made more accurate.  
Illegal immigrants would be required to pay fines, back taxes, learn English and American civics, be financially self-supporting, and pass criminal background checks, and if they do that, they would be permitted to obtain temporary legal status.

- 1 Strongly support
- 2 Somewhat support
- 3 Somewhat oppose
- 4 Strongly oppose
- 5 Don't know/Unsure

**Ask all**

41. Whatever your opinion, would you be willing to support a candidate for President who supports this multi-step approach to legal status?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No

42. And if all the steps we just discussed were in place, would you support or oppose allowing the illegal immigrants who comply to eventually – after at least ten years - apply for U.S. citizenship? . **If (support/oppose):** Strongly or just somewhat?

- 1 Strongly support
- 2 Somewhat support
- 3 Somewhat oppose
- 4 Strongly oppose
- 5 Don't know/Unsure

43. Whatever your opinion, would you be willing to support a candidate for President who supports this multi-step approach to eventual citizenship?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No

Thinking now about how the issue of immigration may play out in a general election, please tell me if you agree or disagree with the following statement:

44. A Republican nominee for president will have a hard time winning the general election if he or she takes a hard line position on immigration. **If (agree/disagree)** Strongly or just somewhat?

- 1 Strongly agree
- 2 Somewhat agree
- 3 Somewhat disagree
- 4 Strongly disagree
- 5 Don't Know/unsure

45. In order to win the White House, how important is it for Republican presidential candidates to appeal to non-white voters? **(Read options)**

- 1 Very important
- 2 Somewhat important
- 3 Not very important
- 4 Not important at all
- 5 (Do not read) Don't Know/Unsure

46. In politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, Democrat, or an independent? (If "Republican/Democrat") And do you consider yourself to be a strong (Republican/Democrat) or a not so strong (Republican/Democrat)?

(if "independent") If you had to say, do you tend to lean more toward Republicans or more towards Democrats?

- 1 Strong Republican
- 2 Not strong Republican
- 3 Independent lean Republican
- 4 Independent
- 5 Independent lean Democrat
- 6 Not strong Democrat
- 7 Strong Democrat
- 8 Don't know

47. Thinking a little about your political attitudes do you tend to be conservative, moderate or liberal? (If "conservative/liberal" ask) Very (conservative/liberal) or somewhat (conservative/liberal)?

- 1 Very conservative
- 2 Somewhat conservative
- 3 Moderate
- 4 Somewhat liberal
- 5 Very liberal

48. Do you consider yourself a supporter of the Tea Party or liberty movement? **(if yes:) Do you consider yourself to be a strong supporter or just a supporter?**

- 1 Yes strong supporter
- 2 Yes, a supporter
- 3 No, not a supporter
- 4 Don't Know

49. Would you describe yourself as a born again or evangelical Christian?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No

50. How often do you attend church, synagogue or another religious service? **(read options)**
- 1 Once a week
  - 2 A few times per month
  - 3 Occasionally
  - 4 Rarely or never
51. What is the highest level of formal education you have completed to date? **(read options until stopped)**
- 1 Graduated High school
  - 2 Completed vocational or specialty training
  - 3 Completed some college courses
  - 4 An Associates Degree
  - 5 A college degree
  - 6 A post graduate degree, doctorate, legal or medical degree
  - 7 Refused
52. And is your race or ethnicity **(read options)**
- 1 White
  - 2 Hispanic or Latino
  - 3 Black or African American
  - 4 Asian
  - 5 Native American
  - 6 Other

**Continue interview with Confirm1 or Confirm2:**

**CONFIRM1 IF OBSERVED GENDER MATCHES GENDER ON VOTER LIST, ASK:**

In case my supervisor asks to confirm the interview, am I speaking to \_\_\_\_\_? (FIRST NAME ONLY from voter file)

- 1 Yes, (thank and terminate and CODE Q53,Q54 & C1 to C6 FROM LIST)
- 2 No, (then go to and ask Qs 53 and 54 and CODE C1-C3)

OR

**CONFIRM2 IF OBSERVED GENDER OF RESPONDENT DOES NOT MATCH NAME OF VOTER**

- 1 Go to and ask Qs 53 and 54 and CODE C1-C3. DO NOT CODE C4-C6.

**RECORD AGE FOR ALL RESPONDENTS – either by asking or coding.**

53. What is your age?
- 1 18- 21
  - 2 21-34
  - 3 35-44
  - 4 45-54
  - 5 55-64
  - 6 65+

**RECORD FOR ALL RESPONDENTS – either by asking or coding.**

54. Did you vote in the 2014 Iowa Republican primary for U.S. Senate?
- 1 Yes
  - 2 No
  - 3 Don't remember

**CODE C1, C2, and C3 for ALL RESPONDENTS**

- C1 Congressional District
- C2 County
- C3 Telephone
  - 1 Cell
  - 2 Landline

**CODE C4, C5, C6 ONLY IF RESPONDENT MATCHES VOTER NAME**

- C4 Registration
  - 1 Republican
  - 2 Independent/unaffiliated
- C5. Propensity to vote Republican score
- C6 Likelihood to vote model



BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 A. GENDER  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Male	232	232	-	119	81	200	24	143	89	103	44	52	73	78	51	48
	58%	100%		54%	65%	58%	62%	61%	53%	66%	55%	55%	63%	68%	51%	64%
Female	168	-	168	103	44	147	15	90	79	53	36	42	42	37	48	27
	42%		100%	46%	35%	42%	38%	39%	47%	34%	45%	45%	37%	32%	49%	36%

A. Record gender by observation.

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 A. GENDER  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	AGE				GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
		18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Male	232	48	42	93	48	90	93	48	-	-	-	37	61	127	-	-	127	99
	58%	71%	68%	55%	48%	100%	100%	100%				50%	56%	61%			100%	100%
Female	168	20	20	77	52	-	-	-	40	77	52	37	47	80	80	84	-	-
	42%	29%	32%	45%	52%				100%	100%	100%	50%	44%	39%	100%	100%		

A. Record gender by observation.

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 A. GENDER  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Male	232	118	68	185	46	47	132	88	127	105	123	79	30
	58%	58%	57%	58%	58%	63%	65%	49%	57%	59%	54%	61%	66%
Female	168	84	51	134	34	28	70	89	94	74	104	49	15
	42%	42%	43%	42%	42%	37%	35%	51%	43%	41%	46%	39%	34%

A. Record gender by observation.

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 A. GENDER  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Male	232	147	85	112	100	21	87	55	77	85	101	46	133	99	44	188	201
	58%	59%	57%	58%	62%	44%	56%	54%	66%	59%	62%	50%	59%	56%	51%	60%	58%
Female	168	103	66	82	60	26	67	47	40	60	63	45	92	76	42	126	145
	42%	41%	43%	42%	38%	56%	44%	46%	34%	41%	38%	50%	41%	44%	49%	40%	42%

A. Record gender by observation.

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 A. GENDER  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	REGION				CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
		DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Male	232	101	58	72	70	50	57	59	66
	58%	62%	57%	55%	59%	56%	60%	57%	58%
Female	168	63	45	60	48	39	38	44	48
	42%	38%	43%	45%	41%	44%	40%	43%	42%

A. Record gender by observation.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

2. LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND A REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS EARLY NEXT YEAR  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
LIKELY (NET)	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very likely	232	143	90	138	65	202	22	232	-	99	48	66	82	82	55	51
	58%	61%	53%	62%	51%	58%	56%	100%	-	64%	59%	70%	71%	71%	55%	68%
Somewhat likely	168	89	79	84	61	145	17	-	168	56	33	28	33	33	44	24
	42%	39%	47%	38%	49%	42%	44%	-	100%	36%	41%	30%	29%	29%	45%	32%
UNLIKELY (NET)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat unlikely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very unlikely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2. How likely is it you will attend a Republican presidential caucus early next year?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

2. LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND A REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS EARLY NEXT YEAR  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
LIKELY (NET)	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very likely	232	39	43	96	54	57	58	28	25	39	26	40	62	126	42	46	84	56
	58%	58%	69%	57%	54%	64%	62%	57%	63%	51%	50%	54%	57%	61%	52%	55%	66%	57%
Somewhat likely	168	29	19	74	46	33	36	21	15	38	26	34	47	81	39	38	43	43
	42%	42%	31%	43%	46%	36%	38%	43%	37%	49%	50%	46%	43%	39%	48%	45%	34%	43%
UNLIKELY (NET)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat unlikely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very unlikely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2. How likely is it you will attend a Republican presidential caucus early next year?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

2. LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND A REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS EARLY NEXT YEAR  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
LIKELY (NET)	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very likely	232	127	59	186	46	56	138	84	121	111	130	73	29
	58%	63%	50%	58%	57%	75%	69%	48%	55%	62%	57%	57%	64%
Somewhat likely	168	74	59	134	34	19	63	93	100	68	97	55	16
	42%	37%	50%	42%	43%	25%	31%	52%	45%	38%	43%	43%	36%
UNLIKELY (NET)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat unlikely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very unlikely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2. How likely is it you will attend a Republican presidential caucus early next year?



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

2. LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND A REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS EARLY NEXT YEAR  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
LIKELY (NET)	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very likely	232	154	79	108	97	28	80	67	75	87	104	42	149	83	31	202	209
	58%	62%	52%	56%	61%	59%	52%	66%	64%	60%	63%	46%	66%	47%	36%	64%	60%
Somewhat likely	168	96	72	86	63	19	74	35	42	58	61	49	76	92	55	112	137
	42%	38%	48%	44%	39%	41%	48%	34%	36%	40%	37%	54%	34%	53%	64%	36%	40%
UNLIKELY (NET)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat unlikely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very unlikely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2. How likely is it you will attend a Republican presidential caucus early next year?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

2. LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND A REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS EARLY NEXT YEAR  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
LIKELY (NET)	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very likely	232	104	58	70	73	51	48	63	70
	58%	63%	56%	53%	63%	58%	51%	61%	61%
Somewhat likely	168	60	45	62	44	37	47	40	44
	42%	37%	44%	47%	37%	42%	49%	39%	39%
UNLIKELY (NET)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat unlikely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very unlikely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2. How likely is it you will attend a Republican presidential caucus early next year?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015

JOB #16364

3. WHETHER ATTENDED A REPUBLICAN CAUCUS IN THE PAST

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Attended Republican caucus in the past	314	188	126	173	104	276	28	202	112	127	64	75	92	102	75	64
	78%	81%	75%	78%	83%	80%	71%	87%	67%	81%	80%	80%	80%	88%	76%	85%
First caucus	86	44	42	49	22	71	11	31	55	29	16	19	23	14	24	11
	22%	19%	25%	22%	17%	20%	29%	13%	33%	19%	20%	20%	20%	12%	24%	15%

3. Have you attended a Republican caucus in the past or will this be your first caucus?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

3. WHETHER ATTENDED A REPUBLICAN CAUCUS IN THE PAST  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Attended Republican caucus in the past	314	57	45	136	76	71	76	41	31	61	35	52	80	175	65	58	110	74
	78%	84%	73%	80%	76%	79%	81%	85%	77%	79%	67%	70%	73%	84%	81%	69%	86%	75%
First caucus	86	11	17	34	24	19	18	7	9	16	17	22	29	32	15	26	17	25
	22%	16%	27%	20%	24%	21%	19%	15%	23%	21%	33%	30%	27%	16%	19%	31%	14%	25%

3. Have you attended a Republican caucus in the past or will this be your first caucus?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

3. WHETHER ATTENDED A REPUBLICAN CAUCUS IN THE PAST  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Attended Republican caucus in the past	314	159	90	250	64	68	171	131	167	147	182	99	33
	78%	79%	76%	78%	80%	90%	85%	74%	76%	82%	80%	77%	73%
First caucus	86	42	28	70	16	7	30	46	53	33	45	29	12
	22%	21%	24%	22%	20%	10%	15%	26%	24%	18%	20%	23%	27%

3. Have you attended a Republican caucus in the past or will this be your first caucus?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015

JOB #16364

3. WHETHER ATTENDED A REPUBLICAN CAUCUS IN THE PAST

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Attended Republican caucus in the past	314	199	115	157	121	35	119	81	91	124	124	66	181	133	-	314	283
	78%	80%	76%	81%	76%	76%	77%	80%	78%	86%	76%	72%	80%	76%		100%	82%
First caucus	86	50	36	37	39	11	35	21	26	21	40	25	44	42	86	-	63
	22%	20%	24%	19%	24%	24%	23%	20%	22%	14%	24%	28%	20%	24%	100%		18%

3. Have you attended a Republican caucus in the past or will this be your first caucus?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015

JOB #16364

3. WHETHER ATTENDED A REPUBLICAN CAUCUS IN THE PAST  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	REGION				CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
		DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Attended Republican caucus in the past	314	127	91	96	95	77	71	78	88
	78%	77%	88%	73%	81%	87%	74%	76%	77%
First caucus	86	38	13	36	22	12	24	24	26
	22%	23%	12%	27%	19%	13%	26%	24%	23%

3. Have you attended a Republican caucus in the past or will this be your first caucus?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

4-17. IMPRESSION/AWARENESS OF -- SUMMARY OF "VERY FAVORABLE"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	GENDER			POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
	TOTAL	MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Scott Walker	153	97	56	92	44	137	12	101	52	114	25	50	62	47	36	33
	38%	42%	33%	42%	35%	39%	32%	43%	31%	73%	32%	54%	54%	40%	36%	45%
Mike Huckabee	116	63	53	77	30	107	5	72	43	44	22	33	33	24	63	25
	29%	27%	31%	35%	24%	31%	12%	31%	26%	29%	27%	36%	29%	21%	64%	33%
Ted Cruz	104	69	35	56	38	93	7	78	27	58	8	28	73	34	21	21
	26%	30%	21%	25%	30%	27%	17%	33%	16%	37%	10%	29%	64%	29%	21%	28%
Marco Rubio	99	57	42	58	28	86	9	73	26	54	21	49	32	29	20	25
	25%	24%	25%	26%	22%	25%	22%	31%	15%	34%	26%	53%	28%	25%	20%	33%
Rand Paul	96	64	32	47	34	81	13	68	28	31	18	22	39	66	10	21
	24%	28%	19%	21%	27%	23%	32%	29%	17%	20%	22%	23%	34%	57%	10%	28%
Ben Carson	92	55	36	50	26	76	13	63	29	44	15	33	35	24	23	49
	23%	24%	22%	22%	21%	22%	34%	27%	17%	28%	18%	35%	30%	21%	23%	66%
Rick Santorum	70	36	34	43	17	60	7	43	27	33	12	20	22	19	21	20
	17%	16%	20%	19%	14%	17%	19%	19%	16%	21%	15%	21%	19%	16%	22%	27%
Bobby Jindal	66	41	25	34	20	54	10	48	18	33	9	21	29	16	13	15
	17%	18%	15%	15%	16%	15%	24%	21%	11%	22%	11%	22%	25%	14%	13%	20%
Rick Perry	58	29	29	36	13	49	6	41	17	32	12	11	21	10	12	7
	14%	12%	17%	16%	10%	14%	15%	18%	10%	21%	15%	12%	18%	9%	12%	10%
Jeb Bush	51	29	23	28	17	44	3	35	16	17	36	11	8	16	12	10
	13%	12%	13%	12%	13%	13%	7%	15%	10%	11%	45%	12%	7%	14%	12%	13%
Donald Trump	31	15	17	20	11	31	1	21	11	12	7	9	13	6	11	6
	8%	6%	10%	9%	9%	9%	2%	9%	7%	7%	9%	9%	11%	5%	11%	9%
Carly Fiorina	29	21	8	17	8	25	4	20	9	20	5	8	11	8	5	8
	7%	9%	5%	8%	7%	7%	10%	9%	5%	13%	7%	9%	9%	7%	5%	11%
Chris Christie	29	16	13	15	11	26	3	13	16	7	10	9	3	5	7	2
	7%	7%	8%	7%	9%	8%	7%	6%	9%	5%	12%	10%	3%	4%	7%	3%
Lindsey Graham	14	8	6	11	2	13	1	10	4	6	2	6	6	1	4	2
	3%	4%	3%	5%	1%	4%	2%	4%	2%	4%	2%	6%	5%	1%	5%	2%

4-17. Now, I'm going to mention some people who are possible or declared candidates for the Republican nomination for president. For each, please tell me if your feelings are very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable toward him or her. If you don't know enough about the person to answer, just say so.



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

4-17. IMPRESSION/AWARENESS OF -- SUMMARY OF "VERY FAVORABLE"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT. +	COLL. GRAD.	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Scott Walker	153	15	26	71	40	32	45	21	10	26	20	29	41	78	23	31	55	39
	38%	23%	42%	42%	40%	35%	48%	43%	24%	34%	38%	39%	38%	38%	29%	36%	43%	40%
Mike Huckabee	116	21	12	56	28	23	32	8	10	24	20	23	31	61	24	28	37	26
	29%	30%	19%	33%	28%	25%	34%	17%	24%	31%	38%	31%	28%	30%	30%	33%	30%	26%
Ted Cruz	104	17	20	43	25	28	26	15	9	16	10	17	28	56	20	14	37	31
	26%	25%	32%	25%	25%	31%	28%	31%	23%	21%	19%	23%	26%	27%	25%	17%	29%	31%
Marco Rubio	99	11	19	44	24	21	23	12	9	21	12	16	21	59	24	16	35	21
	25%	17%	31%	26%	24%	24%	25%	24%	23%	27%	24%	22%	19%	28%	30%	20%	28%	21%
Rand Paul	96	15	28	38	15	35	24	5	8	14	10	15	22	58	20	12	38	25
	24%	23%	45%	22%	15%	39%	25%	11%	21%	18%	19%	20%	21%	28%	25%	14%	30%	25%
Ben Carson	92	8	14	41	29	17	25	13	5	16	15	14	23	52	18	16	34	20
	23%	11%	23%	24%	29%	19%	27%	28%	13%	21%	29%	19%	21%	25%	23%	19%	27%	20%
Rick Santorum	70	12	12	31	15	17	14	4	6	17	11	19	16	33	13	20	20	15
	17%	17%	19%	18%	15%	19%	15%	9%	16%	22%	21%	25%	15%	16%	17%	23%	16%	15%
Bobby Jindal	66	6	14	32	13	17	17	6	3	15	7	7	9	48	17	7	31	9
	17%	9%	23%	19%	13%	19%	18%	13%	8%	20%	14%	10%	8%	23%	21%	9%	24%	9%
Rick Perry	58	5	8	29	15	5	17	7	9	12	8	7	18	31	18	11	13	15
	14%	8%	13%	17%	15%	5%	18%	15%	22%	16%	16%	10%	17%	15%	23%	13%	10%	15%
Jeb Bush	51	9	6	21	15	8	14	6	6	7	9	13	10	26	9	12	17	11
	13%	13%	10%	13%	15%	9%	15%	13%	16%	10%	17%	17%	9%	12%	11%	14%	13%	11%
Donald Trump	31	5	8	7	11	9	5	1	4	3	10	7	14	11	6	11	5	10
	8%	8%	13%	4%	11%	10%	5%	2%	10%	4%	19%	9%	13%	5%	7%	13%	4%	10%
Carly Fiorina	29	1	5	10	12	6	8	7	-	3	5	4	7	19	4	4	15	6
	7%	2%	8%	6%	13%	7%	8%	15%	-	4%	10%	5%	6%	9%	5%	5%	12%	6%
Chris Christie	29	5	6	10	8	7	6	4	4	5	4	5	9	14	5	7	9	6
	7%	7%	10%	6%	8%	7%	6%	7%	10%	6%	9%	7%	8%	7%	6%	9%	7%	6%
Lindsey Graham	14	3	2	4	5	3	2	4	2	2	2	4	4	6	3	3	3	5
	3%	4%	3%	2%	5%	3%	2%	7%	5%	2%	3%	5%	4%	3%	3%	3%	2%	5%

4-17. Now, I'm going to mention some people who are possible or declared candidates for the Republican nomination for president. For each, please tell me if your feelings are very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable toward him or her. If you don't know enough about the person to answer, just say so.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

4-17. IMPRESSION/AWARENESS OF -- SUMMARY OF "VERY FAVORABLE"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
	TOTAL	VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Scott Walker	153	89	45	134	19	38	100	45	87	66	80	54	19
	38%	44%	38%	42%	24%	50%	50%	26%	39%	37%	35%	42%	42%
Mike Huckabee	116	69	33	102	13	27	71	40	84	32	76	28	11
	29%	34%	28%	32%	17%	36%	35%	22%	38%	18%	34%	22%	25%
Ted Cruz	104	74	26	100	5	42	79	23	65	39	53	35	16
	26%	37%	22%	31%	6%	55%	39%	13%	30%	22%	24%	27%	36%
Marco Rubio	99	57	24	81	18	25	60	34	52	46	47	35	17
	25%	28%	21%	25%	22%	34%	30%	19%	24%	26%	20%	28%	38%
Rand Paul	96	50	22	72	24	25	60	34	47	50	39	39	18
	24%	25%	18%	23%	30%	33%	30%	19%	21%	28%	17%	31%	40%
Ben Carson	92	59	21	80	12	29	68	21	56	36	53	26	12
	23%	29%	18%	25%	15%	38%	34%	12%	25%	20%	24%	21%	27%
Rick Santorum	70	44	18	62	8	17	43	23	49	21	42	17	11
	17%	22%	15%	19%	10%	23%	21%	13%	22%	12%	19%	13%	23%
Bobby Jindal	66	41	16	56	10	23	48	17	40	26	32	24	9
	17%	20%	13%	18%	12%	30%	24%	10%	18%	14%	14%	19%	21%
Rick Perry	58	30	16	46	12	15	38	14	32	26	29	18	11
	14%	15%	14%	14%	15%	19%	19%	8%	14%	15%	13%	14%	24%
Jeb Bush	51	19	14	32	19	3	22	26	30	21	27	18	6
	13%	9%	12%	10%	23%	4%	11%	15%	14%	12%	12%	14%	14%
Donald Trump	31	23	4	28	4	8	20	9	22	9	13	13	5
	8%	12%	4%	9%	5%	10%	10%	5%	10%	5%	6%	10%	12%
Carly Fiorina	29	20	6	26	4	14	22	7	11	18	11	11	7
	7%	10%	5%	8%	5%	19%	11%	4%	5%	10%	5%	9%	15%
Chris Christie	29	8	8	16	12	2	9	18	13	16	13	12	4
	7%	4%	7%	5%	15%	3%	4%	10%	6%	9%	6%	9%	8%
Lindsey Graham	14	6	5	11	3	3	6	7	12	2	7	6	1
	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	5%	1%	3%	5%	2%

4-17. Now, I'm going to mention some people who are possible or declared candidates for the Republican nomination for president. For each, please tell me if your feelings are very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable toward him or her. If you don't know enough about the person to answer, just say so.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

4-17. IMPRESSION/AWARENESS OF -- SUMMARY OF "VERY FAVORABLE"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	IMMIGRATION						3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
	TOTAL	CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Scott Walker	153	107	46	72	65	16	47	48	49	63	59	31	87	66	28	125	137
	38%	43%	31%	37%	41%	34%	31%	47%	41%	43%	36%	34%	39%	38%	33%	40%	40%
Mike Huckabee	116	80	36	60	45	11	41	31	39	51	41	24	65	51	22	93	106
	29%	32%	24%	31%	28%	25%	27%	30%	33%	35%	25%	27%	29%	29%	26%	30%	31%
Ted Cruz	104	74	30	44	52	8	35	27	39	49	35	21	64	41	14	90	96
	26%	30%	20%	23%	33%	18%	23%	26%	33%	33%	21%	23%	28%	23%	17%	29%	28%
Marco Rubio	99	64	35	49	40	10	33	36	24	34	52	12	58	41	18	81	85
	25%	26%	23%	25%	25%	22%	22%	36%	20%	23%	32%	14%	26%	24%	21%	26%	25%
Rand Paul	96	62	34	47	44	6	37	23	28	35	48	14	59	38	15	81	83
	24%	25%	23%	24%	28%	12%	24%	22%	24%	24%	29%	15%	26%	22%	17%	26%	24%
Ben Carson	92	64	28	44	40	8	35	21	30	38	40	14	53	39	16	76	81
	23%	25%	19%	23%	25%	18%	23%	21%	26%	26%	25%	15%	23%	22%	18%	24%	23%
Rick Santorum	70	44	26	32	22	16	23	22	22	31	24	15	39	31	14	56	60
	17%	18%	17%	17%	14%	34%	15%	21%	19%	21%	15%	17%	17%	18%	16%	18%	17%
Bobby Jindal	66	42	24	38	23	5	28	18	19	28	29	8	43	23	11	55	55
	17%	17%	16%	19%	15%	12%	18%	17%	16%	20%	18%	9%	19%	13%	13%	18%	16%
Rick Perry	58	42	16	26	24	9	18	18	20	23	24	11	35	23	14	44	50
	14%	17%	10%	13%	15%	19%	11%	18%	17%	16%	14%	12%	16%	13%	16%	14%	14%
Jeb Bush	51	25	26	39	9	3	24	15	11	21	22	8	29	22	9	42	42
	13%	10%	17%	20%	6%	7%	15%	14%	9%	14%	13%	9%	13%	13%	11%	13%	12%
Donald Trump	31	21	10	13	15	4	9	5	17	12	13	6	17	14	12	19	24
	8%	9%	7%	6%	9%	9%	6%	5%	14%	8%	8%	7%	8%	8%	15%	6%	7%
Carly Fiorina	29	23	7	12	15	2	7	9	10	10	17	3	17	12	3	27	29
	7%	9%	4%	6%	9%	5%	5%	9%	9%	7%	10%	3%	7%	7%	3%	8%	8%
Chris Christie	29	13	16	16	7	5	16	3	7	8	14	7	16	13	9	20	22
	7%	5%	11%	8%	5%	12%	10%	3%	6%	6%	8%	7%	7%	7%	11%	6%	6%
Lindsey Graham	14	11	2	7	4	2	3	4	6	4	8	2	12	2	3	11	11
	3%	5%	2%	4%	3%	5%	2%	4%	5%	3%	5%	2%	5%	1%	3%	4%	3%

4-17. Now, I'm going to mention some people who are possible or declared candidates for the Republican nomination for president. For each, please tell me if your feelings are very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable toward him or her. If you don't know enough about the person to answer, just say so.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

4-17. IMPRESSION/AWARENESS OF -- SUMMARY OF "VERY FAVORABLE"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Scott Walker	153	58	46	49	39	36	40	31	46
	38%	35%	45%	37%	33%	41%	42%	30%	40%
Mike Huckabee	116	57	21	38	35	19	31	26	40
	29%	34%	20%	29%	30%	21%	33%	26%	35%
Ted Cruz	104	43	28	33	25	24	29	19	33
	26%	26%	27%	25%	21%	27%	30%	18%	29%
Marco Rubio	99	44	25	30	31	22	27	25	25
	25%	27%	25%	22%	27%	25%	29%	24%	22%
Rand Paul	96	41	25	29	31	24	21	24	27
	24%	25%	25%	22%	26%	27%	22%	24%	24%
Ben Carson	92	39	21	32	26	18	23	21	30
	23%	23%	21%	24%	22%	21%	24%	20%	26%
Rick Santorum	70	28	16	26	16	13	18	14	24
	17%	17%	16%	20%	13%	15%	19%	14%	21%
Bobby Jindal	66	34	19	14	21	15	21	14	15
	17%	20%	18%	10%	18%	17%	23%	14%	13%
Rick Perry	58	23	15	20	15	9	13	15	21
	14%	14%	14%	15%	12%	10%	14%	14%	18%
Jeb Bush	51	21	15	15	19	8	14	13	17
	13%	13%	15%	11%	16%	9%	14%	12%	14%
Donald Trump	31	14	6	12	10	7	7	8	9
	8%	8%	5%	9%	9%	8%	7%	8%	8%
Carly Fiorina	29	12	6	11	10	8	6	7	7
	7%	7%	6%	8%	9%	10%	6%	7%	7%
Chris Christie	29	12	11	6	8	9	5	8	7
	7%	7%	10%	5%	6%	11%	6%	7%	6%
Lindsey Graham	14	9	2	3	4	2	2	3	7
	3%	5%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	6%

4-17. Now, I'm going to mention some people who are possible or declared candidates for the Republican nomination for president. For each, please tell me if your feelings are very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable toward him or her. If you don't know enough about the person to answer, just say so.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

4-17. IMPRESSION/AWARENESS OF -- SUMMARY OF "TOTAL FAVORABLE"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	GENDER			POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
	TOTAL	MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Mike Huckabee	265	146	119	167	68	234	23	154	112	103	52	65	76	67	96	54
	66%	63%	71%	75%	54%	67%	57%	66%	66%	66%	65%	69%	66%	58%	97%	72%
Rand Paul	255	160	95	137	83	220	24	158	97	108	55	66	82	110	54	50
	64%	69%	56%	62%	66%	63%	62%	68%	58%	69%	69%	71%	71%	95%	55%	66%
Scott Walker	254	157	97	146	80	225	24	160	94	150	44	70	93	77	58	59
	64%	68%	58%	66%	64%	65%	61%	69%	56%	96%	55%	75%	81%	67%	59%	79%
Ted Cruz	253	164	89	139	81	221	23	163	90	123	41	72	110	81	68	61
	63%	71%	53%	63%	65%	64%	59%	70%	53%	79%	51%	76%	95%	70%	69%	81%
Marco Rubio	247	150	97	146	71	217	21	160	87	122	56	88	91	76	63	64
	62%	65%	57%	66%	56%	62%	53%	69%	52%	78%	69%	93%	79%	66%	64%	85%
Rick Perry	244	141	103	138	75	212	24	144	100	104	49	65	82	69	71	44
	61%	61%	61%	62%	59%	61%	61%	62%	60%	67%	61%	69%	71%	60%	72%	58%
Rick Santorum	238	133	105	143	65	208	23	133	105	98	41	64	87	70	69	53
	59%	57%	62%	65%	52%	60%	60%	57%	63%	63%	51%	68%	76%	60%	70%	70%
Jeb Bush	196	109	87	116	61	178	14	105	91	79	76	56	38	55	55	37
	49%	47%	52%	52%	49%	51%	34%	45%	54%	51%	94%	59%	33%	48%	56%	49%
Ben Carson	190	115	75	114	52	167	18	130	60	97	31	60	72	60	45	67
	47%	50%	44%	52%	42%	48%	46%	56%	36%	63%	39%	63%	62%	52%	46%	90%
Bobby Jindal	170	116	54	93	54	147	18	114	56	90	33	55	70	53	43	41
	42%	50%	32%	42%	43%	42%	47%	49%	33%	58%	41%	59%	61%	46%	44%	55%
Chris Christie	133	79	54	76	43	119	8	73	60	45	46	35	26	32	41	23
	33%	34%	32%	34%	34%	34%	21%	31%	36%	29%	57%	37%	22%	28%	42%	30%
Donald Trump	124	74	50	72	41	113	7	76	48	49	26	35	48	34	38	25
	31%	32%	30%	32%	32%	32%	19%	33%	29%	32%	32%	38%	41%	29%	38%	33%
Carly Fiorina	89	67	22	46	28	74	12	62	28	53	16	32	32	26	16	19
	22%	29%	13%	21%	22%	21%	31%	27%	16%	34%	20%	34%	28%	23%	16%	25%
Lindsey Graham	76	54	22	43	20	63	10	52	24	35	16	28	28	19	26	23
	19%	23%	13%	19%	16%	18%	24%	22%	14%	23%	20%	30%	24%	16%	27%	30%

4-17. Now, I'm going to mention some people who are possible or declared candidates for the Republican nomination for president. For each, please tell me if your feelings are very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable toward him or her. If you don't know enough about the person to answer, just say so.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

4-17. IMPRESSION/AWARENESS OF -- SUMMARY OF "TOTAL FAVORABLE"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Mike Huckabee	265	51	35	111	69	52	62	32	33	49	37	53	74	132	58	59	74	68
	66%	75%	56%	65%	69%	58%	66%	67%	84%	64%	71%	71%	68%	64%	72%	70%	58%	68%
Rand Paul	255	40	52	110	53	72	67	21	20	43	32	48	72	127	43	49	83	72
	64%	59%	84%	65%	53%	80%	72%	43%	50%	56%	62%	65%	66%	61%	54%	58%	66%	73%
Scott Walker	254	31	41	118	63	53	71	33	19	47	30	44	71	130	41	52	88	63
	64%	46%	66%	69%	63%	59%	76%	69%	48%	62%	59%	60%	65%	63%	51%	62%	70%	64%
Ted Cruz	253	37	42	115	59	60	72	32	18	43	27	46	71	130	41	45	89	72
	63%	54%	68%	68%	59%	67%	77%	67%	46%	57%	52%	62%	65%	63%	51%	54%	70%	73%
Marco Rubio	247	34	41	111	61	53	65	32	22	46	29	41	53	143	53	40	91	54
	62%	50%	66%	65%	61%	59%	69%	67%	55%	60%	55%	56%	49%	69%	65%	48%	72%	55%
Rick Perry	244	38	42	109	55	56	59	27	24	50	29	46	68	126	53	49	73	65
	61%	55%	68%	64%	55%	62%	63%	56%	60%	66%	55%	62%	63%	61%	66%	58%	58%	66%
Rick Santorum	238	37	39	106	55	51	56	25	25	50	30	49	59	123	53	51	71	57
	59%	55%	63%	63%	55%	57%	60%	52%	62%	65%	59%	66%	54%	60%	66%	60%	56%	58%
Jeb Bush	196	29	24	86	57	38	47	24	15	39	33	36	50	103	38	46	65	39
	49%	43%	39%	50%	57%	42%	50%	50%	39%	51%	64%	48%	46%	50%	47%	55%	51%	40%
Ben Carson	190	18	32	90	50	35	54	26	15	36	24	34	47	104	36	36	67	44
	47%	26%	52%	53%	50%	39%	58%	54%	37%	47%	47%	46%	43%	50%	45%	43%	53%	45%
Bobby Jindal	170	20	33	76	41	41	52	23	12	24	18	24	40	101	29	23	73	40
	42%	29%	53%	45%	41%	45%	56%	48%	31%	31%	34%	32%	37%	49%	36%	28%	57%	41%
Chris Christie	133	23	21	56	34	32	32	15	12	24	19	25	34	70	26	26	44	33
	33%	33%	34%	33%	34%	35%	34%	31%	29%	31%	36%	33%	32%	34%	33%	31%	35%	33%
Donald Trump	124	21	27	42	34	34	27	13	15	15	21	26	41	55	23	26	32	41
	31%	31%	44%	25%	34%	37%	29%	28%	37%	19%	40%	35%	37%	27%	29%	31%	25%	41%
Carly Fiorina	89	8	10	40	31	15	31	21	2	9	11	19	18	50	8	13	41	24
	22%	11%	16%	24%	31%	17%	33%	43%	6%	12%	21%	25%	16%	24%	10%	15%	33%	24%
Lindsey Graham	76	10	16	27	22	20	21	13	6	7	9	14	20	41	10	12	31	21
	19%	15%	26%	16%	22%	22%	22%	28%	16%	9%	17%	19%	18%	20%	12%	15%	25%	22%

4-17. Now, I'm going to mention some people who are possible or declared candidates for the Republican nomination for president. For each, please tell me if your feelings are very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable toward him or her. If you don't know enough about the person to answer, just say so.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

4-17. IMPRESSION/AWARENESS OF -- SUMMARY OF "TOTAL FAVORABLE"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
	TOTAL	VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Mike Huckabee	265	146	79	225	41	51	137	113	161	104	162	74	29
	66%	72%	67%	70%	50%	68%	68%	64%	73%	58%	72%	57%	65%
Rand Paul	255	128	76	203	52	56	144	98	132	124	131	96	28
	64%	63%	64%	64%	64%	74%	72%	56%	60%	69%	58%	75%	62%
Scott Walker	254	144	69	213	41	62	150	95	138	116	143	82	29
	64%	72%	59%	67%	51%	82%	74%	54%	63%	64%	63%	64%	65%
Ted Cruz	253	149	74	223	30	66	166	77	146	107	141	81	30
	63%	74%	63%	70%	37%	87%	83%	44%	66%	60%	62%	63%	68%
Marco Rubio	247	135	70	206	41	54	144	93	124	123	133	86	28
	62%	67%	59%	64%	51%	71%	71%	53%	56%	68%	59%	67%	62%
Rick Perry	244	126	76	202	43	50	137	93	142	102	141	78	25
	61%	62%	64%	63%	53%	67%	68%	53%	64%	57%	62%	61%	55%
Rick Santorum	238	139	68	207	30	52	132	92	146	92	148	63	27
	59%	69%	57%	65%	38%	69%	65%	52%	66%	51%	65%	49%	61%
Jeb Bush	196	81	68	149	47	21	88	95	102	94	105	72	19
	49%	40%	58%	47%	58%	28%	44%	54%	46%	52%	46%	56%	42%
Ben Carson	190	108	53	161	29	52	129	54	113	77	107	62	20
	47%	54%	45%	50%	35%	68%	64%	30%	51%	43%	47%	48%	45%
Bobby Jindal	170	93	47	140	30	47	112	56	92	77	90	58	22
	42%	46%	39%	44%	38%	62%	55%	31%	42%	43%	40%	45%	48%
Chris Christie	133	49	42	92	42	15	57	69	62	71	67	55	12
	33%	24%	36%	29%	52%	20%	28%	39%	28%	39%	29%	43%	26%
Donald Trump	124	72	32	104	21	28	73	44	70	54	67	45	12
	31%	36%	27%	32%	26%	37%	36%	25%	32%	30%	29%	35%	27%
Carly Fiorina	89	49	24	73	16	29	67	19	40	49	37	36	16
	22%	25%	20%	23%	20%	39%	33%	11%	18%	27%	16%	28%	35%
Lindsey Graham	76	40	21	61	15	15	47	27	44	32	46	26	5
	19%	20%	18%	19%	19%	21%	23%	15%	20%	18%	20%	20%	10%

4-17. Now, I'm going to mention some people who are possible or declared candidates for the Republican nomination for president. For each, please tell me if your feelings are very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable toward him or her. If you don't know enough about the person to answer, just say so.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

4-17. IMPRESSION/AWARENESS OF -- SUMMARY OF "TOTAL FAVORABLE"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	IMMIGRATION						3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
	TOTAL	CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Mike Huckabee	265	179	87	124	112	29	100	69	81	99	107	59	144	122	56	210	231
	66%	72%	58%	64%	70%	63%	65%	68%	69%	68%	65%	65%	64%	70%	65%	67%	67%
Rand Paul	255	163	92	122	112	21	94	71	74	98	110	47	143	112	51	204	219
	64%	65%	61%	63%	70%	45%	61%	69%	63%	67%	67%	52%	63%	64%	59%	65%	63%
Scott Walker	254	169	85	123	108	23	87	70	77	97	102	56	142	112	45	209	228
	64%	68%	56%	64%	67%	50%	57%	69%	65%	67%	62%	61%	63%	64%	52%	67%	66%
Ted Cruz	253	175	78	117	116	21	91	70	80	97	103	53	148	105	44	209	223
	63%	70%	52%	60%	72%	45%	59%	69%	68%	67%	63%	58%	66%	60%	51%	67%	64%
Marco Rubio	247	164	83	127	97	22	90	76	65	85	109	52	147	99	48	199	216
	62%	66%	55%	66%	61%	48%	58%	74%	56%	59%	67%	57%	65%	57%	56%	63%	62%
Rick Perry	244	165	80	121	100	24	87	70	72	96	104	44	146	98	55	189	212
	61%	66%	53%	62%	63%	51%	56%	68%	61%	66%	63%	48%	65%	56%	64%	60%	61%
Rick Santorum	238	155	83	124	88	26	85	69	70	92	97	49	130	108	53	185	203
	59%	62%	55%	64%	55%	55%	55%	67%	59%	63%	59%	54%	58%	62%	62%	59%	59%
Jeb Bush	196	117	79	110	71	15	77	59	49	75	84	38	103	93	47	149	168
	49%	47%	52%	56%	45%	33%	50%	58%	42%	51%	51%	41%	46%	53%	54%	47%	48%
Ben Carson	190	125	65	92	80	18	73	51	55	75	78	36	108	82	31	159	166
	47%	50%	43%	47%	50%	38%	47%	50%	46%	52%	48%	40%	48%	47%	36%	51%	48%
Bobby Jindal	170	111	59	92	70	8	61	49	50	66	76	28	105	64	30	140	149
	42%	45%	39%	47%	44%	18%	40%	48%	42%	45%	46%	31%	47%	37%	35%	44%	43%
Chris Christie	133	81	52	69	49	15	53	35	35	40	68	26	81	52	31	102	115
	33%	32%	35%	36%	31%	32%	35%	34%	29%	27%	41%	28%	36%	30%	36%	33%	33%
Donald Trump	124	84	40	61	55	9	43	32	39	53	45	27	76	49	27	98	109
	31%	34%	27%	31%	34%	19%	28%	32%	33%	36%	27%	29%	34%	28%	31%	31%	32%
Carly Fiorina	89	65	24	41	46	3	26	33	26	32	45	12	53	36	13	76	81
	22%	26%	16%	21%	29%	7%	17%	32%	23%	22%	27%	14%	24%	21%	15%	24%	23%
Lindsey Graham	76	53	23	41	30	5	25	21	25	28	37	11	48	28	12	64	66
	19%	21%	15%	21%	19%	11%	16%	20%	21%	20%	22%	12%	21%	16%	14%	21%	19%

4-17. Now, I'm going to mention some people who are possible or declared candidates for the Republican nomination for president. For each, please tell me if your feelings are very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable toward him or her. If you don't know enough about the person to answer, just say so.



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015

JOB #16364

4-17. IMPRESSION/AWARENESS OF -- SUMMARY OF "TOTAL FAVORABLE"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Mike Huckabee	265	117	59	89	78	51	69	66	79
	66%	71%	57%	67%	66%	58%	73%	64%	69%
Rand Paul	255	99	72	84	75	63	58	62	72
	64%	60%	70%	63%	64%	71%	61%	61%	63%
Scott Walker	254	100	78	77	68	67	60	61	66
	64%	61%	75%	58%	58%	76%	64%	60%	57%
Ted Cruz	253	104	66	83	73	58	59	60	75
	63%	63%	64%	63%	62%	66%	62%	59%	66%
Marco Rubio	247	109	64	74	82	56	53	70	67
	62%	66%	62%	56%	70%	64%	56%	68%	59%
Rick Perry	244	111	55	78	73	48	55	64	78
	61%	68%	53%	59%	62%	54%	58%	63%	68%
Rick Santorum	238	105	56	76	70	51	64	53	70
	59%	64%	55%	58%	59%	57%	67%	52%	61%
Jeb Bush	196	80	51	66	59	45	50	47	55
	49%	48%	49%	50%	50%	50%	52%	46%	48%
Ben Carson	190	78	47	64	52	40	43	45	62
	47%	48%	46%	48%	44%	45%	45%	44%	54%
Bobby Jindal	170	83	46	41	62	38	40	54	39
	42%	50%	45%	31%	53%	42%	42%	52%	34%
Chris Christie	133	62	33	38	48	27	28	35	43
	33%	38%	32%	29%	41%	30%	30%	34%	38%
Donald Trump	124	60	24	39	46	24	32	34	34
	31%	37%	24%	30%	39%	27%	33%	33%	30%
Carly Fiorina	89	37	24	28	29	21	22	26	19
	22%	22%	23%	21%	25%	24%	24%	25%	17%
Lindsey Graham	76	35	17	23	19	18	16	19	23
	19%	21%	17%	18%	16%	20%	16%	19%	20%

4-17. Now, I'm going to mention some people who are possible or declared candidates for the Republican nomination for president. For each, please tell me if your feelings are very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable toward him or her. If you don't know enough about the person to answer, just say so.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

4-17. IMPRESSION/AWARENESS OF -- SUMMARY OF "TOTAL UNFAVORABLE"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	GENDER			POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
	TOTAL	MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Donald Trump	236	144	92	127	74	201	28	136	100	101	51	54	64	74	59	45
	59%	62%	54%	57%	59%	58%	72%	58%	60%	65%	63%	58%	55%	64%	60%	61%
Chris Christie	224	136	88	119	71	190	27	141	83	104	28	58	87	78	52	51
	56%	59%	52%	54%	56%	55%	70%	61%	49%	67%	35%	62%	76%	68%	53%	69%
Jeb Bush	156	98	57	77	52	130	21	104	51	69	2	34	69	53	38	33
	39%	42%	34%	35%	42%	37%	54%	45%	30%	45%	2%	36%	60%	46%	38%	44%
Lindsey Graham	141	91	49	75	43	118	17	95	46	66	22	32	54	49	21	26
	35%	39%	29%	34%	34%	34%	44%	41%	27%	42%	27%	34%	47%	43%	21%	35%
Rand Paul	105	55	50	61	32	93	9	58	47	43	19	27	27	5	37	21
	26%	24%	29%	28%	25%	27%	24%	25%	28%	28%	24%	28%	23%	5%	37%	29%
Mike Huckabee	100	70	30	38	46	84	12	61	39	46	23	25	35	42	3	19
	25%	30%	18%	17%	37%	24%	31%	26%	23%	30%	29%	27%	31%	37%	3%	25%
Rick Perry	99	65	34	50	35	85	10	62	37	38	18	24	25	37	21	25
	25%	28%	20%	23%	28%	24%	26%	27%	22%	24%	23%	26%	22%	32%	21%	34%
Rick Santorum	99	66	32	47	38	84	9	72	27	43	24	25	22	38	16	16
	25%	29%	19%	21%	30%	24%	23%	31%	16%	28%	30%	27%	19%	33%	16%	21%
Ted Cruz	63	38	26	35	19	54	7	38	26	19	20	11	3	21	14	8
	16%	16%	15%	16%	16%	16%	18%	16%	15%	12%	25%	12%	3%	19%	14%	11%
Marco Rubio	59	39	20	24	21	45	11	35	23	16	11	2	8	20	13	4
	15%	17%	12%	11%	17%	13%	28%	15%	14%	10%	14%	2%	7%	17%	13%	5%
Bobby Jindal	51	32	20	24	18	42	6	29	22	14	13	6	10	14	8	8
	13%	14%	12%	11%	14%	12%	16%	13%	13%	9%	16%	7%	9%	12%	8%	10%
Ben Carson	48	29	19	24	14	39	7	29	20	12	10	9	14	15	10	1
	12%	13%	11%	11%	11%	11%	18%	12%	12%	8%	12%	9%	12%	13%	10%	2%
Carly Fiorina	46	28	18	27	10	37	5	28	18	16	11	7	10	12	14	6
	11%	12%	11%	12%	8%	11%	12%	12%	11%	10%	14%	8%	9%	10%	14%	9%
Scott Walker	36	21	15	14	16	29	4	23	13	2	10	7	8	19	7	5
	9%	9%	9%	6%	12%	8%	10%	10%	8%	1%	12%	8%	7%	17%	7%	6%

4-17. Now, I'm going to mention some people who are possible or declared candidates for the Republican nomination for president. For each, please tell me if your feelings are very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable toward him or her. If you don't know enough about the person to answer, just say so.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

4-17. IMPRESSION/AWARENESS OF -- SUMMARY OF "TOTAL UNFAVORABLE"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Donald Trump	236	39	29	113	54	53	61	30	15	52	24	36	59	133	48	40	85	55
	59%	58%	47%	66%	54%	59%	65%	63%	38%	68%	47%	49%	54%	64%	60%	48%	67%	56%
Chris Christie	224	38	38	99	49	55	56	25	21	43	24	39	63	117	45	41	72	61
	56%	56%	61%	58%	49%	61%	60%	52%	53%	55%	47%	53%	58%	57%	56%	49%	57%	62%
Jeb Bush	156	25	34	64	33	43	35	20	15	29	13	27	46	80	33	24	47	50
	39%	36%	55%	38%	33%	48%	38%	41%	38%	37%	26%	37%	42%	38%	41%	28%	37%	50%
Lindsey Graham	141	19	20	66	36	34	40	17	4	26	19	26	37	73	27	20	46	43
	35%	27%	32%	39%	36%	38%	43%	35%	11%	34%	36%	35%	34%	35%	34%	24%	36%	44%
Rand Paul	105	15	8	45	37	13	21	21	10	23	16	19	28	57	26	23	31	24
	26%	23%	13%	26%	37%	15%	23%	43%	25%	31%	31%	26%	26%	28%	32%	27%	25%	24%
Mike Huckabee	100	16	24	38	21	37	23	10	3	15	12	13	27	57	15	13	42	28
	25%	24%	39%	23%	21%	41%	25%	20%	8%	20%	22%	18%	25%	27%	19%	15%	33%	28%
Rick Perry	99	18	15	39	27	24	27	13	8	12	13	16	22	57	17	15	40	23
	25%	26%	24%	23%	27%	27%	29%	28%	21%	16%	26%	21%	21%	28%	21%	18%	32%	23%
Rick Santorum	99	18	18	37	25	27	25	14	9	12	11	13	27	55	14	16	40	24
	25%	27%	29%	22%	25%	30%	27%	30%	24%	16%	21%	17%	25%	26%	18%	19%	32%	25%
Ted Cruz	63	15	11	21	17	18	10	9	7	10	8	12	12	39	16	10	23	14
	16%	22%	18%	12%	17%	20%	11%	19%	18%	13%	16%	16%	11%	19%	19%	12%	18%	14%
Marco Rubio	59	12	10	23	13	20	14	5	3	9	8	13	20	25	11	9	14	25
	15%	18%	16%	14%	13%	22%	15%	11%	7%	12%	16%	18%	19%	12%	14%	11%	11%	25%
Bobby Jindal	51	9	4	21	18	11	11	10	2	9	8	12	14	25	11	8	13	19
	13%	13%	6%	12%	18%	12%	12%	20%	6%	12%	16%	17%	13%	12%	14%	10%	10%	19%
Ben Carson	48	14	8	14	12	17	7	5	4	8	7	10	12	26	12	6	14	15
	12%	20%	13%	8%	13%	19%	7%	11%	11%	10%	14%	13%	11%	13%	15%	8%	11%	15%
Carly Fiorina	46	6	6	21	12	9	14	4	3	7	8	9	13	24	9	9	15	13
	11%	9%	10%	12%	13%	10%	15%	9%	8%	8%	16%	12%	12%	12%	11%	11%	12%	13%
Scott Walker	36	9	7	10	10	11	5	5	5	6	4	8	8	20	9	6	11	10
	9%	13%	11%	6%	10%	13%	5%	11%	12%	7%	9%	11%	8%	10%	11%	7%	9%	10%

4-17. Now, I'm going to mention some people who are possible or declared candidates for the Republican nomination for president. For each, please tell me if your feelings are very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable toward him or her. If you don't know enough about the person to answer, just say so.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

4-17. IMPRESSION/AWARENESS OF -- SUMMARY OF "TOTAL UNFAVORABLE"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
	TOTAL	VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Donald Trump	236	111	74	185	51	41	115	110	126	110	134	71	31
	59%	55%	63%	58%	63%	55%	57%	62%	57%	61%	59%	55%	68%
Chris Christie	224	129	63	191	33	57	132	83	129	95	128	65	31
	56%	64%	53%	60%	40%	76%	65%	47%	58%	53%	56%	50%	70%
Jeb Bush	156	97	32	129	26	48	99	52	86	70	88	43	25
	39%	48%	27%	40%	33%	64%	49%	29%	39%	39%	39%	33%	56%
Lindsey Graham	141	78	30	109	32	41	86	50	66	75	69	47	25
	35%	39%	26%	34%	40%	54%	43%	28%	30%	42%	30%	36%	55%
Rand Paul	105	52	32	84	21	14	42	56	63	42	71	21	13
	26%	26%	27%	26%	26%	18%	21%	32%	29%	23%	31%	17%	28%
Mike Huckabee	100	42	29	70	29	20	55	42	41	58	49	39	12
	25%	21%	24%	22%	36%	27%	27%	24%	19%	33%	22%	31%	26%
Rick Perry	99	42	27	69	30	19	46	50	43	56	52	33	14
	25%	21%	23%	22%	37%	25%	23%	28%	19%	31%	23%	26%	32%
Rick Santorum	99	35	29	64	35	18	46	48	37	62	46	38	15
	25%	18%	24%	20%	43%	24%	23%	27%	17%	34%	20%	30%	32%
Ted Cruz	63	16	18	35	29	7	17	41	27	37	37	20	7
	16%	8%	16%	11%	36%	9%	8%	23%	12%	20%	16%	15%	15%
Marco Rubio	59	24	17	41	18	14	28	30	34	25	34	18	7
	15%	12%	15%	13%	22%	18%	14%	17%	15%	14%	15%	14%	15%
Bobby Jindal	51	18	13	32	20	10	22	28	25	26	23	19	9
	13%	9%	11%	10%	25%	13%	11%	16%	11%	15%	10%	15%	20%
Ben Carson	48	19	8	27	21	9	18	29	19	29	24	14	11
	12%	10%	7%	8%	26%	12%	9%	17%	9%	16%	10%	11%	24%
Carly Fiorina	46	21	11	32	14	10	22	21	25	20	24	13	8
	11%	10%	9%	10%	17%	13%	11%	12%	11%	11%	11%	10%	18%
Scott Walker	36	10	12	23	13	6	13	23	13	23	20	8	9
	9%	5%	11%	7%	17%	9%	6%	13%	6%	13%	9%	6%	19%

4-17. Now, I'm going to mention some people who are possible or declared candidates for the Republican nomination for president. For each, please tell me if your feelings are very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable toward him or her. If you don't know enough about the person to answer, just say so.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

4-17. IMPRESSION/AWARENESS OF -- SUMMARY OF "TOTAL UNFAVORABLE"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	IMMIGRATION						3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
	TOTAL	CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Donald Trump	236	142	94	120	96	20	96	59	69	80	107	50	135	101	50	186	204
	59%	57%	62%	62%	60%	44%	62%	57%	59%	55%	65%	55%	60%	58%	58%	59%	59%
Chris Christie	224	144	79	105	99	19	83	57	72	91	84	49	130	94	38	185	196
	56%	58%	53%	54%	62%	42%	54%	56%	62%	63%	51%	54%	58%	54%	45%	59%	57%
Jeb Bush	156	105	51	68	75	12	60	31	57	55	63	37	99	57	25	130	138
	39%	42%	34%	35%	47%	27%	39%	30%	49%	38%	38%	41%	44%	33%	29%	42%	40%
Lindsey Graham	141	84	56	72	56	13	58	33	40	51	55	34	86	54	23	117	126
	35%	34%	37%	37%	35%	27%	38%	33%	34%	35%	34%	38%	38%	31%	27%	37%	37%
Rand Paul	105	68	37	56	38	12	44	20	33	35	40	30	68	37	21	84	97
	26%	27%	24%	29%	24%	25%	29%	20%	28%	24%	25%	33%	30%	21%	24%	27%	28%
Mike Huckabee	100	53	47	56	36	7	37	26	29	31	48	21	65	35	16	84	86
	25%	21%	31%	29%	23%	16%	24%	25%	25%	22%	29%	23%	29%	20%	19%	27%	25%
Rick Perry	99	55	44	52	40	8	45	21	25	28	45	26	55	44	14	86	87
	25%	22%	29%	27%	25%	17%	29%	20%	21%	19%	27%	29%	25%	25%	16%	27%	25%
Rick Santorum	99	60	38	45	44	9	42	18	32	32	48	19	66	33	13	85	90
	25%	24%	25%	23%	28%	20%	27%	17%	27%	22%	29%	21%	29%	19%	15%	27%	26%
Ted Cruz	63	30	33	38	18	8	25	15	17	16	29	18	37	26	13	50	55
	16%	12%	22%	19%	11%	17%	16%	15%	14%	11%	18%	20%	16%	15%	15%	16%	16%
Marco Rubio	59	31	28	27	28	4	24	8	24	21	29	9	31	28	11	48	50
	15%	12%	19%	14%	18%	8%	16%	8%	20%	14%	17%	10%	14%	16%	12%	15%	14%
Bobby Jindal	51	28	24	26	20	6	21	12	15	16	25	11	28	23	10	41	46
	13%	11%	16%	13%	12%	13%	13%	11%	13%	11%	15%	12%	13%	13%	12%	13%	13%
Ben Carson	48	31	18	24	18	6	22	11	14	18	20	10	27	22	6	43	41
	12%	12%	12%	13%	12%	12%	14%	11%	12%	12%	12%	11%	12%	13%	7%	14%	12%
Carly Fiorina	46	24	22	24	18	4	17	8	20	15	17	14	26	19	8	37	40
	11%	10%	14%	12%	11%	8%	11%	8%	17%	10%	10%	15%	12%	11%	10%	12%	11%
Scott Walker	36	18	18	19	14	3	17	8	9	8	18	9	24	12	3	33	30
	9%	7%	12%	10%	9%	6%	11%	7%	8%	6%	11%	10%	11%	7%	3%	11%	9%

4-17. Now, I'm going to mention some people who are possible or declared candidates for the Republican nomination for president. For each, please tell me if your feelings are very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable toward him or her. If you don't know enough about the person to answer, just say so.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

4-17. IMPRESSION/AWARENESS OF -- SUMMARY OF "TOTAL UNFAVORABLE"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURREY COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Donald Trump	236	88	66	82	61	52	55	58	70
	59%	54%	64%	62%	52%	59%	58%	57%	62%
Chris Christie	224	84	56	84	59	51	58	53	61
	56%	51%	54%	64%	50%	58%	61%	52%	54%
Jeb Bush	156	64	36	55	43	32	35	44	44
	39%	39%	35%	42%	37%	37%	37%	43%	38%
Lindsey Graham	141	67	33	40	52	24	35	45	36
	35%	41%	32%	30%	44%	27%	37%	44%	32%
Rand Paul	105	49	19	38	32	14	29	29	34
	26%	29%	18%	28%	27%	16%	30%	28%	29%
Mike Huckabee	100	33	33	34	29	26	20	25	29
	25%	20%	32%	26%	25%	29%	21%	25%	25%
Rick Perry	99	39	29	31	34	21	26	31	21
	25%	23%	28%	24%	29%	24%	27%	30%	19%
Rick Santorum	99	41	27	30	35	24	18	38	19
	25%	25%	27%	23%	30%	27%	19%	37%	17%
Ted Cruz	63	33	16	14	28	10	15	27	11
	16%	20%	16%	11%	24%	12%	16%	26%	10%
Marco Rubio	59	26	12	22	18	10	15	18	17
	15%	16%	11%	16%	15%	11%	16%	17%	15%
Bobby Jindal	51	26	11	14	21	6	17	14	14
	13%	16%	11%	11%	18%	7%	18%	14%	12%
Ben Carson	48	28	9	11	23	9	13	20	7
	12%	17%	9%	8%	19%	10%	14%	19%	6%
Carly Fiorina	46	24	10	12	18	7	14	14	10
	11%	15%	10%	9%	15%	8%	15%	14%	9%
Scott Walker	36	19	4	13	16	6	8	12	10
	9%	12%	4%	10%	14%	7%	8%	12%	9%

4-17. Now, I'm going to mention some people who are possible or declared candidates for the Republican nomination for president. For each, please tell me if your feelings are very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable toward him or her. If you don't know enough about the person to answer, just say so.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

18. FIRST CHOICE FOR PRESIDENT IF THE REPUBLICAN CAUCUSES WERE BEING HELD TODAY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Scott Walker	79	57	22	53	19	72	6	44	35	79	14	26	19	17	15	13
	20%	25%	13%	24%	16%	21%	15%	19%	21%	51%	17%	28%	16%	15%	15%	17%
Mike Huckabee	44	20	24	28	13	41	3	20	24	11	8	8	6	6	44	3
	11%	9%	14%	13%	10%	12%	7%	9%	15%	7%	9%	9%	5%	6%	45%	4%
Rand Paul	42	26	16	21	16	37	3	28	14	11	8	6	10	42	3	7
	10%	11%	9%	9%	13%	11%	8%	12%	8%	7%	10%	6%	9%	36%	3%	10%
Ted Cruz	34	23	11	12	16	28	5	23	11	14	2	5	34	14	4	6
	9%	10%	7%	5%	13%	8%	12%	10%	7%	9%	3%	5%	30%	12%	4%	8%
Ben Carson	31	18	12	20	5	25	5	24	7	9	4	8	13	6	10	31
	8%	8%	7%	9%	4%	7%	12%	10%	4%	6%	6%	9%	11%	5%	10%	41%
Jeb Bush	28	10	18	15	9	24	3	15	13	5	28	4	3	6	3	2
	7%	4%	11%	7%	8%	7%	7%	6%	8%	4%	35%	4%	3%	5%	3%	2%
Marco Rubio	24	16	8	9	11	21	2	19	6	7	4	24	9	7	1	5
	6%	7%	5%	4%	9%	6%	5%	8%	3%	5%	5%	26%	7%	6%	1%	6%
Rick Santorum	17	7	11	10	7	17	-	9	8	2	2	5	3	3	9	2
	4%	3%	6%	5%	6%	5%	-	4%	5%	1%	2%	5%	2%	2%	9%	2%
Rick Perry	8	5	3	7	-	7	1	7	1	4	2	-	3	2	2	-
	2%	2%	2%	3%	-	2%	3%	3%	1%	3%	2%	-	3%	2%	2%	-
Donald Trump	8	4	4	5	3	8	-	6	2	-	-	2	2	1	2	1
	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	-	3%	1%	-	-	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%
Chris Christie	6	3	4	4	2	6	-	2	5	-	1	-	1	-	1	-
	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	-	1%	3%	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-
Bobby Jindal	5	3	2	2	3	5	-	2	3	-	1	-	1	1	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	2%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	-	-
Carly Fiorina	3	2	1	2	1	3	-	1	2	1	-	-	-	-	1	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	*%	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-
Lindsey Graham	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-
	*%	*%	-	*%	-	*%	-	*%	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	-
"I am uncommitted" and no choice for lean	35	19	16	12	12	24	9	16	19	4	2	5	6	6	4	5
	9%	8%	9%	6%	9%	7%	23%	7%	11%	2%	2%	5%	5%	5%	4%	6%
Not sure/Don't know and no choice for lean	33	18	15	19	10	28	4	15	18	7	5	1	5	6	1	2
	8%	8%	9%	8%	8%	8%	9%	6%	11%	4%	6%	1%	4%	5%	1%	2%
Refused	1	-	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	*%	-	1%	*%	-	*%	-	*%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

18. If the Republican caucuses were being held today and the following people were candidates for president, who would be your first choice? If: "I am uncommitted" or "not sure" or "don't know", ask: "Toward which candidate would you lean today?"

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

18. FIRST CHOICE FOR PRESIDENT IF THE REPUBLICAN CAUCUSES WERE BEING HELD TODAY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
		18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON-COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON-COLLEGE MEN	
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Scott Walker	79	9	8	42	21	16	25	16	1	17	4	14	23	39	9	13	30	24	
	20%	13%	13%	25%	21%	18%	27%	33%	3%	22%	9%	18%	21%	19%	11%	15%	24%	24%	
Mike Huckabee	44	10	2	19	13	7	9	4	5	10	10	11	16	18	11	13	7	14	
	11%	15%	3%	11%	13%	8%	10%	7%	11%	13%	19%	14%	15%	9%	14%	15%	5%	14%	
Rand Paul	42	7	15	15	4	18	8	1	4	8	4	7	9	26	9	7	17	9	
	10%	11%	24%	9%	4%	20%	8%	2%	11%	10%	7%	9%	9%	12%	11%	8%	13%	9%	
Ted Cruz	34	6	9	14	5	9	10	4	6	4	2	7	12	14	5	5	8	14	
	9%	9%	15%	8%	5%	10%	11%	7%	14%	5%	3%	9%	11%	7%	7%	6%	7%	14%	
Ben Carson	31	3	4	12	12	5	6	8	2	7	4	5	6	19	6	6	13	5	
	8%	4%	6%	7%	12%	5%	6%	17%	5%	9%	7%	7%	6%	9%	7%	8%	10%	6%	
Jeb Bush	28	3	2	12	11	3	5	2	2	7	9	8	5	15	8	9	6	3	
	7%	5%	3%	7%	11%	4%	5%	4%	5%	10%	17%	10%	4%	7%	10%	11%	5%	3%	
Marco Rubio	24	6	3	9	7	9	4	4	-	5	4	2	3	19	5	3	14	2	
	6%	8%	5%	5%	7%	10%	4%	7%		6%	7%	2%	3%	9%	6%	3%	11%	2%	
Rick Santorum	17	1	4	8	4	2	5	-	3	4	4	3	7	6	4	6	2	4	
	4%	2%	6%	5%	4%	2%	5%		8%	5%	7%	4%	7%	3%	5%	8%	1%	4%	
Rick Perry	8	1	2	5	-	1	4	-	2	1	-	-	3	5	3	-	2	3	
	2%	2%	3%	3%		1%	4%		6%	1%			3%	3%	4%		2%	3%	
Donald Trump	8	1	2	1	4	2	1	1	1	-	3	2	4	2	1	3	1	3	
	2%	2%	3%	1%	4%	3%	1%	2%	3%		5%	2%	4%	1%	1%	3%	1%	3%	
Chris Christie	6	-	1	3	3	1	1	1	-	2	2	2	2	3	1	3	2	1	
	2%		2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%		2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	
Bobby Jindal	5	-	2	2	1	2	1	-	-	1	1	1	-	4	2	-	2	1	
	1%		3%	1%	1%	2%	1%			1%	2%	1%		2%	2%		2%	1%	
Carly Fiorina	3	1	1	1	-	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	3	1	-	2	-	
	1%	2%	2%	1%		1%	1%		3%					2%	1%		2%		
Lindsey Graham	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	
	*%			1%			1%						1%					1%	
"I am uncommitted" and no choice for lean	35	14	3	10	8	8	6	4	8	4	4	8	9	17	7	8	10	9	
	9%	20%	5%	6%	8%	9%	7%	9%	21%	5%	7%	11%	9%	8%	9%	10%	8%	9%	
Not sure/Don't know and no choice for lean	33	6	3	16	8	6	8	4	3	9	4	6	7	18	8	8	10	5	
	8%	9%	5%	9%	8%	6%	8%	9%	8%	11%	7%	8%	7%	9%	9%	9%	8%	5%	
Refused	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	
	*%		2%						3%					*%	1%				

18. If the Republican caucuses were being held today and the following people were candidates for president, who would be your first choice? If: "I am uncommitted" or "not sure" or "don't know", ask: "Toward which candidate would you lean today?"



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

18. FIRST CHOICE FOR PRESIDENT IF THE REPUBLICAN CAUCUSES WERE BEING HELD TODAY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Scott Walker	79	41	30	72	7	20	51	26	39	40	37	34	8
	20%	21%	26%	22%	9%	27%	26%	15%	18%	22%	16%	27%	18%
Mike Huckabee	44	27	12	39	5	5	21	20	33	11	33	9	2
	11%	13%	10%	12%	7%	7%	11%	11%	15%	6%	15%	7%	4%
Rand Paul	42	18	11	29	13	10	24	17	16	26	17	17	7
	10%	9%	9%	9%	16%	13%	12%	10%	7%	15%	8%	14%	16%
Ted Cruz	34	27	7	34	1	13	25	7	22	13	16	12	6
	9%	13%	6%	10%	1%	18%	13%	4%	10%	7%	7%	10%	14%
Ben Carson	31	18	7	24	6	7	19	11	19	12	20	5	6
	8%	9%	6%	8%	8%	10%	9%	6%	9%	6%	9%	4%	13%
Jeb Bush	28	7	9	16	12	-	6	21	14	14	19	6	4
	7%	4%	7%	5%	15%		3%	12%	6%	8%	8%	4%	8%
Marco Rubio	24	11	4	15	10	5	12	11	8	16	11	11	2
	6%	6%	3%	5%	12%	6%	6%	6%	4%	9%	5%	9%	4%
Rick Santorum	17	9	7	16	1	1	5	10	13	4	15	2	-
	4%	4%	6%	5%	1%	1%	2%	5%	6%	3%	7%	1%	
Rick Perry	8	2	5	7	1	-	2	5	4	4	6	1	1
	2%	1%	4%	2%	1%		1%	3%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%
Donald Trump	8	6	-	6	2	3	7	1	8	-	2	5	1
	2%	3%		2%	2%	4%	3%	1%	4%		1%	4%	2%
Chris Christie	6	1	3	4	3	-	-	6	1	6	3	4	-
	2%	*%	2%	1%	3%			3%	*%	3%	1%	3%	
Bobby Jindal	5	-	2	2	3	1	3	2	2	3	3	1	1
	1%		2%	1%	4%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%
Carly Fiorina	3	2	1	3	-	1	2	1	1	2	-	2	1
	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	1%	*%	1%		1%	3%
Lindsey Graham	1	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	-
	*%	*%		*%			*%		*%		*%		
"I am uncommitted" and no choice for lean	35	12	12	25	10	4	9	23	16	18	22	10	3
	9%	6%	10%	8%	13%	5%	4%	13%	7%	10%	10%	8%	6%
Not sure/Don't know and no choice for lean	33	17	10	27	6	4	14	16	24	9	20	10	3
	8%	9%	8%	8%	7%	6%	7%	9%	11%	5%	9%	8%	6%
Refused	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	-
	*%				1%			1%		1%	*%		

18. If the Republican caucuses were being held today and the following people were candidates for president, who would be your first choice? If: "I am uncommitted" or "not sure" or "don't know", ask: "Toward which candidate would you lean today?"

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

18. FIRST CHOICE FOR PRESIDENT IF THE REPUBLICAN CAUCUSES WERE BEING HELD TODAY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Scott Walker	79	53	26	33	40	6	23	29	23	30	33	16	42	37	13	66	71
	20%	21%	17%	17%	25%	13%	15%	28%	20%	21%	20%	17%	19%	21%	15%	21%	20%
Mike Huckabee	44	34	10	20	21	4	19	9	13	17	13	14	23	22	13	31	41
	11%	14%	6%	10%	13%	8%	12%	9%	11%	12%	8%	15%	10%	12%	15%	10%	12%
Rand Paul	42	26	16	22	18	2	17	13	10	15	21	6	28	13	3	39	35
	10%	10%	11%	11%	11%	4%	11%	13%	9%	10%	13%	7%	13%	8%	3%	12%	10%
Ted Cruz	34	25	9	15	19	-	13	8	13	17	9	8	22	13	9	26	29
	9%	10%	6%	8%	12%		8%	8%	11%	12%	6%	8%	10%	7%	10%	8%	8%
Ben Carson	31	20	11	12	14	5	10	6	11	11	11	9	18	13	5	26	28
	8%	8%	7%	6%	9%	10%	7%	6%	10%	7%	7%	10%	8%	7%	5%	8%	8%
Jeb Bush	28	12	16	15	7	6	14	6	9	13	11	4	15	13	6	22	26
	7%	5%	11%	8%	4%	13%	9%	5%	7%	9%	7%	4%	7%	7%	6%	7%	8%
Marco Rubio	24	16	8	13	10	1	7	8	7	5	14	6	13	11	5	20	22
	6%	6%	6%	7%	6%	2%	4%	8%	6%	3%	9%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%
Rick Santorum	17	7	10	6	8	4	10	2	5	5	10	3	13	4	4	13	15
	4%	3%	7%	3%	5%	8%	7%	2%	4%	3%	6%	3%	6%	2%	5%	4%	4%
Rick Perry	8	6	2	6	2	-	3	3	2	4	4	-	7	1	1	7	8
	2%	3%	1%	3%	1%		2%	3%	2%	3%	3%		3%	1%	1%	2%	2%
Donald Trump	8	6	2	3	3	2	2	-	6	-	3	4	5	3	2	6	6
	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	5%	1%		5%		2%	5%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Chris Christie	6	4	3	6	1	-	5	1	-	1	5	1	3	4	3	4	5
	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%		3%	1%		1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%
Bobby Jindal	5	-	5	5	-	-	4	-	-	1	4	-	4	1	1	4	4
	1%		3%	2%			2%			1%	2%		2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Carly Fiorina	3	2	1	1	1	1	2	-	1	1	1	1	2	1	-	3	2
	1%	1%	1%	*%	1%	3%	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%
Lindsey Graham	1	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	1
	*%	*%		1%			1%			1%				1%		*%	*%
"I am uncommitted" and no choice for lean	35	19	16	19	8	8	11	9	10	12	13	9	12	23	11	24	26
	9%	8%	10%	10%	5%	17%	7%	9%	8%	8%	8%	10%	5%	13%	12%	8%	8%
Not sure/Don't know and no choice for lean	33	18	15	17	8	8	14	6	8	11	11	11	17	16	11	22	27
	8%	7%	10%	9%	5%	17%	9%	6%	7%	7%	7%	12%	8%	9%	13%	7%	8%
Refused	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-
	*%		1%		1%			1%		1%			*%		1%		

18. If the Republican caucuses were being held today and the following people were candidates for president, who would be your first choice? If: "I am uncommitted" or "not sure" or "don't know", ask: "Toward which candidate would you lean today?"

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

18. FIRST CHOICE FOR PRESIDENT IF THE REPUBLICAN CAUCUSES WERE BEING HELD TODAY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURREY COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Scott Walker	79	27	25	27	18	23	17	15	24
	20%	16%	24%	20%	15%	26%	18%	15%	21%
Mike Huckabee	44	20	9	15	14	6	10	13	15
	11%	12%	9%	11%	12%	7%	11%	13%	13%
Rand Paul	42	16	10	16	13	12	6	10	14
	10%	10%	10%	12%	11%	14%	6%	10%	12%
Ted Cruz	34	16	11	7	9	10	11	9	5
	9%	10%	10%	6%	8%	11%	12%	8%	4%
Ben Carson	31	15	6	10	10	5	7	11	9
	8%	9%	6%	8%	9%	5%	7%	10%	8%
Jeb Bush	28	11	9	8	11	5	9	9	5
	7%	7%	9%	6%	9%	5%	9%	9%	5%
Marco Rubio	24	11	8	5	10	8	5	5	6
	6%	7%	8%	3%	8%	9%	5%	5%	6%
Rick Santorum	17	6	2	9	4	2	6	1	8
	4%	4%	2%	7%	4%	2%	7%	1%	7%
Rick Perry	8	3	2	3	2	1	1	1	5
	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	5%
Donald Trump	8	4	1	3	3	2	2	3	1
	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	1%
Chris Christie	6	2	2	3	2	2	1	2	2
	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%
Bobby Jindal	5	3	2	-	3	-	2	3	-
	1%	2%	2%	-	2%	-	2%	3%	-
Carly Fiorina	3	1	1	1	1	-	2	1	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	-
Lindsey Graham	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-
	*%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-
"I am uncommitted" and no choice for lean	35	15	9	10	9	9	9	13	4
	9%	9%	9%	8%	8%	11%	10%	13%	3%
Not sure/Don't know and no choice for lean	33	13	6	13	9	3	7	6	17
	8%	8%	6%	10%	7%	4%	8%	5%	15%
Refused	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-
	*%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-

18. If the Republican caucuses were being held today and the following people were candidates for president, who would be your first choice? If: "I am uncommitted" or "not sure" or "don't know", ask: "Toward which candidate would you lean today?"

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

18/19. CHOICES OPEN TO FOR PRESIDENT IF THE REPUBLICAN CAUCUSES WERE BEING HELD TODAY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	GENDER			POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
	TOTAL	MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Scott Walker	156	103	53	95	44	139	13	99	56	156	32	54	59	50	39	37
	39%	44%	31%	43%	35%	40%	33%	43%	34%	100%	39%	57%	52%	44%	40%	50%
Rand Paul	115	78	37	52	44	97	12	82	33	50	31	34	49	115	25	34
	29%	34%	22%	23%	35%	28%	31%	35%	20%	32%	39%	37%	42%	100%	25%	46%
Ted Cruz	115	73	42	61	42	103	8	82	33	59	16	41	115	49	28	34
	29%	31%	25%	28%	33%	30%	20%	35%	20%	38%	20%	44%	100%	42%	29%	46%
Mike Huckabee	98	51	48	65	28	93	3	55	44	39	20	29	28	25	98	25
	25%	22%	28%	29%	22%	27%	7%	23%	26%	25%	25%	31%	24%	22%	100%	33%
Marco Rubio	94	52	42	51	35	85	6	66	28	54	21	94	41	34	29	31
	23%	22%	25%	23%	28%	25%	15%	28%	17%	35%	26%	100%	36%	30%	29%	41%
Jeb Bush	80	44	36	48	27	75	4	48	33	32	80	21	16	31	20	19
	20%	19%	22%	22%	21%	22%	11%	21%	20%	20%	100%	22%	14%	27%	21%	26%
Ben Carson	75	48	27	41	22	64	8	51	24	37	19	31	34	34	25	75
	19%	21%	16%	19%	18%	18%	22%	22%	14%	24%	24%	33%	30%	30%	25%	100%
Bobby Jindal	58	35	22	27	23	50	7	42	15	30	18	27	30	23	22	23
	14%	15%	13%	12%	19%	14%	17%	18%	9%	19%	22%	29%	26%	20%	22%	31%
Rick Santorum	57	29	28	31	22	53	3	35	22	26	14	24	25	24	36	19
	14%	13%	17%	14%	18%	15%	7%	15%	13%	17%	17%	26%	22%	21%	36%	25%
Rick Perry	44	24	20	25	13	38	6	27	17	28	15	21	21	24	21	14
	11%	11%	12%	11%	10%	11%	15%	12%	10%	18%	18%	22%	18%	21%	21%	19%
Chris Christie	42	20	22	19	17	35	5	20	22	16	19	16	13	19	14	13
	10%	9%	13%	8%	13%	10%	12%	9%	13%	10%	24%	17%	11%	16%	14%	17%
Donald Trump	39	21	18	17	18	35	2	26	13	13	11	16	17	15	18	11
	10%	9%	11%	7%	14%	10%	5%	11%	8%	8%	13%	17%	15%	13%	18%	15%
Carly Fiorina	29	20	9	11	12	23	5	18	11	22	8	14	17	13	9	16
	7%	9%	6%	5%	9%	7%	12%	8%	7%	14%	10%	15%	15%	11%	9%	21%
Lindsey Graham	10	7	3	5	5	10	-	5	5	9	8	8	8	6	8	8
	3%	3%	2%	2%	4%	3%	-	2%	3%	6%	10%	9%	7%	6%	8%	11%
"I am uncommitted" and no choice for lean	25	14	12	10	7	17	6	9	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	6%	6%	7%	4%	6%	5%	15%	4%	10%							
Not sure/Don't know and no choice for lean	24	11	13	14	7	21	3	7	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	6%	5%	7%	6%	6%	6%	7%	3%	10%							
Refused	1	-	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	*%		1%	*%		*%		*%								

18. If the Republican caucuses were being held today and the following people were candidates for president, who would be your first choice? If: "I am uncommitted" or "not sure" or "don't know", ask: "Toward which candidate would you lean today?"

19. Because a lot could happen between now and the Caucuses, what other candidates are you open to?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

18/19. CHOICES OPEN TO FOR PRESIDENT IF THE REPUBLICAN CAUCUSES WERE BEING HELD TODAY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
		18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON-COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON-COLLEGE MEN	
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Scott Walker	156	18	22	74	42	32	45	25	8	28	17	26	44	77	21	29	56	41	
	39%	26%	35%	43%	42%	36%	49%	52%	19%	37%	33%	36%	40%	37%	27%	34%	44%	42%	
Rand Paul	115	22	37	42	14	46	27	5	13	15	9	19	29	64	21	15	43	33	
	29%	32%	60%	25%	14%	51%	29%	11%	33%	20%	17%	25%	27%	31%	26%	18%	34%	33%	
Ted Cruz	115	20	24	49	22	32	29	12	11	20	11	17	37	58	22	19	36	35	
	29%	29%	39%	29%	22%	36%	31%	24%	29%	26%	21%	23%	34%	28%	27%	22%	28%	36%	
Mike Huckabee	98	13	15	45	26	16	25	10	12	20	16	20	30	46	25	23	21	27	
	25%	18%	24%	26%	26%	17%	27%	20%	30%	26%	31%	27%	28%	22%	31%	27%	16%	27%	
Marco Rubio	94	10	16	40	28	19	21	12	7	19	16	13	24	54	18	22	36	14	
	23%	15%	26%	24%	28%	21%	23%	24%	18%	25%	31%	17%	22%	26%	22%	27%	28%	14%	
Jeb Bush	80	16	9	30	26	18	16	11	7	14	15	13	20	45	18	17	27	16	
	20%	24%	15%	17%	26%	20%	17%	22%	18%	18%	29%	18%	18%	22%	22%	20%	21%	17%	
Ben Carson	75	11	15	30	19	19	18	11	8	11	8	9	22	42	13	15	29	16	
	19%	17%	24%	17%	19%	21%	20%	22%	20%	15%	16%	12%	20%	20%	16%	17%	23%	17%	
Bobby Jindal	58	3	13	26	15	11	16	8	5	10	7	8	11	36	11	10	24	9	
	14%	5%	21%	15%	15%	12%	17%	17%	13%	13%	14%	11%	10%	17%	14%	12%	19%	9%	
Rick Santorum	57	3	15	26	13	9	16	4	9	10	9	11	19	24	15	13	10	17	
	14%	4%	24%	15%	13%	10%	17%	9%	22%	14%	17%	15%	17%	12%	18%	16%	8%	17%	
Rick Perry	44	3	11	25	6	4	17	4	10	8	3	6	15	20	10	9	10	12	
	11%	4%	18%	14%	6%	4%	18%	7%	24%	10%	5%	8%	14%	10%	12%	11%	8%	12%	
Chris Christie	42	3	10	18	11	7	8	4	6	9	6	8	12	20	9	12	11	8	
	10%	5%	16%	10%	11%	8%	9%	9%	16%	12%	12%	11%	11%	10%	11%	15%	9%	8%	
Donald Trump	39	8	13	5	12	14	4	4	7	2	9	7	17	13	7	11	7	13	
	10%	11%	21%	3%	13%	15%	4%	7%	18%	2%	17%	10%	16%	6%	8%	13%	5%	14%	
Carly Fiorina	29	1	7	8	12	6	6	7	2	2	5	4	6	17	5	4	12	5	
	7%	2%	11%	5%	13%	7%	7%	15%	5%	2%	10%	5%	6%	8%	6%	5%	10%	6%	
Lindsey Graham	10	-	1	5	4	1	4	3	-	1	2	3	4	3	-	3	3	4	
	3%		2%	3%	4%	1%	4%	6%		1%	3%	4%	3%	1%		3%	2%	4%	
"I am uncommitted" and no choice for lean	25	10	1	8	6	6	4	4	5	4	3	7	4	14	6	5	7	6	
	6%	15%	2%	4%	6%	7%	4%	7%	13%	5%	5%	10%	4%	7%	8%	6%	6%	7%	
Not sure/Don't know and no choice for lean	24	4	-	12	7	3	5	4	1	8	4	4	6	13	6	7	7	4	
	6%	6%		7%	7%	3%	5%	7%	3%	10%	7%	5%	6%	6%	7%	8%	6%	4%	
Refused	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	
	*%		2%						3%					*%	1%				

18. If the Republican caucuses were being held today and the following people were candidates for president, who would be your first choice? If: "I am uncommitted" or "not sure" or "don't know", ask: "Toward which candidate would you lean today?"

19. Because a lot could happen between now and the Caucuses, what other candidates are you open to?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

18/19. CHOICES OPEN TO FOR PRESIDENT IF THE REPUBLICAN CAUCUSES WERE BEING HELD TODAY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
	TOTAL	VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Scott Walker	156	88	48	136	20	36	96	51	85	70	83	55	18
	39%	44%	41%	42%	25%	48%	48%	29%	39%	39%	36%	43%	40%
Rand Paul	115	56	36	92	24	25	72	40	59	56	57	42	17
	29%	28%	30%	29%	30%	33%	36%	22%	27%	31%	25%	32%	38%
Ted Cruz	115	76	30	106	9	34	79	32	69	46	60	34	21
	29%	38%	26%	33%	11%	45%	39%	18%	31%	26%	26%	27%	47%
Mike Huckabee	98	59	28	87	11	13	52	42	72	26	72	17	9
	25%	29%	24%	27%	14%	17%	26%	24%	33%	15%	32%	13%	20%
Marco Rubio	94	48	26	74	20	16	56	36	47	47	52	32	10
	23%	24%	22%	23%	24%	21%	28%	21%	21%	26%	23%	25%	22%
Jeb Bush	80	30	30	59	21	3	29	49	39	42	46	26	8
	20%	15%	25%	19%	26%	4%	14%	28%	18%	23%	20%	20%	19%
Ben Carson	75	44	19	62	13	21	47	27	47	28	45	18	11
	19%	22%	16%	19%	16%	28%	24%	15%	21%	15%	20%	14%	26%
Bobby Jindal	58	31	16	47	10	13	35	20	34	23	38	14	6
	14%	16%	13%	15%	13%	18%	18%	12%	16%	13%	17%	11%	12%
Rick Santorum	57	34	17	51	7	9	29	25	38	19	43	10	4
	14%	17%	14%	16%	8%	12%	14%	14%	17%	11%	19%	8%	8%
Rick Perry	44	19	19	38	7	5	18	21	27	18	29	12	4
	11%	9%	16%	12%	8%	7%	9%	12%	12%	10%	13%	9%	8%
Chris Christie	42	12	16	29	13	4	8	30	20	22	23	15	4
	10%	6%	14%	9%	16%	5%	4%	17%	9%	12%	10%	11%	8%
Donald Trump	39	24	8	32	6	8	19	18	26	13	19	14	6
	10%	12%	7%	10%	8%	10%	10%	10%	12%	7%	8%	11%	13%
Carly Fiorina	29	17	9	26	3	10	19	9	15	15	13	11	6
	7%	8%	8%	8%	3%	13%	9%	5%	7%	8%	6%	8%	13%
Lindsey Graham	10	4	4	8	2	1	5	5	9	1	8	1	1
	3%	2%	4%	3%	2%	1%	2%	3%	4%	1%	4%	1%	2%
"I am uncommitted" and no choice for lean	25	9	8	17	8	2	4	19	12	13	16	9	1
	6%	4%	7%	5%	10%	3%	2%	11%	5%	7%	7%	7%	2%
Not sure/Don't know and no choice for lean	24	13	6	19	4	3	9	13	18	5	17	7	-
	6%	7%	5%	6%	5%	4%	5%	7%	8%	3%	7%	5%	-
Refused	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	-
	*%				1%			1%		1%	*%		

18. If the Republican caucuses were being held today and the following people were candidates for president, who would be your first choice? If: "I am uncommitted" or "not sure" or "don't know", ask: "Toward which candidate would you lean today?"

19. Because a lot could happen between now and the Caucuses, what other candidates are you open to?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

18/19. CHOICES OPEN TO FOR PRESIDENT IF THE REPUBLICAN CAUCUSES WERE BEING HELD TODAY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Scott Walker	156	100	56	78	65	12	52	45	47	66	64	26	91	65	29	127	139
	39%	40%	37%	40%	41%	27%	34%	44%	40%	45%	39%	29%	40%	37%	34%	40%	40%
Rand Paul	115	71	44	62	47	7	42	33	33	44	48	22	74	42	14	102	100
	29%	29%	29%	32%	29%	14%	27%	32%	28%	31%	30%	25%	33%	24%	16%	32%	29%
Ted Cruz	115	81	34	57	49	8	38	31	41	50	37	28	74	41	23	92	104
	29%	33%	23%	30%	31%	18%	25%	30%	35%	34%	23%	31%	33%	24%	27%	29%	30%
Mike Huckabee	98	64	35	51	43	5	37	28	29	38	41	20	59	39	24	75	89
	25%	26%	23%	26%	27%	10%	24%	28%	25%	26%	25%	22%	26%	22%	28%	24%	26%
Marco Rubio	94	66	28	50	36	9	36	33	20	29	48	17	60	34	19	75	82
	23%	26%	19%	26%	22%	19%	23%	32%	17%	20%	29%	18%	27%	19%	22%	24%	24%
Jeb Bush	80	41	39	56	18	7	40	18	20	35	30	15	46	35	16	64	69
	20%	17%	26%	29%	11%	14%	26%	18%	17%	24%	18%	16%	20%	20%	19%	20%	20%
Ben Carson	75	50	25	36	31	7	23	17	28	26	32	17	47	28	11	64	67
	19%	20%	16%	19%	19%	16%	15%	16%	23%	18%	19%	19%	21%	16%	13%	20%	19%
Bobby Jindal	58	35	23	41	14	3	23	15	15	25	25	8	38	19	6	52	50
	14%	14%	15%	21%	9%	6%	15%	15%	13%	18%	15%	8%	17%	11%	7%	16%	15%
Rick Santorum	57	34	23	28	22	7	25	14	16	24	27	6	37	20	11	46	51
	14%	14%	15%	15%	14%	14%	16%	14%	14%	16%	16%	7%	16%	11%	13%	15%	15%
Rick Perry	44	27	17	27	16	2	17	13	11	14	22	8	31	14	9	36	38
	11%	11%	11%	14%	10%	4%	11%	13%	10%	10%	14%	8%	14%	8%	10%	11%	11%
Chris Christie	42	19	23	30	8	4	22	11	6	13	22	6	27	15	7	35	33
	10%	8%	15%	15%	5%	8%	15%	11%	5%	9%	13%	7%	12%	8%	8%	11%	10%
Donald Trump	39	27	11	14	21	4	9	9	18	15	15	9	25	14	13	26	32
	10%	11%	8%	7%	13%	9%	6%	9%	15%	10%	9%	10%	11%	8%	15%	8%	9%
Carly Fiorina	29	21	8	15	13	1	5	10	11	10	14	5	17	12	5	25	26
	7%	8%	5%	8%	8%	3%	3%	10%	9%	7%	9%	6%	8%	7%	5%	8%	8%
Lindsey Graham	10	6	4	9	1	-	3	5	2	2	5	3	5	5	2	8	9
	3%	3%	2%	5%	1%	-	2%	4%	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%
"I am uncommitted" and no choice for lean	25	12	13	15	6	4	10	5	7	7	10	8	8	17	7	18	18
	6%	5%	9%	8%	4%	9%	6%	5%	6%	5%	6%	8%	4%	10%	9%	6%	5%
Not sure/Don't know and no choice for lean	24	14	9	10	7	6	11	5	5	7	8	8	10	14	8	16	19
	6%	6%	6%	5%	5%	13%	7%	5%	4%	5%	5%	9%	4%	8%	9%	5%	6%
Refused	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-
	*%	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	*%	-	1%	-	-

18. If the Republican caucuses were being held today and the following people were candidates for president, who would be your first choice? If: "I am uncommitted" or "not sure" or "don't know", ask: "Toward which candidate would you lean today?"

19. Because a lot could happen between now and the Caucuses, what other candidates are you open to?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

18/19. CHOICES OPEN TO FOR PRESIDENT IF THE REPUBLICAN CAUCUSES WERE BEING HELD TODAY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Scott Walker	156	60	44	52	37	39	29	31	56
	39%	36%	43%	39%	31%	44%	30%	31%	49%
Rand Paul	115	47	36	33	38	33	18	31	33
	29%	28%	35%	25%	32%	37%	19%	30%	29%
Ted Cruz	115	47	28	40	32	28	27	29	31
	29%	28%	28%	30%	28%	32%	28%	29%	27%
Mike Huckabee	98	45	21	32	31	17	19	25	37
	25%	27%	21%	24%	26%	20%	20%	24%	32%
Marco Rubio	94	42	25	26	30	27	12	23	32
	23%	26%	24%	20%	26%	31%	13%	23%	28%
Jeb Bush	80	28	24	29	25	16	18	22	24
	20%	17%	23%	22%	21%	18%	19%	22%	21%
Ben Carson	75	31	21	23	21	20	14	16	25
	19%	19%	20%	18%	18%	23%	15%	16%	22%
Bobby Jindal	58	30	14	13	21	11	13	18	15
	14%	18%	14%	10%	18%	13%	14%	17%	13%
Rick Santorum	57	24	11	22	15	9	11	13	24
	14%	14%	11%	17%	13%	11%	12%	13%	21%
Rick Perry	44	22	10	13	14	7	5	12	21
	11%	13%	10%	10%	12%	8%	5%	12%	18%
Chris Christie	42	16	8	18	12	8	8	8	17
	10%	10%	7%	13%	10%	9%	9%	8%	15%
Donald Trump	39	17	7	14	13	9	7	14	8
	10%	11%	7%	11%	11%	10%	8%	14%	7%
Carly Fiorina	29	12	4	13	8	3	8	9	9
	7%	7%	4%	10%	7%	3%	8%	9%	8%
Lindsey Graham	10	3	1	6	1	1	1	3	5
	3%	2%	1%	5%	1%	1%	1%	3%	5%
"I am uncommitted" and no choice for lean	25	12	5	8	6	5	9	9	2
	6%	8%	5%	6%	6%	6%	10%	9%	2%
Not sure/Don't know and no choice for lean	24	10	6	8	7	3	5	5	10
	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	4%	6%	5%	9%
Refused	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-
	*%			1%				1%	

18. If the Republican caucuses were being held today and the following people were candidates for president, who would be your first choice? If: "I am uncommitted" or "not sure" or "don't know", ask: "Toward which candidate would you lean today?"

19. Because a lot could happen between now and the Caucuses, what other candidates are you open to?



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

19. OTHER CHOICES OPEN TO FOR PRESIDENT IF THE REPUBLICAN CAUCUSES WERE BEING HELD TODAY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Ted Cruz	81	50	31	49	26	75	3	59	22	45	14	37	81	35	24	29
	20%	22%	18%	22%	21%	22%	8%	25%	13%	29%	17%	39%	70%	30%	25%	38%
Scott Walker	76	46	31	42	24	66	7	55	21	76	18	28	41	33	24	24
	19%	20%	18%	19%	19%	19%	18%	24%	12%	49%	22%	30%	35%	29%	25%	32%
Rand Paul	73	52	22	31	29	60	9	54	20	39	23	29	39	73	22	27
	18%	22%	13%	14%	23%	17%	22%	23%	12%	25%	29%	31%	34%	64%	23%	36%
Marco Rubio	70	36	34	41	24	65	4	47	22	46	17	70	33	28	28	26
	17%	15%	20%	19%	19%	19%	10%	20%	13%	30%	22%	74%	28%	24%	28%	35%
Mike Huckabee	54	30	24	37	15	52	-	35	20	28	13	20	22	19	54	22
	14%	13%	14%	17%	12%	15%	-	15%	12%	18%	16%	22%	20%	16%	55%	29%
Bobby Jindal	53	32	21	25	21	45	7	40	12	30	17	27	29	22	22	23
	13%	14%	12%	11%	16%	13%	17%	17%	7%	19%	21%	29%	25%	19%	22%	31%
Jeb Bush	53	34	18	34	17	51	2	33	20	26	53	17	13	26	18	17
	13%	15%	11%	15%	14%	15%	5%	14%	12%	17%	65%	19%	11%	22%	18%	23%
Ben Carson	44	29	15	21	18	39	4	28	17	28	15	22	22	29	15	44
	11%	13%	9%	9%	14%	11%	10%	12%	10%	18%	18%	24%	19%	25%	15%	59%
Rick Santorum	40	22	17	21	15	36	3	25	14	24	12	20	23	21	27	17
	10%	10%	10%	9%	12%	10%	7%	11%	9%	16%	15%	21%	20%	19%	27%	23%
Rick Perry	36	20	17	18	13	31	5	20	16	24	13	21	18	22	19	14
	9%	8%	10%	8%	10%	9%	12%	9%	10%	15%	16%	22%	15%	19%	19%	19%
Chris Christie	35	17	18	15	15	30	5	18	17	16	18	16	12	19	13	13
	9%	7%	11%	7%	12%	9%	12%	8%	10%	10%	23%	17%	11%	16%	13%	17%
Donald Trump	31	17	14	12	15	27	2	20	11	13	11	14	15	14	16	10
	8%	7%	8%	5%	12%	8%	5%	9%	7%	8%	13%	15%	13%	12%	16%	14%
Carly Fiorina	26	18	8	9	11	20	5	17	9	20	8	14	17	13	8	16
	6%	8%	5%	4%	9%	6%	12%	7%	5%	13%	10%	15%	15%	11%	8%	21%
Lindsey Graham	9	6	3	4	5	9	-	4	5	9	7	8	7	6	8	8
	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%	3%	-	2%	3%	6%	9%	9%	6%	6%	8%	11%
Not sure/Don't know	104	55	49	58	29	88	12	46	58	14	7	5	1	3	9	5
	26%	24%	29%	26%	23%	25%	32%	20%	34%	9%	9%	5%	1%	2%	9%	6%
Refused	5	3	2	2	2	4	1	3	2	1	-	-	-	3	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%				3%		

19. Because a lot could happen between now and the Caucuses, what other candidates are you open to?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

19. OTHER CHOICES OPEN TO FOR PRESIDENT IF THE REPUBLICAN CAUCUSES WERE BEING HELD TODAY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
		18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON-COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON-COLLEGE MEN	
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Ted Cruz	81	14	15	35	17	23	19	8	6	16	9	10	24	44	17	13	28	21	
	20%	20%	24%	20%	17%	26%	20%	17%	14%	21%	17%	14%	22%	21%	21%	16%	22%	22%	
Scott Walker	76	9	14	32	21	16	20	9	7	12	12	13	20	38	13	16	26	17	
	19%	13%	23%	19%	21%	18%	22%	19%	17%	15%	24%	17%	19%	19%	16%	19%	20%	17%	
Rand Paul	73	15	22	27	10	28	19	4	9	8	5	12	20	38	13	8	26	23	
	18%	22%	35%	16%	10%	31%	21%	9%	22%	10%	10%	16%	18%	18%	16%	10%	20%	24%	
Marco Rubio	70	5	13	31	21	10	17	8	7	14	12	11	21	35	13	20	22	12	
	17%	7%	21%	19%	21%	11%	19%	17%	18%	18%	24%	15%	19%	17%	16%	23%	17%	12%	
Mike Huckabee	54	3	13	26	12	8	16	6	7	10	6	9	14	28	14	10	14	13	
	14%	4%	21%	15%	13%	9%	17%	13%	18%	13%	12%	12%	13%	13%	17%	12%	11%	14%	
Bobby Jindal	53	3	11	24	14	9	15	8	5	9	6	7	11	32	9	10	22	8	
	13%	5%	18%	14%	14%	10%	16%	17%	13%	12%	12%	10%	10%	15%	12%	12%	18%	8%	
Jeb Bush	53	13	7	18	15	14	11	9	5	7	6	5	15	30	9	8	20	13	
	13%	19%	11%	10%	15%	16%	12%	19%	13%	8%	12%	7%	14%	14%	12%	9%	16%	13%	
Ben Carson	44	9	11	17	7	14	13	3	6	5	4	4	16	23	7	8	16	11	
	11%	13%	18%	10%	7%	15%	14%	6%	15%	6%	9%	5%	14%	11%	8%	10%	13%	11%	
Rick Santorum	40	1	11	18	10	7	11	4	5	7	5	8	11	18	11	7	8	13	
	10%	2%	18%	10%	10%	8%	12%	9%	13%	9%	10%	11%	10%	9%	13%	8%	6%	13%	
Rick Perry	36	1	9	20	6	3	13	4	7	7	3	6	12	15	7	9	8	9	
	9%	2%	15%	12%	6%	3%	14%	7%	18%	9%	5%	8%	12%	7%	8%	11%	7%	10%	
Chris Christie	35	3	9	15	8	6	8	4	6	7	4	6	10	17	8	10	9	7	
	9%	5%	15%	9%	8%	6%	8%	7%	16%	10%	9%	8%	9%	8%	9%	11%	7%	7%	
Donald Trump	31	6	11	5	9	11	3	3	6	2	6	6	13	11	6	8	6	10	
	8%	9%	18%	3%	9%	13%	3%	6%	15%	2%	12%	8%	12%	6%	7%	10%	4%	10%	
Carly Fiorina	26	-	6	7	12	5	6	7	1	2	5	4	6	14	4	4	10	5	
	6%	-	10%	4%	13%	6%	6%	15%	3%	2%	10%	5%	6%	7%	5%	5%	8%	6%	
Lindsey Graham	9	-	1	4	4	1	3	3	-	1	2	3	3	3	-	3	3	3	
	2%	-	2%	2%	4%	1%	3%	6%	-	1%	3%	4%	2%	1%	-	3%	2%	3%	
Not sure/Don't know	104	21	8	43	31	18	22	15	12	22	16	23	22	58	23	27	35	18	
	26%	31%	13%	25%	31%	20%	23%	31%	29%	28%	31%	31%	20%	28%	28%	32%	28%	19%	
Refused	5	-	1	2	2	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	3	1	1	2	1	
	1%	-	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	

19. Because a lot could happen between now and the Caucuses, what other candidates are you open to?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

19. OTHER CHOICES OPEN TO FOR PRESIDENT IF THE REPUBLICAN CAUCUSES WERE BEING HELD TODAY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Ted Cruz	81	49	24	72	8	21	54	25	48	33	44	22	15
	20%	24%	20%	23%	10%	28%	27%	14%	22%	18%	19%	17%	33%
Scott Walker	76	46	18	64	12	15	45	26	46	31	46	21	10
	19%	23%	15%	20%	15%	20%	22%	14%	21%	17%	20%	16%	21%
Rand Paul	73	38	25	62	11	16	48	23	44	30	40	24	10
	18%	19%	21%	20%	14%	21%	24%	13%	20%	17%	17%	19%	22%
Marco Rubio	70	37	23	60	10	12	43	25	39	31	41	21	8
	17%	18%	19%	19%	12%	15%	22%	14%	17%	17%	18%	16%	18%
Mike Huckabee	54	32	17	49	6	8	30	22	39	15	39	8	7
	14%	16%	14%	15%	7%	10%	15%	12%	18%	8%	17%	6%	16%
Bobby Jindal	53	31	14	45	7	12	32	19	32	20	36	13	5
	13%	16%	12%	14%	9%	16%	16%	10%	15%	11%	16%	10%	10%
Jeb Bush	53	22	21	43	9	3	23	28	25	28	27	21	5
	13%	11%	18%	14%	11%	4%	12%	16%	11%	16%	12%	16%	10%
Ben Carson	44	26	12	38	6	14	28	16	28	16	25	14	5
	11%	13%	10%	12%	8%	18%	14%	9%	13%	9%	11%	11%	12%
Rick Santorum	40	25	10	34	6	8	24	16	25	14	28	8	4
	10%	12%	8%	11%	7%	11%	12%	9%	11%	8%	12%	6%	8%
Rick Perry	36	16	14	31	6	5	16	16	23	14	23	11	3
	9%	8%	12%	10%	7%	7%	8%	9%	10%	8%	10%	8%	6%
Chris Christie	35	11	14	25	10	4	8	25	19	17	21	11	4
	9%	6%	11%	8%	13%	5%	4%	14%	8%	9%	9%	9%	8%
Donald Trump	31	18	8	26	5	5	12	17	18	13	17	9	5
	8%	9%	7%	8%	6%	6%	6%	9%	8%	7%	7%	7%	11%
Carly Fiorina	26	15	8	23	3	9	17	8	14	12	13	9	4
	6%	7%	7%	7%	3%	12%	8%	5%	6%	7%	6%	7%	10%
Lindsey Graham	9	3	4	7	2	1	4	5	8	1	7	1	1
	2%	1%	4%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	4%	1%	3%	1%	2%
Not sure/Don't know	104	45	32	77	27	16	37	62	59	45	65	35	5
	26%	22%	27%	24%	34%	21%	19%	35%	27%	25%	28%	27%	10%
Refused	5	2	1	3	2	-	-	5	1	4	1	2	2
	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%			3%	*%	2%	*%	1%	4%

19. Because a lot could happen between now and the Caucuses, what other candidates are you open to?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

19. OTHER CHOICES OPEN TO FOR PRESIDENT IF THE REPUBLICAN CAUCUSES WERE BEING HELD TODAY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Ted Cruz	81	56	25	42	30	8	26	23	28	32	28	21	52	29	15	66	75
	20%	22%	17%	22%	19%	18%	17%	23%	24%	22%	17%	23%	23%	16%	17%	21%	22%
Scott Walker	76	47	30	45	26	6	29	17	24	35	31	10	48	28	16	60	69
	19%	19%	20%	23%	16%	13%	19%	17%	21%	24%	19%	11%	21%	16%	19%	19%	20%
Rand Paul	73	46	27	40	29	5	25	20	23	29	28	16	45	28	11	63	65
	18%	18%	18%	20%	18%	10%	16%	19%	20%	20%	17%	18%	20%	16%	13%	20%	19%
Marco Rubio	70	50	20	36	25	8	29	25	13	24	34	11	47	22	14	55	59
	17%	20%	13%	19%	16%	17%	19%	24%	11%	17%	21%	12%	21%	13%	17%	18%	17%
Mike Huckabee	54	29	25	31	22	1	18	19	16	20	27	6	37	18	11	44	49
	14%	12%	17%	16%	14%	2%	12%	18%	14%	14%	17%	7%	16%	10%	12%	14%	14%
Bobby Jindal	53	35	18	37	14	3	19	15	15	24	21	8	34	18	5	48	47
	13%	14%	12%	19%	9%	6%	12%	15%	13%	17%	13%	8%	15%	11%	6%	15%	13%
Jeb Bush	53	30	23	40	11	1	26	12	11	23	19	11	31	22	11	42	43
	13%	12%	15%	21%	7%	2%	17%	12%	10%	16%	11%	12%	14%	12%	12%	13%	13%
Ben Carson	44	30	14	24	17	3	13	10	16	15	21	8	29	15	7	38	39
	11%	12%	9%	12%	11%	6%	8%	10%	14%	11%	13%	9%	13%	9%	8%	12%	11%
Rick Santorum	40	27	12	22	14	3	14	12	11	19	17	4	23	16	7	33	36
	10%	11%	8%	12%	9%	6%	9%	12%	10%	13%	10%	4%	10%	9%	8%	10%	10%
Rick Perry	36	21	15	21	14	2	14	10	9	10	18	8	23	13	8	29	30
	9%	8%	10%	11%	9%	4%	9%	10%	8%	7%	11%	8%	10%	7%	9%	9%	9%
Chris Christie	35	15	20	24	7	4	18	10	6	12	17	5	24	11	4	31	28
	9%	6%	13%	13%	4%	8%	12%	10%	5%	9%	11%	6%	11%	6%	4%	10%	8%
Donald Trump	31	21	10	11	18	2	7	9	13	15	12	5	20	11	11	20	26
	8%	9%	6%	6%	11%	4%	5%	9%	11%	10%	7%	5%	9%	6%	13%	6%	7%
Carly Fiorina	26	19	7	14	12	-	3	10	10	8	13	4	15	11	5	21	24
	6%	7%	5%	7%	8%	-	2%	10%	8%	6%	8%	5%	7%	6%	5%	7%	7%
Lindsey Graham	9	5	4	8	1	-	2	5	2	1	5	3	5	4	2	7	8
	2%	2%	2%	4%	1%	-	1%	4%	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Not sure/Don't know	104	63	41	44	38	22	37	21	34	32	37	35	49	55	27	77	86
	26%	25%	27%	23%	24%	47%	24%	20%	29%	22%	22%	39%	22%	31%	32%	24%	25%
Refused	5	4	1	2	2	1	3	-	1	1	3	1	2	3	-	5	4
	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	-	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

19. OTHER CHOICES OPEN TO FOR PRESIDENT IF THE REPUBLICAN CAUCUSES WERE BEING HELD TODAY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Ted Cruz	81	31	18	32	23	18	15	21	26
	20%	19%	17%	24%	20%	21%	16%	20%	23%
Scott Walker	76	32	19	25	19	16	12	16	32
	19%	20%	18%	19%	16%	18%	12%	16%	28%
Rand Paul	73	31	26	17	25	21	12	21	19
	18%	19%	25%	13%	21%	24%	13%	21%	17%
Marco Rubio	70	31	17	22	21	19	7	18	25
	17%	19%	16%	17%	18%	21%	8%	18%	22%
Mike Huckabee	54	25	12	17	17	11	9	12	22
	14%	15%	12%	13%	14%	13%	10%	11%	19%
Bobby Jindal	53	27	12	13	18	11	11	15	15
	13%	17%	12%	10%	15%	13%	12%	15%	13%
Jeb Bush	53	17	15	21	14	11	10	13	18
	13%	10%	14%	16%	12%	13%	10%	13%	16%
Ben Carson	44	16	15	13	11	15	7	5	16
	11%	10%	15%	10%	9%	17%	8%	5%	14%
Rick Santorum	40	17	9	13	11	8	5	12	16
	10%	11%	9%	10%	9%	9%	5%	11%	14%
Rick Perry	36	18	8	10	11	6	4	11	15
	9%	11%	8%	8%	10%	7%	4%	11%	14%
Chris Christie	35	14	6	15	10	6	7	6	15
	9%	9%	6%	11%	9%	7%	8%	6%	14%
Donald Trump	31	13	6	12	10	7	6	11	7
	8%	8%	6%	9%	9%	8%	6%	11%	6%
Carly Fiorina	26	11	3	12	7	3	5	8	9
	6%	7%	3%	9%	6%	3%	6%	8%	8%
Lindsey Graham	9	3	1	5	1	1	1	2	5
	2%	2%	1%	4%	1%	1%	1%	2%	5%
Not sure/Don't know	104	45	24	34	29	18	29	34	24
	26%	28%	23%	26%	25%	20%	31%	33%	21%
Refused	5	3	-	2	2	-	2	1	2
	1%	2%		1%	2%		2%	1%	2%

19. Because a lot could happen between now and the Caucuses, what other candidates are you open to?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

19. OTHER CHOICES OPEN TO FOR PRESIDENT IF THE REPUBLICAN CAUCUSES WERE BEING HELD TODAY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

		18. FIRST CHOICE FOR PRESIDENT IF THE REPUBLICAN CAUCUSES WERE BEING HELD TODAY																	
	TOTAL	JEB BUSH	BEN CARSON	CHRIS CHRISTIE	TED CRUZ	CARLY FIORINA	LINDSEY GRAHAM	MIKE HUCKABEE	BOBBY JINDAL	RAND PAUL	RICK PERRY	MARCO RUBIO	RICK SANTORUM	DONALD TRUMP	SCOTT WALKER	UNCOMM.	DON'T KNOW	REFUSED	
TOTAL	400	28	31	6	34	3	1	44	5	42	8	24	17	8	79	35	33	1	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Ted Cruz	81	3	13	1	-	-	1	6	1	10	3	9	3	2	19	6	5	-	
	20%	11%	41%	15%	-	-	100%	13%	19%	23%	41%	35%	16%	29%	24%	17%	14%	-	
Scott Walker	76	5	9	-	14	1	-	11	-	11	4	7	2	-	-	4	7	-	
	19%	19%	31%	-	42%	41%	-	25%	-	26%	53%	30%	10%	-	-	11%	20%	-	
Rand Paul	73	6	6	-	14	-	-	6	1	-	2	7	3	1	17	6	6	-	
	18%	20%	19%	-	41%	-	-	14%	21%	-	23%	27%	16%	11%	21%	17%	17%	-	
Marco Rubio	70	4	8	-	5	-	-	8	-	6	-	-	5	2	26	5	1	-	
	17%	13%	27%	-	14%	-	-	19%	-	14%	-	-	27%	30%	33%	14%	3%	-	
Mike Huckabee	54	3	10	1	4	1	-	-	-	3	2	1	9	2	15	4	1	-	
	14%	10%	32%	14%	12%	31%	-	-	-	7%	22%	4%	52%	24%	19%	11%	3%	-	
Bobby Jindal	53	2	5	-	10	1	-	6	-	4	2	5	3	1	10	3	2	-	
	13%	6%	18%	-	28%	31%	-	13%	-	10%	25%	19%	16%	13%	13%	8%	5%	-	
Jeb Bush	53	-	4	1	2	-	1	8	1	8	2	4	2	-	14	2	5	-	
	13%	-	15%	15%	7%	-	100%	17%	19%	18%	23%	15%	10%	-	17%	5%	14%	-	
Ben Carson	44	2	-	-	6	-	-	3	-	7	-	5	2	1	13	5	2	-	
	11%	7%	-	-	17%	-	-	6%	-	17%	-	19%	10%	13%	16%	13%	5%	-	
Rick Santorum	40	3	5	1	5	-	-	6	1	5	1	1	-	-	7	4	2	-	
	10%	10%	16%	15%	14%	-	-	14%	19%	11%	11%	4%	-	-	9%	11%	5%	-	
Rick Perry	36	2	2	-	6	1	-	6	-	4	-	1	2	1	7	4	1	-	
	9%	6%	6%	-	17%	28%	-	13%	-	10%	-	4%	11%	13%	9%	11%	3%	-	
Chris Christie	35	5	3	-	2	-	-	4	1	7	-	2	3	-	5	4	1	-	
	9%	16%	9%	-	6%	-	-	8%	21%	17%	-	7%	16%	-	6%	11%	3%	-	
Donald Trump	31	2	3	1	5	-	-	6	-	3	-	2	2	-	3	3	2	-	
	8%	7%	9%	14%	15%	-	-	13%	-	7%	-	8%	11%	-	4%	8%	6%	-	
Carly Fiorina	26	1	4	-	5	-	-	1	-	2	1	2	1	1	6	2	1	-	
	6%	3%	12%	-	14%	-	-	2%	-	5%	12%	7%	5%	13%	8%	5%	3%	-	
Lindsey Graham	9	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	1	-	3	1	1	-	
	2%	-	3%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	2%	-	4%	5%	-	3%	3%	3%	-	
Not sure/Don't know	104	7	5	3	1	-	-	9	2	3	-	5	4	3	14	25	23	1	
	26%	27%	16%	41%	3%	-	-	20%	40%	7%	-	19%	26%	34%	17%	72%	69%	100%	
Refused	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	
	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	3%	-	

19. Because a lot could happen between now and the Caucuses, what other candidates are you open to?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

20-26. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- SUMMARY OF "CRITICALLY IMPORTANT"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Taxes and government spending	317	188	129	178	98	275	31	194	123	126	62	76	93	101	76	65
	79%	81%	77%	80%	78%	79%	80%	84%	73%	81%	77%	81%	81%	87%	77%	86%
Foreign policy and national security	311	182	129	172	102	274	26	185	126	125	64	82	86	86	83	59
	78%	78%	77%	77%	81%	79%	67%	80%	75%	80%	79%	87%	74%	75%	85%	79%
Jobs and the economy	272	162	110	149	87	236	30	158	114	110	52	63	74	74	64	53
	68%	70%	65%	67%	69%	68%	75%	68%	68%	71%	65%	67%	64%	64%	65%	70%
Immigration	249	147	103	142	74	216	24	154	96	100	41	66	81	71	64	50
	62%	63%	61%	64%	59%	62%	62%	66%	57%	64%	51%	70%	70%	62%	65%	67%
Health care	230	119	111	123	73	196	25	133	97	88	45	55	71	69	61	40
	57%	51%	66%	56%	58%	56%	62%	57%	58%	56%	56%	59%	62%	60%	62%	54%
Education	188	100	89	101	59	160	21	99	89	58	35	43	43	46	52	27
	47%	43%	53%	46%	47%	46%	53%	43%	53%	37%	43%	46%	37%	40%	53%	36%
Social issues such as abortion and traditional marriage	162	87	75	96	52	149	10	100	62	57	23	33	56	45	53	37
	40%	38%	44%	43%	42%	43%	26%	43%	37%	37%	29%	35%	49%	39%	54%	49%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

20-26. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- SUMMARY OF "CRITICALLY IMPORTANT"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Taxes and government spending	317	51	52	139	76	73	78	37	29	61	39	58	89	163	61	67	102	80
	79%	75%	84%	82%	76%	81%	84%	76%	73%	79%	76%	78%	82%	79%	76%	80%	81%	81%
Foreign policy and national security	311	48	48	131	85	68	72	42	28	59	43	62	83	157	59	67	98	77
	78%	71%	77%	77%	85%	75%	77%	87%	70%	77%	83%	83%	76%	76%	74%	80%	77%	78%
Jobs and the economy	272	47	47	104	75	69	55	38	25	48	37	52	75	138	53	54	84	72
	68%	69%	76%	61%	75%	76%	59%	80%	63%	63%	71%	70%	69%	67%	66%	65%	67%	73%
Immigration	249	36	33	106	74	47	64	36	22	42	38	52	71	119	47	55	72	68
	62%	53%	53%	62%	74%	52%	68%	74%	55%	55%	74%	70%	66%	57%	58%	65%	57%	69%
Health care	230	34	35	95	66	45	46	28	24	49	38	52	68	104	51	57	52	64
	57%	50%	56%	56%	66%	50%	49%	57%	59%	64%	74%	70%	63%	50%	64%	68%	41%	64%
Education	188	34	28	68	58	39	35	26	23	33	32	41	54	91	46	41	44	53
	47%	51%	45%	40%	58%	43%	37%	54%	58%	43%	62%	56%	49%	44%	58%	49%	35%	54%
Social issues such as abortion and traditional marriage	162	31	24	73	34	33	40	15	23	33	19	39	50	69	26	46	43	43
	40%	46%	39%	43%	34%	36%	42%	31%	57%	43%	36%	52%	46%	33%	32%	54%	34%	43%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

20-26. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- SUMMARY OF "CRITICALLY IMPORTANT"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
	TOTAL	VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Taxes and government spending	317	164	91	255	62	64	169	130	174	143	179	99	39
	79%	82%	77%	80%	78%	85%	84%	74%	79%	80%	79%	77%	88%
Foreign policy and national security	311	169	88	257	55	62	168	125	170	141	179	102	30
	78%	84%	74%	80%	68%	82%	84%	71%	77%	79%	79%	79%	68%
Jobs and the economy	272	129	88	217	56	50	140	119	144	129	143	98	31
	68%	64%	74%	68%	69%	66%	70%	67%	65%	72%	63%	76%	69%
Immigration	249	139	72	211	38	53	135	102	137	112	132	85	32
	62%	69%	61%	66%	47%	70%	67%	58%	62%	62%	58%	66%	71%
Health care	230	119	63	182	48	48	118	98	128	102	134	70	26
	57%	59%	54%	57%	59%	63%	58%	55%	58%	57%	59%	55%	58%
Education	188	81	60	142	47	29	84	92	107	81	102	63	24
	47%	40%	51%	44%	58%	38%	42%	52%	49%	45%	45%	49%	53%
Social issues such as abortion and traditional marriage	162	103	33	136	26	41	93	61	128	34	122	30	10
	40%	51%	28%	43%	32%	54%	46%	34%	58%	19%	54%	23%	22%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

20-26. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- SUMMARY OF "CRITICALLY IMPORTANT"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	IMMIGRATION						3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
	TOTAL	CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Taxes and government spending	317	217	100	147	130	40	121	78	96	115	134	68	189	129	62	256	279
	79%	87%	66%	76%	81%	86%	78%	76%	82%	79%	82%	75%	84%	73%	72%	81%	81%
Foreign policy and national security	311	216	96	138	138	35	114	82	95	115	130	67	181	130	61	250	273
	78%	87%	63%	71%	87%	76%	74%	80%	81%	79%	79%	74%	80%	75%	71%	80%	79%
Jobs and the economy	272	185	87	114	123	34	102	68	85	95	118	60	159	113	54	218	229
	68%	74%	58%	59%	77%	74%	66%	66%	72%	65%	72%	65%	71%	65%	63%	69%	66%
Immigration	249	249	-	87	130	32	73	68	94	99	92	58	137	113	50	199	221
	62%	100%		45%	82%	68%	47%	67%	80%	68%	56%	63%	61%	64%	58%	63%	64%
Health care	230	166	64	100	96	34	87	60	68	76	94	60	129	101	55	175	197
	57%	67%	42%	52%	60%	72%	57%	59%	58%	52%	57%	66%	57%	58%	64%	56%	57%
Education	188	129	59	80	77	31	76	45	54	59	86	43	102	86	51	137	158
	47%	52%	39%	41%	48%	66%	49%	44%	46%	41%	53%	47%	45%	49%	59%	44%	46%
Social issues such as abortion and traditional marriage	162	106	56	82	60	20	66	41	46	66	60	36	93	69	36	126	138
	40%	43%	37%	42%	38%	43%	43%	40%	39%	45%	37%	40%	41%	40%	41%	40%	40%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

20-26. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- SUMMARY OF "CRITICALLY IMPORTANT"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Taxes and government spending	317	129	84	105	93	72	67	82	97
	79%	78%	82%	79%	79%	82%	70%	80%	85%
Foreign policy and national security	311	128	81	103	94	67	75	79	90
	78%	78%	78%	78%	80%	76%	79%	77%	79%
Jobs and the economy	272	114	73	85	82	64	66	67	76
	68%	69%	71%	64%	70%	72%	69%	65%	66%
Immigration	249	103	60	87	77	59	59	66	64
	62%	63%	58%	65%	66%	67%	62%	65%	56%
Health care	230	89	55	86	66	49	60	54	68
	57%	54%	53%	65%	56%	55%	63%	53%	60%
Education	188	75	40	74	55	36	51	45	56
	47%	46%	38%	56%	47%	41%	54%	44%	49%
Social issues such as abortion and traditional marriage	162	58	48	56	37	37	47	29	49
	40%	35%	47%	42%	31%	42%	49%	29%	43%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

27-33. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- SUMMARY OF "COULD NOT DISAGREE"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Taxes and government spending	207	128	79	113	69	183	20	130	77	87	35	52	63	75	48	42
	52%	55%	47%	51%	55%	53%	52%	56%	46%	56%	43%	55%	54%	65%	48%	56%
Foreign policy and national security	199	113	86	108	67	176	18	122	77	82	30	54	63	61	54	31
	50%	49%	51%	49%	54%	51%	46%	52%	46%	53%	37%	58%	54%	53%	55%	41%
Health care	167	91	76	86	53	139	23	99	68	70	27	39	53	54	44	35
	42%	39%	45%	39%	42%	40%	59%	43%	41%	45%	34%	42%	46%	47%	44%	47%
Immigration	160	100	60	86	51	138	16	97	63	65	18	36	49	47	43	31
	40%	43%	36%	39%	41%	40%	42%	42%	37%	42%	22%	38%	43%	41%	43%	41%
Jobs and the economy	154	91	63	89	43	131	21	92	62	63	28	32	38	49	35	31
	39%	39%	37%	40%	34%	38%	53%	40%	37%	41%	35%	34%	33%	42%	35%	41%
Social issues such as abortion and traditional marriage	154	93	61	86	55	140	9	94	60	51	27	31	52	49	46	31
	38%	40%	36%	39%	44%	40%	22%	41%	36%	33%	34%	33%	45%	43%	47%	42%
Education	98	57	40	57	28	85	10	62	36	33	18	18	21	34	25	15
	24%	25%	24%	26%	22%	25%	25%	27%	21%	21%	23%	19%	18%	30%	26%	21%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

27-33. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- SUMMARY OF "COULD NOT DISAGREE"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Taxes and government spending	207	40	38	90	39	61	48	20	17	42	20	27	60	116	41	36	75	51
	52%	59%	61%	53%	39%	68%	51%	41%	42%	55%	38%	36%	55%	56%	52%	43%	59%	51%
Foreign policy and national security	199	30	36	94	38	45	49	19	21	45	20	30	54	111	50	35	61	49
	50%	44%	58%	55%	38%	49%	53%	39%	54%	58%	38%	40%	50%	53%	62%	41%	48%	50%
Health care	167	32	24	72	39	38	37	16	18	35	23	27	52	86	41	34	45	45
	42%	47%	39%	42%	39%	42%	40%	33%	45%	45%	45%	36%	47%	41%	51%	40%	35%	45%
Immigration	160	26	23	70	40	34	44	21	15	26	19	29	51	76	30	30	46	50
	40%	38%	37%	41%	40%	38%	47%	44%	38%	34%	36%	39%	47%	37%	37%	36%	36%	50%
Jobs and the economy	154	28	27	67	32	41	34	16	14	33	16	20	44	87	35	26	51	37
	39%	42%	44%	39%	32%	46%	36%	33%	35%	43%	31%	27%	40%	42%	44%	31%	41%	38%
Social issues such as abortion and traditional marriage	154	25	27	69	32	40	37	17	13	33	15	28	43	81	29	32	52	39
	38%	37%	44%	41%	32%	44%	39%	35%	32%	43%	29%	38%	39%	39%	36%	38%	41%	40%
Education	98	19	12	41	26	23	26	9	8	15	17	20	25	50	23	17	27	28
	24%	27%	19%	24%	26%	25%	28%	19%	20%	20%	33%	27%	23%	24%	29%	20%	21%	28%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

27-33. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- SUMMARY OF "COULD NOT DISAGREE"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
	TOTAL	VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Taxes and government spending	207	107	62	169	38	44	115	83	107	100	109	77	21
	52%	53%	53%	53%	47%	59%	57%	47%	48%	56%	48%	60%	47%
Foreign policy and national security	199	100	64	164	35	42	104	84	107	91	108	70	20
	50%	49%	54%	51%	43%	56%	52%	48%	49%	51%	48%	55%	44%
Health care	167	86	48	134	34	32	80	77	82	86	93	57	17
	42%	43%	41%	42%	42%	43%	40%	44%	37%	48%	41%	45%	37%
Immigration	160	86	47	132	27	33	84	67	83	77	82	60	18
	40%	43%	39%	41%	34%	43%	42%	38%	38%	43%	36%	47%	39%
Jobs and the economy	154	76	50	126	28	31	80	65	71	83	72	68	14
	39%	38%	42%	39%	35%	41%	40%	37%	32%	46%	32%	53%	30%
Social issues such as abortion and traditional marriage	154	93	41	134	20	27	84	62	108	46	107	35	12
	38%	46%	34%	42%	24%	35%	42%	35%	49%	26%	47%	27%	27%
Education	98	45	34	79	19	14	45	46	49	49	50	38	10
	24%	22%	29%	25%	23%	19%	22%	26%	22%	27%	22%	29%	22%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

27-33. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- SUMMARY OF "COULD NOT DISAGREE"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	IMMIGRATION						3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
	TOTAL	CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Taxes and government spending	207	131	76	87	110	10	67	63	64	72	88	47	125	82	40	167	177
	52%	53%	50%	45%	69%	21%	43%	62%	55%	50%	53%	52%	56%	47%	47%	53%	51%
Foreign policy and national security	199	127	72	82	110	6	74	57	61	74	80	45	115	84	49	150	166
	50%	51%	48%	42%	69%	13%	48%	56%	52%	51%	48%	50%	51%	48%	57%	48%	48%
Health care	167	115	52	54	106	7	52	49	56	58	70	40	94	73	43	124	144
	42%	46%	34%	28%	67%	14%	34%	48%	48%	40%	42%	44%	42%	42%	50%	40%	42%
Immigration	160	130	29	-	160	-	42	42	68	55	67	37	86	73	39	121	141
	40%	52%	19%	-	100%	-	27%	41%	58%	38%	41%	40%	38%	42%	45%	39%	41%
Jobs and the economy	154	102	52	55	88	11	57	45	43	56	65	33	88	66	32	122	135
	39%	41%	34%	28%	55%	23%	37%	44%	36%	39%	40%	36%	39%	38%	37%	39%	39%
Social issues such as abortion and traditional marriage	154	92	62	76	72	6	61	43	44	67	59	28	92	62	28	126	137
	38%	37%	41%	39%	45%	12%	40%	43%	38%	46%	36%	31%	41%	35%	32%	40%	40%
Education	98	72	26	28	66	4	25	29	38	30	47	20	54	44	26	72	84
	24%	29%	17%	14%	41%	9%	16%	29%	32%	21%	29%	22%	24%	25%	30%	23%	24%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

27-33. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- SUMMARY OF "COULD NOT DISAGREE"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Taxes and government spending	207	90	63	54	64	57	40	55	55
	52%	55%	61%	41%	54%	65%	43%	53%	48%
Foreign policy and national security	199	80	58	61	59	53	33	56	57
	50%	48%	56%	46%	51%	60%	35%	54%	50%
Health care	167	75	45	47	53	47	35	43	43
	42%	46%	44%	35%	45%	53%	37%	42%	38%
Immigration	160	67	42	50	49	44	33	39	43
	40%	41%	41%	38%	42%	50%	35%	38%	38%
Jobs and the economy	154	68	43	44	50	37	33	42	42
	39%	41%	41%	33%	42%	42%	35%	41%	37%
Social issues such as abortion and traditional marriage	154	63	46	44	46	39	44	29	42
	38%	39%	45%	33%	39%	44%	46%	28%	37%
Education	98	40	28	29	33	29	21	27	20
	24%	24%	28%	22%	28%	33%	22%	27%	18%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

20. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- SOCIAL ISSUES SUCH AS ABORTION AND TRADITIONAL MARRIAGE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	295	169	127	162	99	261	26	168	127	116	50	70	93	79	86	65
	74%	73%	75%	73%	79%	75%	65%	72%	76%	75%	62%	75%	81%	69%	87%	86%
Critically Important	162	87	75	96	52	149	10	100	62	57	23	33	56	45	53	37
	40%	38%	44%	43%	42%	43%	26%	43%	37%	37%	29%	35%	49%	39%	54%	49%
Among Important	133	81	52	65	47	112	15	68	66	59	26	37	37	34	33	28
	33%	35%	31%	29%	37%	32%	39%	29%	39%	38%	33%	39%	32%	29%	33%	37%
Not among Important	105	63	42	60	26	87	14	64	41	39	31	24	22	36	13	10
	26%	27%	25%	27%	21%	25%	35%	28%	24%	25%	38%	25%	19%	31%	13%	14%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

20. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- SOCIAL ISSUES SUCH AS ABORTION AND TRADITIONAL MARRIAGE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	295	47	46	124	78	64	67	37	30	57	40	64	79	144	53	71	91	72
	74%	70%	74%	73%	78%	71%	72%	78%	75%	74%	78%	86%	73%	69%	66%	85%	72%	73%
Critically Important	162	31	24	73	34	33	40	15	23	33	19	39	50	69	26	46	43	43
	40%	46%	39%	43%	34%	36%	42%	31%	57%	43%	36%	52%	46%	33%	32%	54%	34%	43%
Among Important	133	16	22	51	44	31	28	22	7	23	21	25	29	75	27	25	48	29
	33%	24%	35%	30%	44%	34%	30%	46%	18%	31%	41%	34%	27%	36%	33%	30%	38%	29%
Not among Important	105	21	16	46	22	27	26	11	10	20	12	10	29	63	28	13	36	27
	26%	30%	26%	27%	22%	29%	28%	22%	25%	26%	22%	14%	27%	31%	34%	15%	28%	27%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

20. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- SOCIAL ISSUES SUCH AS ABORTION AND TRADITIONAL MARRIAGE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	295	160	84	244	51	63	159	121	186	109	187	82	26
	74%	80%	71%	76%	64%	83%	79%	68%	84%	61%	82%	64%	59%
Critically Important	162	103	33	136	26	41	93	61	128	34	122	30	10
	40%	51%	28%	43%	32%	54%	46%	34%	58%	19%	54%	23%	22%
Among Important	133	57	50	108	26	22	65	60	58	76	65	52	16
	33%	29%	42%	34%	32%	29%	32%	34%	26%	42%	29%	41%	36%
Not among Important	105	41	35	76	29	13	43	56	35	70	40	46	19
	26%	20%	29%	24%	36%	17%	21%	32%	16%	39%	18%	36%	41%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

20. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- SOCIAL ISSUES SUCH AS ABORTION AND TRADITIONAL MARRIAGE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	295	188	107	137	124	35	113	76	90	111	119	65	163	132	62	234	255
	74%	75%	71%	70%	77%	75%	74%	75%	76%	77%	72%	72%	73%	75%	72%	74%	74%
Critically Important	162	106	56	82	60	20	66	41	46	66	60	36	93	69	36	126	138
	40%	43%	37%	42%	38%	43%	43%	40%	39%	45%	37%	40%	41%	40%	41%	40%	40%
Among Important	133	82	51	55	63	15	47	35	44	45	59	29	71	63	26	107	117
	33%	33%	34%	28%	40%	32%	30%	35%	37%	31%	36%	32%	31%	36%	30%	34%	34%
Not among Important	105	61	44	57	36	12	41	26	28	34	45	26	62	43	24	80	91
	26%	25%	29%	30%	23%	25%	26%	25%	24%	23%	28%	28%	27%	25%	28%	26%	26%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

20. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- SOCIAL ISSUES SUCH AS ABORTION AND TRADITIONAL MARRIAGE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	295	116	74	105	78	62	76	67	91
	74%	70%	72%	79%	67%	70%	80%	65%	79%
Critically Important	162	58	48	56	37	37	47	29	49
	40%	35%	47%	42%	31%	42%	49%	29%	43%
Among Important	133	58	26	49	41	25	29	38	42
	33%	35%	25%	37%	35%	28%	31%	37%	37%
Not among Important	105	49	29	27	39	27	19	35	23
	26%	30%	28%	21%	33%	30%	20%	35%	21%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015

JOB #16364

21. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- EDUCATION  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	363	202	161	203	117	320	33	200	163	136	75	83	99	96	91	63
	91%	87%	95%	91%	93%	92%	83%	86%	97%	87%	93%	88%	86%	83%	92%	85%
Critically Important	188	100	89	101	59	160	21	99	89	58	35	43	43	46	52	27
	47%	43%	53%	46%	47%	46%	53%	43%	53%	37%	43%	46%	37%	40%	53%	36%
Among Important	175	103	72	102	59	160	12	101	74	78	40	39	56	50	39	36
	44%	44%	43%	46%	47%	46%	30%	44%	44%	50%	50%	42%	48%	43%	40%	48%
Not among Important	37	29	8	19	8	27	7	32	5	20	5	11	16	19	8	12
	9%	13%	5%	9%	7%	8%	17%	14%	3%	13%	7%	12%	14%	17%	8%	15%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

21. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- EDUCATION  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	363	60	52	156	95	75	83	45	38	73	50	71	100	184	76	81	108	89
	91%	89%	84%	92%	95%	83%	89%	93%	95%	95%	97%	95%	92%	89%	95%	97%	85%	90%
Critically Important	188	34	28	68	58	39	35	26	23	33	32	41	54	91	46	41	44	53
	47%	51%	45%	40%	58%	43%	37%	54%	58%	43%	62%	56%	49%	44%	58%	49%	35%	54%
Among Important	175	26	24	88	37	35	49	19	15	40	18	29	47	93	30	40	63	36
	44%	38%	39%	52%	37%	39%	52%	39%	37%	52%	34%	40%	43%	45%	37%	48%	50%	36%
Not among Important	37	8	10	14	5	16	10	4	2	4	2	4	9	23	4	3	19	9
	9%	11%	16%	8%	5%	17%	11%	7%	5%	5%	3%	5%	8%	11%	5%	3%	15%	10%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015

JOB #16364

21. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- EDUCATION  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	363	180	109	289	75	69	179	164	206	158	211	117	35
	91%	89%	92%	90%	92%	91%	89%	93%	93%	88%	93%	91%	78%
Critically Important	188	81	60	142	47	29	84	92	107	81	102	63	24
	47%	40%	51%	44%	58%	38%	42%	52%	49%	45%	45%	49%	53%
Among Important	175	98	49	147	28	40	94	72	98	77	109	54	11
	44%	49%	41%	46%	34%	53%	47%	41%	45%	43%	48%	42%	25%
Not among Important	37	22	9	31	6	7	22	13	15	22	16	11	10
	9%	11%	8%	10%	8%	9%	11%	7%	7%	12%	7%	9%	22%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

21. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- EDUCATION  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	363	226	137	174	145	45	143	91	104	133	152	78	194	169	84	279	314
	91%	91%	91%	89%	91%	96%	93%	89%	89%	92%	93%	86%	86%	97%	98%	89%	91%
Critically Important	188	129	59	80	77	31	76	45	54	59	86	43	102	86	51	137	158
	47%	52%	39%	41%	48%	66%	49%	44%	46%	41%	53%	47%	45%	49%	59%	44%	46%
Among Important	175	97	78	93	68	14	67	46	51	74	66	35	92	83	33	142	156
	44%	39%	52%	48%	42%	30%	44%	46%	43%	51%	40%	39%	41%	47%	38%	45%	45%
Not among Important	37	23	14	20	15	2	11	11	13	12	12	13	32	5	2	35	32
	9%	9%	9%	11%	9%	4%	7%	11%	11%	8%	7%	14%	14%	3%	2%	11%	9%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 21. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- EDUCATION  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	363	148	92	123	105	78	92	92	100
	91%	90%	90%	93%	89%	89%	97%	90%	88%
Critically Important	188	75	40	74	55	36	51	45	56
	47%	46%	38%	56%	47%	41%	54%	44%	49%
Among Important	175	73	53	49	50	42	41	47	44
	44%	44%	51%	37%	43%	47%	43%	46%	39%
Not among Important	37	16	11	10	13	10	3	10	14
	9%	10%	10%	7%	11%	11%	3%	10%	12%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

22. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- JOBS AND THE ECONOMY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	395	227	167	217	125	342	39	228	167	151	78	92	112	112	98	72
	99%	98%	99%	98%	99%	98%	100%	98%	99%	97%	97%	98%	98%	97%	99%	96%
Critically Important	272	162	110	149	87	236	30	158	114	110	52	63	74	74	64	53
	68%	70%	65%	67%	69%	68%	75%	68%	68%	71%	65%	67%	64%	64%	65%	70%
Among Important	122	65	58	68	37	106	10	70	53	41	25	29	39	38	33	19
	31%	28%	34%	31%	30%	30%	25%	30%	31%	26%	31%	31%	34%	33%	34%	26%
Not among Important	6	5	1	5	1	6	-	5	1	5	3	2	3	4	1	3
	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%		2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	1%	4%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

22. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- JOBS AND THE ECONOMY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	395	66	62	166	100	88	91	48	40	76	52	74	107	203	79	84	124	97
	99%	97%	100%	98%	100%	98%	97%	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	98%	98%	99%	100%	98%	98%
Critically Important	272	47	47	104	75	69	55	38	25	48	37	52	75	138	53	54	84	72
	68%	69%	76%	61%	75%	76%	59%	80%	63%	63%	71%	70%	69%	67%	66%	65%	67%	73%
Among Important	122	19	15	63	25	20	35	10	15	27	15	22	32	66	26	30	40	24
	31%	29%	24%	37%	25%	22%	38%	20%	37%	36%	29%	30%	30%	32%	32%	35%	31%	25%
Not among Important	6	2	-	4	-	2	3	-	-	1	-	-	2	4	1	-	3	2
	1%	3%		2%		2%	3%			1%			2%	2%	1%		2%	2%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

22. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- JOBS AND THE ECONOMY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	395	197	117	314	81	74	196	176	217	178	221	128	45
	99%	98%	99%	98%	100%	99%	98%	99%	98%	99%	98%	100%	100%
Critically Important	272	129	88	217	56	50	140	119	144	129	143	98	31
	68%	64%	74%	68%	69%	66%	70%	67%	65%	72%	63%	76%	69%
Among Important	122	68	29	97	25	25	56	57	73	49	78	30	14
	31%	34%	25%	30%	31%	33%	28%	32%	33%	27%	34%	24%	31%
Not among Important	6	5	1	6	-	1	5	1	4	2	6	-	-
	1%	2%	1%	2%		1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%		

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

22. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- JOBS AND THE ECONOMY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	395	248	146	189	159	47	152	101	115	141	162	91	223	171	86	309	341
	99%	100%	97%	98%	99%	100%	99%	99%	98%	97%	99%	100%	99%	98%	100%	98%	99%
Critically Important	272	185	87	114	123	34	102	68	85	95	118	60	159	113	54	218	229
	68%	74%	58%	59%	77%	74%	66%	66%	72%	65%	72%	65%	71%	65%	63%	69%	66%
Among Important	122	64	59	75	35	12	50	33	30	47	44	31	64	58	32	91	112
	31%	25%	39%	39%	22%	26%	32%	33%	26%	32%	27%	35%	28%	33%	37%	29%	33%
Not among Important	6	1	5	5	1	-	2	1	3	4	2	-	2	4	-	6	5
	1%	*%	3%	2%	1%		1%	1%	2%	3%	1%		1%	2%		2%	1%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015

JOB #16364

22. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- JOBS AND THE ECONOMY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	395	164	100	131	117	88	93	101	112
	99%	99%	97%	99%	100%	99%	98%	99%	98%
Critically Important	272	114	73	85	82	64	66	67	76
	68%	69%	71%	64%	70%	72%	69%	65%	66%
Among Important	122	50	27	45	35	24	27	34	37
	31%	30%	27%	34%	30%	27%	29%	34%	32%
Not among Important	6	1	3	2	-	1	2	1	2
	1%	1%	3%	1%		1%	2%	1%	2%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

23. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- IMMIGRATION  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	377	217	160	210	122	332	34	219	158	143	75	90	112	105	97	71
	94%	94%	95%	95%	97%	96%	86%	94%	94%	92%	93%	96%	97%	91%	98%	95%
Critically Important	249	147	103	142	74	216	24	154	96	100	41	66	81	71	64	50
	62%	63%	61%	64%	59%	62%	62%	66%	57%	64%	51%	70%	70%	62%	65%	67%
Among Important	128	70	58	68	48	116	9	66	62	43	34	25	31	34	33	21
	32%	30%	34%	31%	38%	33%	24%	28%	37%	28%	42%	26%	27%	29%	33%	28%
Not among Important	23	15	8	12	4	16	6	13	10	13	6	4	3	10	2	4
	6%	6%	5%	5%	3%	4%	14%	6%	6%	8%	7%	4%	3%	9%	2%	5%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

23. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- IMMIGRATION  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	377	62	57	162	96	83	88	46	36	74	50	69	105	194	76	81	118	92
	94%	91%	92%	95%	96%	92%	94%	96%	92%	96%	97%	93%	96%	94%	95%	97%	93%	94%
Critically Important	249	36	33	106	74	47	64	36	22	42	38	52	71	119	47	55	72	68
	62%	53%	53%	62%	74%	52%	68%	74%	55%	55%	74%	70%	66%	57%	58%	65%	57%	69%
Among Important	128	26	24	55	22	36	24	11	15	31	12	17	33	76	29	26	46	24
	32%	38%	39%	33%	22%	39%	26%	22%	37%	41%	22%	23%	31%	36%	37%	31%	36%	24%
Not among Important	23	6	5	9	4	8	6	2	3	3	2	5	4	13	4	3	9	6
	6%	9%	8%	5%	4%	8%	6%	4%	8%	4%	3%	7%	4%	6%	5%	3%	7%	6%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015

JOB #16364

23. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- IMMIGRATION  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	377	194	112	306	71	71	195	161	213	164	215	121	41
	94%	96%	95%	96%	88%	94%	97%	91%	97%	91%	95%	94%	92%
Critically Important	249	139	72	211	38	53	135	102	137	112	132	85	32
	62%	69%	61%	66%	47%	70%	67%	58%	62%	62%	58%	66%	71%
Among Important	128	55	40	95	33	18	60	59	76	52	83	36	9
	32%	27%	34%	30%	41%	25%	30%	33%	34%	29%	36%	28%	20%
Not among Important	23	7	6	14	9	4	6	16	8	15	12	7	4
	6%	4%	5%	4%	12%	6%	3%	9%	3%	9%	5%	6%	8%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

23. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- IMMIGRATION  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	IMMIGRATION						3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
	TOTAL	CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	377	249	128	175	156	46	139	99	114	138	153	85	211	166	82	295	329
	94%	100%	85%	90%	98%	98%	90%	97%	98%	95%	93%	94%	94%	95%	96%	94%	95%
Critically Important	249	249	-	87	130	32	73	68	94	99	92	58	137	113	50	199	221
	62%	100%		45%	82%	68%	47%	67%	80%	68%	56%	63%	61%	64%	58%	63%	64%
Among Important	128	-	128	88	26	14	66	31	20	39	61	28	75	53	32	96	108
	32%		85%	45%	16%	30%	43%	31%	17%	27%	37%	31%	33%	30%	37%	30%	31%
Not among Important	23	-	23	19	3	1	15	3	3	7	11	6	14	9	4	19	17
	6%		15%	10%	2%	2%	10%	3%	2%	5%	7%	6%	6%	5%	4%	6%	5%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015

JOB #16364

23. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- IMMIGRATION  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	377	156	96	126	110	82	92	95	109
	94%	95%	93%	95%	93%	92%	97%	93%	95%
Critically Important	249	103	60	87	77	59	59	66	64
	62%	63%	58%	65%	66%	67%	62%	65%	56%
Among Important	128	53	36	39	33	22	33	29	44
	32%	32%	35%	30%	28%	25%	34%	28%	39%
Not among Important	23	9	8	7	8	7	3	8	6
	6%	5%	7%	5%	7%	8%	3%	7%	5%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

24. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- TAXES AND GOVERNMENT SPENDING  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	396	230	166	218	126	344	39	232	164	155	80	92	113	115	97	74
	99%	99%	99%	98%	100%	99%	100%	100%	98%	99%	99%	98%	98%	100%	98%	99%
Critically Important	317	188	129	178	98	275	31	194	123	126	62	76	93	101	76	65
	79%	81%	77%	80%	78%	79%	80%	84%	73%	81%	77%	81%	81%	87%	77%	86%
Among Important	79	42	37	40	28	68	8	38	41	28	18	16	20	14	21	9
	20%	18%	22%	18%	22%	20%	20%	16%	25%	18%	22%	17%	17%	13%	21%	12%
Not among Important	4	2	2	4	-	4	-	-	4	1	1	2	2	-	2	1
	1%	1%	1%	2%		1%			2%	1%	1%	2%	2%		2%	1%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

24. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- TAXES AND GOVERNMENT SPENDING  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	396	66	62	169	99	88	93	48	40	76	51	73	109	204	79	83	125	99
	99%	97%	100%	99%	99%	98%	100%	100%	100%	99%	98%	99%	100%	99%	99%	99%	99%	100%
Critically Important	317	51	52	139	76	73	78	37	29	61	39	58	89	163	61	67	102	80
	79%	75%	84%	82%	76%	81%	84%	76%	73%	79%	76%	78%	82%	79%	76%	80%	81%	81%
Among Important	79	15	10	30	23	15	15	12	11	15	12	15	20	41	19	16	23	19
	20%	23%	16%	18%	23%	17%	16%	24%	27%	20%	22%	21%	18%	20%	23%	19%	18%	19%
Not among Important	4	2	-	1	1	2	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	3	1	1	2	-
	1%	3%		1%	1%	2%				1%	2%	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

24. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- TAXES AND GOVERNMENT SPENDING  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	396	198	118	317	80	75	201	173	217	179	223	128	45
	99%	99%	100%	99%	99%	100%	100%	98%	98%	100%	98%	100%	100%
Critically Important	317	164	91	255	62	64	169	130	174	143	179	99	39
	79%	82%	77%	80%	78%	85%	84%	74%	79%	80%	79%	77%	88%
Among Important	79	34	28	62	17	12	32	43	43	36	45	29	5
	20%	17%	23%	19%	21%	15%	16%	24%	19%	20%	20%	23%	12%
Not among Important	4	3	-	3	1	-	-	4	4	-	4	-	-
	1%	1%		1%	1%			2%	2%		2%		

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

24. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- TAXES AND GOVERNMENT SPENDING  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	396	248	148	191	159	47	152	101	116	142	164	90	223	173	82	314	344
	99%	100%	98%	99%	99%	100%	99%	99%	99%	98%	100%	99%	99%	99%	96%	100%	99%
Critically Important	317	217	100	147	130	40	121	78	96	115	134	68	189	129	62	256	279
	79%	87%	66%	76%	81%	86%	78%	76%	82%	79%	82%	75%	84%	73%	72%	81%	81%
Among Important	79	31	48	44	29	6	32	23	21	27	30	22	35	45	21	58	65
	20%	12%	32%	23%	18%	14%	21%	23%	17%	19%	18%	24%	15%	25%	24%	19%	19%
Not among Important	4	1	3	3	1	-	2	1	1	3	-	1	2	2	4	-	2
	1%	*%	2%	1%	1%		1%	1%	1%	2%		1%	1%	1%	4%		1%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

24. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- TAXES AND GOVERNMENT SPENDING  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	396	161	103	133	115	89	92	102	114
	99%	98%	100%	100%	98%	100%	97%	99%	100%
Critically Important	317	129	84	105	93	72	67	82	97
	79%	78%	82%	79%	79%	82%	70%	80%	85%
Among Important	79	32	19	28	23	16	25	20	18
	20%	20%	18%	21%	19%	18%	27%	19%	15%
Not among Important	4	4	-	-	2	-	3	1	-
	1%	2%			2%		3%	1%	

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

25. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- FOREIGN POLICY AND NATIONAL SECURITY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	395	227	167	220	125	345	38	228	167	152	80	93	113	114	98	75
	99%	98%	99%	99%	99%	99%	95%	98%	99%	97%	99%	99%	98%	99%	100%	100%
Critically Important	311	182	129	172	102	274	26	185	126	125	64	82	86	86	83	59
	78%	78%	77%	77%	81%	79%	67%	80%	75%	80%	79%	87%	74%	75%	85%	79%
Among Important	83	45	38	48	23	71	11	42	41	27	16	11	28	28	15	16
	21%	20%	23%	22%	18%	20%	28%	18%	24%	17%	20%	12%	24%	24%	15%	21%
Not among Important	6	5	1	2	1	3	2	5	1	4	1	1	2	1	-	-
	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	5%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%		

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

25. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- FOREIGN POLICY AND NATIONAL SECURITY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	395	68	61	168	97	89	91	46	40	77	51	74	108	202	79	84	123	98
	99%	100%	98%	99%	97%	99%	98%	96%	100%	100%	98%	100%	99%	98%	99%	100%	97%	99%
Critically Important	311	48	48	131	85	68	72	42	28	59	43	62	83	157	59	67	98	77
	78%	71%	77%	77%	85%	75%	77%	87%	70%	77%	83%	83%	76%	76%	74%	80%	77%	78%
Among Important	83	20	13	38	12	21	20	4	12	18	8	12	25	45	20	17	25	20
	21%	29%	21%	22%	13%	23%	21%	9%	30%	23%	16%	17%	23%	22%	25%	20%	20%	20%
Not among Important	6	-	1	2	3	1	2	2	-	-	1	-	1	5	1	-	4	1
	1%		2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	4%			2%		1%	2%	1%		3%	1%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

25. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- FOREIGN POLICY AND NATIONAL SECURITY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	395	199	117	317	78	74	198	175	219	176	225	126	43
	99%	99%	99%	99%	96%	97%	99%	99%	99%	98%	99%	99%	96%
Critically Important	311	169	88	257	55	62	168	125	170	141	179	102	30
	78%	84%	74%	80%	68%	82%	84%	71%	77%	79%	79%	79%	68%
Among Important	83	31	29	60	23	12	30	50	48	35	46	25	13
	21%	15%	25%	19%	29%	16%	15%	28%	22%	19%	20%	19%	28%
Not among Important	6	2	1	3	3	2	3	2	2	4	2	2	2
	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	4%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

25. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- FOREIGN POLICY AND NATIONAL SECURITY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	IMMIGRATION						3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
	TOTAL	CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	395	246	148	189	159	47	151	101	115	144	160	90	224	170	85	309	341
	99%	99%	98%	98%	99%	100%	98%	99%	98%	99%	98%	99%	100%	97%	99%	99%	99%
Critically Important	311	216	96	138	138	35	114	82	95	115	130	67	181	130	61	250	273
	78%	87%	63%	71%	87%	76%	74%	80%	81%	79%	79%	74%	80%	75%	71%	80%	79%
Among Important	83	31	52	52	21	11	37	19	21	29	31	23	43	40	24	59	68
	21%	12%	35%	27%	13%	24%	24%	19%	18%	20%	19%	25%	19%	23%	28%	19%	20%
Not among Important	6	3	3	5	1	-	3	1	2	1	4	1	1	5	1	5	5
	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%		2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	*%	3%	1%	1%	1%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015

JOB #16364

25. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- FOREIGN POLICY AND NATIONAL SECURITY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	395	161	103	131	114	89	94	100	112
	99%	98%	100%	99%	98%	100%	99%	97%	98%
Critically Important	311	128	81	103	94	67	75	79	90
	78%	78%	78%	78%	80%	76%	79%	77%	79%
Among Important	83	33	23	27	21	21	19	21	22
	21%	20%	22%	21%	18%	24%	20%	20%	20%
Not among Important	6	4	-	2	3	-	1	3	2
	1%	2%		1%	2%		1%	3%	2%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

26. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- HEALTH CARE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	370	209	161	208	114	323	35	209	161	143	75	86	100	104	88	65
	92%	90%	96%	94%	91%	93%	88%	90%	96%	92%	94%	91%	87%	91%	89%	87%
Critically Important	230	119	111	123	73	196	25	133	97	88	45	55	71	69	61	40
	57%	51%	66%	56%	58%	56%	62%	57%	58%	56%	56%	59%	62%	60%	62%	54%
Among Important	140	91	49	85	42	127	10	76	64	55	30	31	29	35	27	25
	35%	39%	29%	38%	33%	37%	26%	33%	38%	35%	38%	32%	25%	31%	27%	33%
Not among Important	30	23	7	14	11	25	5	23	7	13	5	8	15	11	11	10
	8%	10%	4%	6%	9%	7%	12%	10%	4%	8%	6%	9%	13%	9%	11%	13%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015

JOB #16364

26. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- HEALTH CARE

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	370	62	54	160	94	78	87	44	38	73	50	71	99	191	77	80	115	89
	92%	91%	87%	94%	94%	87%	93%	91%	95%	95%	97%	95%	91%	92%	95%	96%	90%	90%
Critically Important	230	34	35	95	66	45	46	28	24	49	38	52	68	104	51	57	52	64
	57%	50%	56%	56%	66%	50%	49%	57%	59%	64%	74%	70%	63%	50%	64%	68%	41%	64%
Among Important	140	28	19	65	28	33	41	16	14	24	12	18	31	87	25	23	62	26
	35%	42%	31%	38%	28%	37%	44%	33%	36%	31%	22%	25%	28%	42%	31%	28%	49%	26%
Not among Important	30	6	8	10	6	12	6	4	2	4	2	4	10	16	4	4	12	10
	8%	9%	13%	6%	6%	13%	7%	9%	5%	5%	3%	5%	9%	8%	5%	4%	10%	10%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015

JOB #16364

26. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- HEALTH CARE

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	370	189	107	296	74	68	184	164	203	167	212	119	39
	92%	94%	90%	93%	92%	90%	92%	93%	92%	93%	93%	93%	88%
Critically Important	230	119	63	182	48	48	118	98	128	102	134	70	26
	57%	59%	54%	57%	59%	63%	58%	55%	58%	57%	59%	55%	58%
Among Important	140	71	43	114	26	20	67	66	75	65	78	49	13
	35%	35%	36%	36%	33%	27%	33%	38%	34%	36%	34%	38%	29%
Not among Important	30	12	12	23	7	7	17	13	18	12	15	9	5
	8%	6%	10%	7%	8%	10%	8%	7%	8%	7%	7%	7%	12%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015

JOB #16364

26. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- HEALTH CARE

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	IMMIGRATION						3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
	TOTAL	CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	370	235	135	180	144	46	144	96	104	132	152	86	202	168	80	290	322
	92%	94%	90%	93%	90%	98%	93%	95%	88%	91%	93%	94%	90%	96%	93%	92%	93%
Critically Important	230	166	64	100	96	34	87	60	68	76	94	60	129	101	55	175	197
	57%	67%	42%	52%	60%	72%	57%	59%	58%	52%	57%	66%	57%	58%	64%	56%	57%
Among Important	140	68	72	80	48	12	57	36	36	56	59	25	74	66	25	115	125
	35%	27%	48%	41%	30%	26%	37%	36%	31%	39%	36%	28%	33%	38%	30%	36%	36%
Not among Important	30	15	16	14	16	1	10	6	14	13	12	5	23	7	6	24	24
	8%	6%	10%	7%	10%	2%	7%	5%	12%	9%	7%	6%	10%	4%	7%	8%	7%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015

JOB #16364

26. IMPORTANCE OF ISSUES TO YOU PERSONALLY -- HEALTH CARE

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	370	149	97	124	106	84	89	95	102
	92%	91%	94%	94%	90%	95%	93%	93%	89%
Critically Important	230	89	55	86	66	49	60	54	68
	57%	54%	53%	65%	56%	55%	63%	53%	60%
Among Important	140	60	42	38	40	36	29	42	34
	35%	36%	41%	29%	34%	40%	30%	41%	30%
Not among Important	30	15	7	8	12	4	7	7	12
	8%	9%	6%	6%	10%	5%	7%	7%	11%

20-26. Thinking about where the candidates for president stand on the issues, how important are each of the following issues to you personally?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

27. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- SOCIAL ISSUES SUCH AS ABORTION AND TRADITIONAL MARRIAGE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	194	117	77	110	56	166	22	111	83	91	45	55	51	56	42	32
	49%	50%	46%	50%	44%	48%	57%	48%	49%	58%	56%	58%	45%	48%	43%	43%
No, Could NOT disagree	154	93	61	86	55	140	9	94	60	51	27	31	52	49	46	31
	38%	40%	36%	39%	44%	40%	22%	41%	36%	33%	34%	33%	45%	43%	47%	42%
Unsure	52	22	30	26	15	41	8	27	25	14	9	8	12	11	11	11
	13%	9%	18%	12%	12%	12%	21%	12%	15%	9%	11%	9%	10%	9%	11%	15%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

27. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- SOCIAL ISSUES SUCH AS ABORTION AND TRADITIONAL MARRIAGE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	AGE				GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
		18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	194	31	29	84	51	42	50	25	18	33	26	25	53	108	41	32	67	45
	49%	45%	47%	49%	51%	46%	54%	52%	46%	43%	50%	34%	49%	52%	51%	38%	53%	46%
No, Could NOT disagree	154	25	27	69	32	40	37	17	13	33	15	28	43	81	29	32	52	39
	38%	37%	44%	41%	32%	44%	39%	35%	32%	43%	29%	38%	39%	39%	36%	38%	41%	40%
Unsure	52	12	6	17	17	9	6	6	9	11	11	21	13	18	10	20	8	14
	13%	17%	10%	10%	17%	10%	7%	13%	22%	14%	21%	28%	12%	9%	13%	24%	6%	14%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

27. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- SOCIAL ISSUES SUCH AS ABORTION AND TRADITIONAL MARRIAGE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	194	83	64	147	48	34	89	98	80	114	93	78	23
	49%	41%	54%	46%	59%	45%	44%	56%	36%	64%	41%	61%	51%
No, Could NOT disagree	154	93	41	134	20	27	84	62	108	46	107	35	12
	38%	46%	34%	42%	24%	35%	42%	35%	49%	26%	47%	27%	27%
Unsure	52	25	14	39	13	15	29	17	33	19	27	16	10
	13%	12%	12%	12%	17%	20%	14%	9%	15%	11%	12%	12%	21%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

27. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- SOCIAL ISSUES SUCH AS ABORTION AND TRADITIONAL MARRIAGE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	194	123	71	108	78	8	76	50	54	67	86	41	108	86	45	149	163
	49%	49%	47%	56%	49%	17%	49%	49%	46%	46%	53%	45%	48%	49%	52%	48%	47%
No, Could NOT disagree	154	92	62	76	72	6	61	43	44	67	59	28	92	62	28	126	137
	38%	37%	41%	39%	45%	12%	40%	43%	38%	46%	36%	31%	41%	35%	32%	40%	40%
Unsure	52	34	18	10	10	33	17	9	20	12	19	22	25	27	14	38	46
	13%	14%	12%	5%	6%	71%	11%	9%	17%	8%	11%	24%	11%	16%	16%	12%	13%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015

JOB #16364

27. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- SOCIAL ISSUES SUCH AS ABORTION AND TRADITIONAL MARRIAGE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	194	85	45	64	58	42	31	64	57
	49%	52%	44%	48%	50%	48%	32%	62%	50%
No, Could NOT disagree	154	63	46	44	46	39	44	29	42
	38%	39%	45%	33%	39%	44%	46%	28%	37%
Unsure	52	16	11	25	13	7	20	10	15
	13%	10%	11%	19%	11%	8%	21%	9%	13%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
28. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- EDUCATION  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	259	154	105	142	85	227	22	153	107	113	55	70	85	77	67	53
	65%	66%	63%	64%	68%	65%	57%	66%	63%	73%	68%	74%	74%	67%	68%	71%
No, Could NOT disagree	98	57	40	57	28	85	10	62	36	33	18	18	21	34	25	15
	24%	25%	24%	26%	22%	25%	25%	27%	21%	21%	23%	19%	18%	30%	26%	21%
Unsure	43	21	23	23	13	35	7	18	26	10	8	6	9	4	6	7
	11%	9%	13%	10%	10%	10%	18%	8%	15%	6%	9%	7%	8%	3%	6%	9%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 28. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- EDUCATION  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	259	39	46	119	55	60	65	29	25	54	27	42	73	139	49	53	90	62
	65%	57%	74%	70%	55%	67%	69%	59%	62%	70%	52%	56%	68%	67%	61%	63%	71%	63%
No, Could NOT disagree	98	19	12	41	26	23	26	9	8	15	17	20	25	50	23	17	27	28
	24%	27%	19%	24%	26%	25%	28%	19%	20%	20%	33%	27%	23%	24%	29%	20%	21%	28%
Unsure	43	10	4	10	19	7	3	11	7	7	8	13	11	18	8	14	10	9
	11%	15%	6%	6%	19%	8%	3%	22%	18%	10%	16%	17%	10%	8%	10%	16%	8%	10%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
28. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- EDUCATION  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	259	131	74	205	54	49	132	116	146	113	152	82	25
	65%	65%	62%	64%	67%	66%	65%	66%	66%	63%	67%	64%	57%
No, Could NOT disagree	98	45	34	79	19	14	45	46	49	49	50	38	10
	24%	22%	29%	25%	23%	19%	22%	26%	22%	27%	22%	29%	22%
Unsure	43	25	10	36	8	12	25	15	26	18	25	9	10
	11%	13%	9%	11%	10%	16%	12%	8%	12%	10%	11%	7%	21%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
28. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- EDUCATION  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	259	148	111	158	92	10	116	65	65	106	101	52	152	107	50	210	222
	65%	59%	74%	81%	57%	21%	75%	64%	55%	73%	61%	58%	67%	61%	58%	67%	64%
No, Could NOT disagree	98	72	26	28	66	4	25	29	38	30	47	20	54	44	26	72	84
	24%	29%	17%	14%	41%	9%	16%	29%	32%	21%	29%	22%	24%	25%	30%	23%	24%
Unsure	43	30	14	9	2	33	13	8	15	9	16	18	20	24	10	33	40
	11%	12%	9%	4%	1%	71%	9%	8%	12%	6%	10%	20%	9%	14%	12%	10%	11%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 28. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- EDUCATION  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	259	111	64	84	74	53	53	66	87
	65%	67%	62%	63%	63%	60%	56%	64%	76%
No, Could NOT disagree	98	40	28	29	33	29	21	27	20
	24%	24%	28%	22%	28%	33%	22%	27%	18%
Unsure	43	14	10	19	11	6	21	10	6
	11%	8%	10%	14%	9%	7%	22%	9%	6%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015

JOB #16364

29. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- JOBS AND THE ECONOMY

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	201	120	80	107	71	178	13	118	83	80	46	55	66	61	57	36
	50%	52%	48%	48%	56%	51%	33%	51%	49%	51%	57%	58%	57%	53%	58%	49%
No, Could NOT disagree	154	91	63	89	43	131	21	92	62	63	28	32	38	49	35	31
	39%	39%	37%	40%	34%	38%	53%	40%	37%	41%	35%	34%	33%	42%	35%	41%
Unsure	45	20	25	26	12	38	5	23	23	12	7	7	12	6	7	8
	11%	9%	15%	12%	9%	11%	14%	10%	14%	8%	8%	8%	10%	5%	7%	10%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015

JOB #16364

29. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- JOBS AND THE ECONOMY

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	201	30	31	90	50	41	55	24	20	35	26	40	54	102	36	43	66	52
	50%	44%	50%	53%	50%	46%	59%	50%	49%	45%	50%	54%	50%	49%	45%	51%	52%	52%
No, Could NOT disagree	154	28	27	67	32	41	34	16	14	33	16	20	44	87	35	26	51	37
	39%	42%	44%	39%	32%	46%	36%	33%	35%	43%	31%	27%	40%	42%	44%	31%	41%	38%
Unsure	45	10	4	14	18	8	5	8	6	9	10	14	11	18	9	15	10	10
	11%	15%	6%	8%	18%	8%	5%	17%	16%	12%	19%	19%	10%	9%	11%	18%	8%	10%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

29. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- JOBS AND THE ECONOMY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	201	100	59	158	42	31	96	98	122	79	126	55	20
	50%	50%	50%	50%	52%	41%	48%	56%	55%	44%	55%	43%	45%
No, Could NOT disagree	154	76	50	126	28	31	80	65	71	83	72	68	14
	39%	38%	42%	39%	35%	41%	40%	37%	32%	46%	32%	53%	30%
Unsure	45	26	10	35	10	13	26	14	28	17	29	5	11
	11%	13%	8%	11%	12%	17%	13%	8%	13%	10%	13%	4%	24%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015

JOB #16364

29. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- JOBS AND THE ECONOMY

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	201	113	87	132	63	6	80	51	58	76	87	37	113	87	42	159	170
	50%	46%	58%	68%	39%	13%	52%	50%	50%	53%	53%	41%	50%	50%	49%	51%	49%
No, Could NOT disagree	154	102	52	55	88	11	57	45	43	56	65	33	88	66	32	122	135
	39%	41%	34%	28%	55%	23%	37%	44%	36%	39%	40%	36%	39%	38%	37%	39%	39%
Unsure	45	33	12	7	9	30	17	6	17	12	13	21	24	22	12	33	41
	11%	13%	8%	4%	5%	64%	11%	6%	14%	8%	8%	23%	11%	12%	14%	11%	12%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015

JOB #16364

29. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- JOBS AND THE ECONOMY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	201	82	54	65	57	47	41	52	61
	50%	50%	52%	49%	49%	53%	43%	51%	53%
No, Could NOT disagree	154	68	43	44	50	37	33	42	42
	39%	41%	41%	33%	42%	42%	35%	41%	37%
Unsure	45	15	7	24	11	4	21	8	12
	11%	9%	7%	18%	9%	5%	22%	8%	10%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015

JOB #16364

30. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- IMMIGRATION

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	194	112	82	106	66	173	15	108	86	78	56	50	57	62	51	36
	48%	48%	49%	48%	53%	50%	39%	46%	51%	50%	69%	53%	50%	54%	52%	49%
No, Could NOT disagree	160	100	60	86	51	138	16	97	63	65	18	36	49	47	43	31
	40%	43%	36%	39%	41%	40%	42%	42%	37%	42%	22%	38%	43%	41%	43%	41%
Unsure	47	21	26	30	8	37	7	28	19	12	7	9	8	7	5	7
	12%	9%	15%	13%	6%	11%	19%	12%	11%	8%	8%	9%	7%	6%	5%	10%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

30. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- IMMIGRATION  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	194	31	33	85	45	47	45	20	17	40	25	28	44	117	46	33	71	39
	48%	46%	53%	50%	45%	53%	48%	41%	43%	52%	48%	38%	40%	56%	57%	39%	56%	40%
No, Could NOT disagree	160	26	23	70	40	34	44	21	15	26	19	29	51	76	30	30	46	50
	40%	38%	37%	41%	40%	38%	47%	44%	38%	34%	36%	39%	47%	37%	37%	36%	36%	50%
Unsure	47	10	6	15	15	9	5	7	8	10	8	17	14	14	5	21	10	10
	12%	15%	10%	9%	15%	10%	5%	15%	19%	13%	16%	23%	13%	7%	6%	25%	8%	10%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 30. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- IMMIGRATION  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	194	90	61	151	43	31	91	94	108	86	115	60	19
	48%	45%	52%	47%	53%	42%	45%	53%	49%	48%	51%	47%	43%
No, Could NOT disagree	160	86	47	132	27	33	84	67	83	77	82	60	18
	40%	43%	39%	41%	34%	43%	42%	38%	38%	43%	36%	47%	39%
Unsure	47	25	11	36	11	11	26	16	29	17	30	8	8
	12%	13%	9%	11%	13%	15%	13%	9%	13%	10%	13%	7%	17%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 30. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- IMMIGRATION  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	IMMIGRATION						3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
	TOTAL	CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	194	87	107	194	-	-	94	54	36	76	80	38	115	79	37	157	165
	48%	35%	71%	100%			61%	53%	30%	52%	49%	41%	51%	45%	42%	50%	48%
No, Could NOT disagree	160	130	29	-	160	-	42	42	68	55	67	37	86	73	39	121	141
	40%	52%	19%		100%		27%	41%	58%	38%	41%	40%	38%	42%	45%	39%	41%
Unsure	47	32	15	-	-	47	18	7	14	14	16	17	24	23	11	35	40
	12%	13%	10%			100%	12%	6%	12%	9%	10%	18%	10%	13%	13%	11%	12%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015

JOB #16364

30. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- IMMIGRATION  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	REGION				CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
		DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	194	82	51	62	58	37	42	55	60
	48%	50%	49%	47%	49%	42%	44%	53%	53%
No, Could NOT disagree	160	67	42	50	49	44	33	39	43
	40%	41%	41%	38%	42%	50%	35%	38%	38%
Unsure	47	16	10	20	11	8	20	9	10
	12%	10%	10%	15%	9%	9%	21%	8%	9%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

31. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- TAXES AND GOVERNMENT SPENDING  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	149	84	65	85	44	130	13	80	69	58	39	37	43	32	46	25
	37%	36%	39%	38%	35%	37%	33%	35%	41%	38%	49%	39%	37%	28%	46%	34%
No, Could NOT disagree	207	128	79	113	69	183	20	130	77	87	35	52	63	75	48	42
	52%	55%	47%	51%	55%	53%	52%	56%	46%	56%	43%	55%	54%	65%	48%	56%
Unsure	44	20	24	23	12	35	6	22	22	11	7	5	10	8	5	7
	11%	8%	15%	11%	9%	10%	15%	9%	13%	7%	8%	6%	9%	7%	5%	10%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

31. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- TAXES AND GOVERNMENT SPENDING  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	149	18	19	68	44	20	42	21	17	26	22	32	38	75	30	33	45	36
	37%	27%	31%	40%	44%	23%	45%	44%	42%	34%	43%	43%	35%	36%	37%	40%	36%	37%
No, Could NOT disagree	207	40	38	90	39	61	48	20	17	42	20	27	60	116	41	36	75	51
	52%	59%	61%	53%	39%	68%	51%	41%	42%	55%	38%	36%	55%	56%	52%	43%	59%	51%
Unsure	44	10	5	12	17	9	4	7	6	8	10	16	10	16	9	15	7	12
	11%	15%	8%	7%	17%	10%	4%	15%	16%	11%	19%	21%	10%	8%	11%	17%	5%	12%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

31. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- TAXES AND GOVERNMENT SPENDING  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	149	68	48	116	33	21	62	79	90	60	92	42	15
	37%	34%	41%	36%	41%	28%	31%	45%	41%	33%	41%	33%	33%
No, Could NOT disagree	207	107	62	169	38	44	115	83	107	100	109	77	21
	52%	53%	53%	53%	47%	59%	57%	47%	48%	56%	48%	60%	47%
Unsure	44	26	8	34	10	10	24	15	25	19	26	9	9
	11%	13%	7%	11%	12%	13%	12%	9%	11%	11%	11%	7%	20%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

31. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- TAXES AND GOVERNMENT SPENDING  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	149	89	60	102	41	6	72	34	35	60	64	25	80	70	34	116	130
	37%	36%	40%	53%	26%	14%	47%	33%	30%	42%	39%	28%	35%	40%	39%	37%	37%
No, Could NOT disagree	207	131	76	87	110	10	67	63	64	72	88	47	125	82	40	167	177
	52%	53%	50%	45%	69%	21%	43%	62%	55%	50%	53%	52%	56%	47%	47%	53%	51%
Unsure	44	29	15	5	9	30	16	5	18	12	13	19	21	23	12	32	39
	11%	12%	10%	3%	5%	65%	10%	5%	16%	9%	8%	21%	9%	13%	14%	10%	11%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

31. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- TAXES AND GOVERNMENT SPENDING  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	149	60	32	58	44	26	32	39	52
	37%	36%	31%	44%	37%	29%	34%	38%	46%
No, Could NOT disagree	207	90	63	54	64	57	40	55	55
	52%	55%	61%	41%	54%	65%	43%	53%	48%
Unsure	44	15	9	21	10	5	22	9	7
	11%	9%	8%	16%	8%	6%	24%	9%	6%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

32. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- FOREIGN POLICY AND NATIONAL SECURITY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	151	95	56	88	44	133	12	86	65	61	41	32	40	45	41	35
	38%	41%	33%	40%	35%	38%	30%	37%	39%	39%	51%	34%	35%	39%	42%	46%
No, Could NOT disagree	199	113	86	108	67	176	18	122	77	82	30	54	63	61	54	31
	50%	49%	51%	49%	54%	51%	46%	52%	46%	53%	37%	58%	54%	53%	55%	41%
Unsure	51	24	27	25	14	39	9	25	26	12	9	7	12	9	4	10
	13%	10%	16%	11%	11%	11%	24%	11%	16%	8%	12%	8%	10%	8%	4%	13%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

32. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- FOREIGN POLICY AND NATIONAL SECURITY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	AGE				GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
		18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	151	28	21	58	44	36	37	21	13	21	22	29	39	78	25	29	54	38
	38%	41%	34%	34%	44%	40%	40%	44%	32%	27%	43%	39%	36%	38%	31%	35%	42%	39%
No, Could NOT disagree	199	30	36	94	38	45	49	19	21	45	20	30	54	111	50	35	61	49
	50%	44%	58%	55%	38%	49%	53%	39%	54%	58%	38%	40%	50%	53%	62%	41%	48%	50%
Unsure	51	10	5	18	18	10	7	8	6	11	10	15	16	18	6	20	12	11
	13%	15%	8%	10%	18%	11%	7%	17%	14%	15%	19%	21%	15%	9%	7%	24%	10%	11%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

32. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- FOREIGN POLICY AND NATIONAL SECURITY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	151	81	38	119	32	21	70	72	85	66	90	47	14
	38%	40%	32%	37%	40%	28%	35%	41%	38%	37%	39%	37%	31%
No, Could NOT disagree	199	100	64	164	35	42	104	84	107	91	108	70	20
	50%	49%	54%	51%	43%	56%	52%	48%	49%	51%	48%	55%	44%
Unsure	51	20	17	37	14	12	28	21	29	22	29	11	11
	13%	10%	14%	12%	17%	16%	14%	12%	13%	12%	13%	8%	24%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

32. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- FOREIGN POLICY AND NATIONAL SECURITY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	151	90	61	104	42	5	59	39	43	57	67	27	86	65	24	126	137
	38%	36%	40%	53%	26%	11%	38%	38%	37%	39%	41%	30%	38%	37%	28%	40%	40%
No, Could NOT disagree	199	127	72	82	110	6	74	57	61	74	80	45	115	84	49	150	166
	50%	51%	48%	42%	69%	13%	48%	56%	52%	51%	48%	50%	51%	48%	57%	48%	48%
Unsure	51	32	18	8	7	35	21	6	13	15	18	18	25	26	13	38	43
	13%	13%	12%	4%	5%	76%	14%	6%	11%	10%	11%	20%	11%	15%	15%	12%	12%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

32. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- FOREIGN POLICY AND NATIONAL SECURITY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	151	67	35	49	45	28	39	37	46
	38%	41%	34%	37%	38%	32%	41%	36%	41%
No, Could NOT disagree	199	80	58	61	59	53	33	56	57
	50%	48%	56%	46%	51%	60%	35%	54%	50%
Unsure	51	18	10	23	13	7	23	10	11
	13%	11%	10%	17%	11%	8%	24%	10%	10%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015

JOB #16364

33. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- HEALTH CARE

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	184	116	68	106	61	166	12	108	76	73	46	47	51	52	49	34
	46%	50%	40%	48%	48%	48%	30%	47%	45%	47%	58%	50%	44%	45%	50%	45%
No, Could NOT disagree	167	91	76	86	53	139	23	99	68	70	27	39	53	54	44	35
	42%	39%	45%	39%	42%	40%	59%	43%	41%	45%	34%	42%	46%	47%	44%	47%
Unsure	49	24	24	30	12	43	4	25	24	12	7	8	12	10	6	6
	12%	11%	15%	14%	10%	12%	11%	11%	14%	8%	8%	9%	10%	8%	6%	7%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015

JOB #16364

33. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- HEALTH CARE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	184	28	34	81	41	46	48	22	16	34	19	32	46	101	31	35	70	44
	46%	41%	55%	48%	41%	51%	51%	46%	39%	44%	36%	43%	43%	49%	39%	41%	55%	44%
No, Could NOT disagree	167	32	24	72	39	38	37	16	18	35	23	27	52	86	41	34	45	45
	42%	47%	39%	42%	39%	42%	40%	33%	45%	45%	45%	36%	47%	41%	51%	40%	35%	45%
Unsure	49	9	4	17	20	6	8	10	6	8	10	15	11	20	8	16	12	10
	12%	13%	6%	10%	20%	7%	9%	20%	16%	11%	19%	21%	10%	10%	10%	19%	10%	11%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015

JOB #16364

33. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- HEALTH CARE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	184	89	55	144	40	29	90	86	109	75	105	63	16
	46%	44%	47%	45%	50%	38%	45%	49%	49%	42%	46%	49%	36%
No, Could NOT disagree	167	86	48	134	34	32	80	77	82	86	93	57	17
	42%	43%	41%	42%	42%	43%	40%	44%	37%	48%	41%	45%	37%
Unsure	49	27	15	42	7	14	31	13	30	19	29	8	12
	12%	13%	13%	13%	8%	19%	15%	8%	14%	10%	13%	6%	26%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

33. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- HEALTH CARE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	184	99	85	130	48	6	84	42	47	73	78	33	107	78	33	151	157
	46%	40%	56%	67%	30%	13%	55%	42%	40%	51%	47%	36%	47%	44%	39%	48%	45%
No, Could NOT disagree	167	115	52	54	106	7	52	49	56	58	70	40	94	73	43	124	144
	42%	46%	34%	28%	67%	14%	34%	48%	48%	40%	42%	44%	42%	42%	50%	40%	42%
Unsure	49	35	14	10	5	34	17	11	15	14	17	18	25	24	10	39	44
	12%	14%	9%	5%	3%	73%	11%	11%	13%	9%	10%	20%	11%	14%	12%	12%	13%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015

JOB #16364

33. AGREEMENT WITH CANDIDATES ON ISSUES -- HEALTH CARE

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Could disagree	184	74	49	61	53	36	37	51	60
	46%	45%	47%	46%	45%	41%	39%	49%	53%
No, Could NOT disagree	167	75	45	47	53	47	35	43	43
	42%	46%	44%	35%	45%	53%	37%	42%	38%
Unsure	49	15	9	25	12	6	23	9	11
	12%	9%	9%	19%	10%	7%	24%	9%	10%

27-33. Now I'd like to read the same list of issues. Could you disagree with a Republican candidate for president on this issue and still considering voting for him or her?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

34. VIEW ABOUT UNDOCUMENTED IMMIGRANTS LIVING IN THE U.S.  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	GENDER			POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
	TOTAL	MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
ALLOWED TO STAY (NET)	256	142	114	143	77	220	27	147	109	97	58	69	69	75	65	40
	64%	61%	68%	64%	61%	63%	69%	63%	65%	63%	72%	73%	60%	65%	66%	53%
They should be allowed to stay in the U.S. and, after meeting requirements like a background check and paying fines, they should eventually be allowed to apply for citizenship.	154	87	67	87	45	132	16	80	74	52	40	36	38	42	37	23
	38%	37%	40%	39%	36%	38%	40%	34%	44%	33%	49%	38%	33%	36%	38%	31%
They should be allowed to stay in the U.S. and, after meeting requirements like a background check and paying fines, they should eventually be allowed to stay in the U.S. legally, but not be eligible for citizenship.	102	55	47	56	32	88	11	67	35	45	18	33	31	33	28	17
	25%	24%	28%	25%	26%	25%	29%	29%	21%	29%	22%	35%	27%	29%	29%	22%
They should be required to leave the U.S.	117	77	40	63	43	106	7	75	42	47	20	20	41	33	29	28
	29%	33%	24%	29%	34%	31%	17%	32%	25%	30%	25%	22%	36%	29%	30%	37%
Unsure	27	12	14	16	6	21	6	10	17	11	3	5	5	8	4	7
	7%	5%	9%	7%	4%	6%	14%	4%	10%	7%	3%	5%	4%	7%	4%	10%

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

34. VIEW ABOUT UNDOCUMENTED IMMIGRANTS LIVING IN THE U.S.  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN	
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
ALLOWED TO STAY (NET)	256	42	37	119	57	52	64	26	28	55	31	45	63	140	60	50	80	58	
	64%	62%	60%	70%	57%	57%	69%	54%	70%	72%	60%	61%	58%	68%	75%	59%	63%	59%	
They should be allowed to stay in the U.S. and, after meeting requirements like a background check and paying fines, they should eventually be allowed to apply for citizenship.	154	26	21	75	32	36	38	12	11	37	20	25	40	84	36	29	48	37	
	38%	38%	34%	44%	32%	40%	41%	26%	28%	48%	38%	34%	37%	40%	44%	34%	38%	37%	
They should be allowed to stay in the U.S. and, after meeting requirements like a background check and paying fines, they should eventually be allowed to stay in the U.S. legally, but not be eligible for citizenship.	102	17	16	44	25	16	26	13	17	18	12	20	22	57	24	21	32	21	
	25%	24%	26%	26%	25%	18%	28%	28%	42%	24%	22%	27%	21%	27%	30%	25%	25%	22%	
They should be required to leave the U.S.	117	19	21	41	36	32	26	19	8	15	17	24	37	55	16	24	39	37	
	29%	28%	34%	24%	36%	36%	28%	39%	20%	20%	33%	33%	34%	27%	20%	28%	31%	38%	
Unsure	27	6	4	9	7	6	3	4	4	7	4	4	9	12	4	10	7	3	
	7%	9%	6%	6%	7%	7%	3%	7%	11%	9%	7%	6%	8%	6%	5%	12%	6%	3%	





BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

34. VIEW ABOUT UNDOCUMENTED IMMIGRANTS LIVING IN THE U.S.  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	IMMIGRATION						3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
	TOTAL	CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
ALLOWED TO STAY (NET)	256	141	115	148	83	25	154	102	-	82	125	49	148	108	55	201	210
	64%	57%	76%	76%	52%	54%	100%	100%		56%	76%	54%	66%	62%	64%	64%	61%
They should be allowed to stay in the U.S. and, after meeting requirements like a background check and paying fines, they should eventually be allowed to apply for citizenship.	154	73	81	94	42	18	154	-	-	53	70	31	91	63	35	119	126
	38%	29%	54%	48%	26%	39%	100%			37%	43%	34%	41%	36%	40%	38%	37%
They should be allowed to stay in the U.S. and, after meeting requirements like a background check and paying fines, they should eventually be allowed to stay in the U.S. legally, but not be eligible for citizenship.	102	68	34	54	42	7	-	102	-	29	55	18	57	45	21	81	84
	25%	27%	22%	28%	26%	14%		100%		20%	33%	20%	25%	26%	24%	26%	24%
They should be required to leave the U.S.	117	94	23	36	68	14	-	-	117	59	25	33	63	54	26	91	109
	29%	38%	15%	18%	42%	30%			100%	41%	15%	36%	28%	31%	30%	29%	31%
Unsure	27	14	13	11	8	8	-	-	-	4	14	9	14	13	5	22	27
	7%	6%	8%	6%	5%	17%				3%	9%	10%	6%	7%	5%	7%	8%

34. Which comes closest to your own view about undocumented immigrants living in the U.S.?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

34. VIEW ABOUT UNDOCUMENTED IMMIGRANTS LIVING IN THE U.S.  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURREY COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
ALLOWED TO STAY (NET)	256	104	70	81	79	62	49	67	78
	64%	63%	68%	61%	67%	70%	52%	65%	68%
They should be allowed to stay in the U.S. and, after meeting requirements like a background check and paying fines, they should eventually be allowed to apply for citizenship.	154	62	39	53	44	34	32	38	51
	38%	37%	38%	40%	38%	38%	33%	37%	45%
They should be allowed to stay in the U.S. and, after meeting requirements like a background check and paying fines, they should eventually be allowed to stay in the U.S. legally, but not be eligible for citizenship.	102	43	31	28	35	28	18	29	27
	25%	26%	30%	21%	30%	32%	19%	29%	24%
They should be required to leave the U.S.	117	48	26	43	30	21	40	26	30
	29%	29%	25%	33%	25%	24%	42%	26%	26%
Unsure	27	12	7	8	9	6	6	9	7
	7%	7%	7%	6%	7%	6%	6%	9%	6%

34. Which comes closest to your own view about undocumented immigrants living in the U.S.?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

34A. WHETHER IMMIGRANTS SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO LEAVE THE U.S. IF COST WOULD BE \$400 TO \$600 BILLION DOLLARS  
BASE: FEEL IMMIGRANTS SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO LEAVE THE U.S.

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	117	77	40	63	43	106	7	75	42	47	20	20	41	33	29	28
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Still support	89	59	30	47	32	79	7	59	30	35	16	10	32	26	22	21
	76%	76%	75%	74%	76%	75%	100%	79%	70%	75%	80%	50%	78%	80%	75%	76%
No, would not support	6	4	3	3	4	6	-	3	4	4	1	2	2	2	3	1
	5%	5%	7%	4%	8%	6%		4%	8%	8%	4%	9%	5%	6%	9%	3%
Don't know	22	15	7	13	7	20	-	13	9	8	3	8	7	5	5	6
	19%	19%	19%	21%	16%	19%		17%	22%	17%	16%	41%	17%	14%	16%	21%

34A. If you knew it would cost taxpayers an estimated \$400 to \$600 billion dollars and take up to 20 years to deport 11 million undocumented immigrants would you still support taking this action?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

34A. WHETHER IMMIGRANTS SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO LEAVE THE U.S. IF COST WOULD BE \$400 TO \$600 BILLION DOLLARS  
BASE: FEEL IMMIGRANTS SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO LEAVE THE U.S.

	TOTAL	AGE				GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
		18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	117	19	21	41	36	32	26	19	8	15	17	24	37	55	16	24	39	37
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Still support	89	15	15	37	22	24	24	12	6	13	11	20	30	39	12	18	27	32
	76%	76%	71%	89%	63%	74%	89%	62%	75%	88%	63%	82%	80%	71%	77%	73%	69%	86%
No, would not support	6	-	1	-	5	1	-	3	-	-	3	1	1	5	1	2	4	-
	5%		5%		15%	3%		14%			16%	4%	2%	8%	6%	7%	9%	
Don't know	22	5	5	5	8	8	3	4	2	2	4	4	6	11	3	5	9	5
	19%	24%	24%	11%	23%	23%	11%	24%	25%	12%	21%	15%	17%	21%	17%	19%	22%	14%

34A. If you knew it would cost taxpayers an estimated \$400 to \$600 billion dollars and take up to 20 years to deport 11 million undocumented immigrants would you still support taking this action?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

34A. WHETHER IMMIGRANTS SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO LEAVE THE U.S. IF COST WOULD BE \$400 TO \$600 BILLION DOLLARS  
BASE: FEEL IMMIGRANTS SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO LEAVE THE U.S.

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	117	76	30	106	11	31	66	44	80	38	60	41	15
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Still support	89	58	23	81	7	25	53	32	58	30	47	29	13
	76%	76%	77%	77%	67%	79%	80%	72%	74%	80%	78%	71%	82%
No, would not support	6	4	1	5	2	1	5	2	4	2	4	2	1
	5%	5%	3%	4%	16%	3%	7%	4%	6%	5%	6%	5%	6%
Don't know	22	14	6	20	2	6	8	11	17	6	10	10	2
	19%	19%	20%	19%	17%	18%	13%	24%	21%	15%	17%	25%	12%

34A. If you knew it would cost taxpayers an estimated \$400 to \$600 billion dollars and take up to 20 years to deport 11 million undocumented immigrants would you still support taking this action?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

34A. WHETHER IMMIGRANTS SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO LEAVE THE U.S. IF COST WOULD BE \$400 TO \$600 BILLION DOLLARS  
BASE: FEEL IMMIGRANTS SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO LEAVE THE U.S.

	IMMIGRATION						3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
	TOTAL	CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	117	94	23	36	68	14	-	-	117	59	25	33	63	54	26	91	109
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Still support	89	72	17	32	48	9	-	-	89	52	16	21	47	42	20	69	81
	76%	77%	72%	89%	70%	66%			76%	88%	62%	65%	74%	77%	75%	76%	75%
No, would not support	6	4	3	1	4	1	-	-	6	2	2	3	5	1	3	4	6
	5%	4%	11%	2%	7%	7%			5%	3%	7%	8%	9%	2%	10%	4%	6%
Don't know	22	18	4	3	16	4	-	-	22	5	8	9	11	11	4	18	21
	19%	20%	16%	8%	23%	27%			19%	9%	31%	27%	17%	21%	15%	20%	19%

34A. If you knew it would cost taxpayers an estimated \$400 to \$600 billion dollars and take up to 20 years to deport 11 million undocumented immigrants would you still support taking this action?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

34A. WHETHER IMMIGRANTS SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO LEAVE THE U.S. IF COST WOULD BE \$400 TO \$600 BILLION DOLLARS  
BASE: FEEL IMMIGRANTS SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO LEAVE THE U.S.

	TOTAL	REGION				CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
		DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	117	48	26	43	30	21	40	26	30
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, Still support	89	34	21	34	21	17	31	19	22
	76%	72%	79%	78%	71%	79%	77%	71%	75%
No, would not support	6	2	2	3	1	1	1	1	4
	5%	4%	7%	6%	3%	4%	2%	4%	12%
Don't know	22	12	4	7	8	4	8	7	4
	19%	24%	14%	16%	26%	17%	20%	25%	13%

34A. If you knew it would cost taxpayers an estimated \$400 to \$600 billion dollars and take up to 20 years to deport 11 million undocumented immigrants would you still support taking this action?



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

35-39. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- SUMMARY OF "AMNESTY"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	GENDER			POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
	TOTAL	MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
An executive order that grants temporary legal status to an estimated four million illegal immigrants for the next three years and stops enforcement action against them.	252	166	86	131	85	216	27	161	91	116	52	66	87	78	60	52
	63%	72%	51%	59%	68%	62%	70%	69%	54%	74%	64%	70%	75%	68%	61%	69%
A legislative proposal that would allow illegal immigrants a pathway to citizenship if they pay a fine, back taxes, pass a background check, learn English and take other required steps over a period of years to get right with the law.	199	107	93	106	63	169	25	114	85	75	41	38	62	47	45	34
	50%	46%	55%	48%	50%	49%	63%	49%	51%	48%	51%	41%	54%	41%	46%	45%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

35-39. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- SUMMARY OF "AMNESTY"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	GENDER			POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO							
	TOTAL	MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON	
A proposal that does not allow a pathway to citizenship, but would allow illegal immigrants a legal residency status if they pay a fine, back taxes, pass a background check, learn English and take other required steps over a period of years to get right with the law.	161	97	63	91	49	139	16	93	67	56	41	29	44	44	40	28	
	40%	42%	38%	41%	39%	40%	41%	40%	40%	36%	51%	31%	38%	38%	41%	38%	
If a Republican presidential candidate supports strengthening border security, but also says it is unrealistic to deport 11 million undocumented immigrants and that fixing the immigration system legislatively is needed to deal with the current situation.	145	85	60	93	37	129	10	87	58	66	35	29	50	44	38	26	
	36%	37%	36%	42%	29%	37%	27%	37%	35%	42%	44%	31%	43%	39%	38%	35%	
Any action that goes beyond securing the border or stronger enforcement of existing laws.	113	63	50	65	35	100	12	68	45	40	25	21	30	31	26	24	
	28%	27%	29%	29%	28%	29%	31%	29%	27%	26%	32%	22%	26%	27%	26%	31%	

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

35-39. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- SUMMARY OF "AMNESTY"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
An executive order that grants temporary legal status to an estimated four million illegal immigrants for the next three years and stops enforcement action against them.	252	42	40	109	61	64	63	38	18	45	22	41	66	137	47	36	90	70
	63%	62%	65%	64%	61%	71%	68%	80%	46%	59%	43%	55%	61%	66%	58%	43%	71%	71%
A legislative proposal that would allow illegal immigrants a pathway to citizenship if they pay a fine, back taxes, pass a background check, learn English and take other required steps over a period of years to get right with the law.	199	35	31	77	56	40	36	31	26	42	25	46	51	96	45	44	52	52
	50%	51%	50%	45%	56%	44%	38%	65%	65%	54%	48%	62%	47%	47%	56%	53%	41%	53%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

35-39. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- SUMMARY OF "AMNESTY"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
A proposal that does not allow a pathway to citizenship, but would allow illegal immigrants a legal residency status if they pay a fine, back taxes, pass a background check, learn English and take other required steps over a period of years to get right with the law.	161	33	28	55	46	42	29	27	19	26	19	37	42	76	34	27	42	52
	40%	48%	45%	32%	46%	46%	31%	56%	48%	33%	36%	49%	39%	37%	43%	32%	33%	52%
If a Republican presidential candidate supports strengthening border security, but also says it is unrealistic to deport 11 million undocumented immigrants and that fixing the immigration system legislatively is needed to deal with the current situation.	145	21	25	65	34	31	37	16	14	28	18	28	42	73	32	28	41	42
	36%	31%	40%	38%	34%	35%	40%	33%	36%	36%	34%	38%	38%	35%	40%	33%	32%	43%
Any action that goes beyond securing the border or stronger enforcement of existing laws.	113	16	16	44	37	15	28	21	17	15	17	29	33	49	22	27	27	36
	28%	23%	26%	26%	38%	16%	30%	43%	43%	20%	33%	39%	31%	23%	27%	32%	21%	36%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

35-39. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- SUMMARY OF "AMNESTY"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
	TOTAL	VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
A proposal that does not allow a pathway to citizenship, but would allow illegal immigrants a legal residency status if they pay a fine, back taxes, pass a background check, learn English and take other required steps over a period of years to get right with the law.	161	85	44	129	32	32	89	66	95	66	90	55	16
	40%	42%	37%	40%	39%	42%	44%	37%	43%	37%	40%	43%	35%
If a Republican presidential candidate supports strengthening border security, but also says it is unrealistic to deport 11 million undocumented immigrants and that fixing the immigration system legislatively is needed to deal with the current situation.	145	92	29	121	24	24	79	59	88	57	88	40	17
	36%	46%	25%	38%	29%	31%	39%	33%	40%	32%	39%	31%	38%
Any action that goes beyond securing the border or stronger enforcement of existing laws.	113	56	32	89	24	18	60	49	70	43	62	40	10
	28%	28%	27%	28%	30%	24%	30%	28%	32%	24%	27%	31%	23%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

35-39. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- SUMMARY OF "AMNESTY"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	IMMIGRATION						3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
	TOTAL	CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
An executive order that grants temporary legal status to an estimated four million illegal immigrants for the next three years and stops enforcement action against them.	252	168	84	127	103	21	90	65	78	108	102	42	148	104	49	203	217
	63%	67%	56%	65%	65%	46%	58%	64%	67%	74%	62%	46%	66%	59%	57%	65%	63%
A legislative proposal that would allow illegal immigrants a pathway to citizenship if they pay a fine, back taxes, pass a background check, learn English and take other required steps over a period of years to get right with the law.	199	134	66	89	85	25	76	48	66	96	62	41	104	95	41	158	168
	50%	54%	44%	46%	53%	55%	49%	48%	56%	66%	38%	45%	46%	54%	48%	50%	49%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

35-39. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- SUMMARY OF "AMNESTY"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	IMMIGRATION						3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
	TOTAL	CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
A proposal that does not allow a pathway to citizenship, but would allow illegal immigrants a legal residency status if they pay a fine, back taxes, pass a background check, learn English and take other required steps over a period of years to get right with the law.	161	109	51	76	68	17	60	33	61	79	51	31	87	73	35	125	135
	40%	44%	34%	39%	43%	36%	39%	32%	52%	54%	31%	34%	39%	42%	41%	40%	39%
If a Republican presidential candidate supports strengthening border security, but also says it is unrealistic to deport 11 million undocumented immigrants and that fixing the immigration system legislatively is needed to deal with the current situation.	145	99	46	76	55	14	53	29	59	145	-	-	84	61	21	124	124
	36%	40%	30%	39%	35%	29%	35%	28%	51%	100%			37%	35%	24%	40%	36%
Any action that goes beyond securing the border or stronger enforcement of existing laws.	113	77	35	51	48	14	39	27	40	55	36	22	64	49	23	90	100
	28%	31%	23%	26%	30%	30%	26%	27%	34%	38%	22%	24%	28%	28%	26%	29%	29%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

35-39. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- SUMMARY OF "AMNESTY"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
An executive order that grants temporary legal status to an estimated four million illegal immigrants for the next three years and stops enforcement action against them.	252	108	65	80	75	54	57	68	72
	63%	65%	63%	60%	64%	61%	60%	67%	63%
A legislative proposal that would allow illegal immigrants a pathway to citizenship if they pay a fine, back taxes, pass a background check, learn English and take other required steps over a period of years to get right with the law.	199	69	51	79	44	47	51	42	59
	50%	42%	50%	59%	37%	53%	54%	41%	52%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

35-39. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- SUMMARY OF "AMNESTY"  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
A proposal that does not allow a pathway to citizenship, but would allow illegal immigrants a legal residency status if they pay a fine, back taxes, pass a background check, learn English and take other required steps over a period of years to get right with the law.	161	56	43	61	34	38	37	38	48
	40%	34%	42%	46%	29%	43%	38%	37%	42%
If a Republican presidential candidate supports strengthening border security, but also says it is unrealistic to deport 11 million undocumented immigrants and that fixing the immigration system legislatively is needed to deal with the current situation.	145	57	37	52	36	31	36	40	38
	36%	34%	36%	39%	30%	35%	38%	39%	33%
Any action that goes beyond securing the border or stronger enforcement of existing laws.	113	34	36	42	21	34	24	20	35
	28%	21%	35%	32%	18%	39%	25%	19%	31%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

35. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- AN EXECUTIVE ORDER THAT GRANTS TEMPORARY LEGAL STATUS TO AN ESTIMATED FOUR MILLION ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS FOR THE NEXT THREE YEARS AND STOPS ENFORCEMENT ACTION AGAINST THEM.

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Amnesty	252	166	86	131	85	216	27	161	91	116	52	66	87	78	60	52
	63%	72%	51%	59%	68%	62%	70%	69%	54%	74%	64%	70%	75%	68%	61%	69%
Not amnesty	103	51	51	64	28	91	8	51	52	28	24	18	21	29	27	17
	26%	22%	31%	29%	22%	26%	21%	22%	31%	18%	30%	19%	19%	25%	28%	23%
Don't know/Unsure	46	15	31	27	13	40	4	21	25	12	5	10	7	8	11	6
	11%	6%	18%	12%	10%	12%	9%	9%	15%	8%	6%	11%	6%	7%	11%	8%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

35. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- AN EXECUTIVE ORDER THAT GRANTS TEMPORARY LEGAL STATUS TO AN ESTIMATED FOUR MILLION ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS FOR THE NEXT THREE YEARS AND STOPS ENFORCEMENT ACTION AGAINST THEM.

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	AGE				GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
		18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Amnesty	252	42	40	109	61	64	63	38	18	45	22	41	66	137	47	36	90	70
	63%	62%	65%	64%	61%	71%	68%	80%	46%	59%	43%	55%	61%	66%	58%	43%	71%	71%
Not amnesty	103	18	19	42	24	21	23	8	16	19	16	23	27	53	23	28	29	22
	26%	26%	31%	24%	24%	23%	24%	17%	41%	25%	31%	31%	25%	25%	29%	33%	23%	22%
Don't know/Unsure	46	8	3	20	15	6	7	2	5	12	13	10	16	18	10	20	7	6
	11%	11%	5%	12%	15%	6%	8%	4%	13%	16%	26%	13%	15%	9%	13%	23%	6%	6%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

35. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- AN EXECUTIVE ORDER THAT GRANTS TEMPORARY LEGAL STATUS TO AN ESTIMATED FOUR MILLION ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS FOR THE NEXT THREE YEARS AND STOPS ENFORCEMENT ACTION AGAINST THEM.

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Amnesty	252	133	80	214	38	47	139	104	141	111	143	79	30
	63%	66%	68%	67%	47%	63%	69%	59%	64%	62%	63%	61%	67%
Not amnesty	103	46	25	71	31	18	45	52	50	53	54	37	11
	26%	23%	21%	22%	39%	23%	23%	29%	22%	30%	24%	29%	24%
Don't know/Unsure	46	22	13	35	11	11	17	22	30	15	29	12	4
	11%	11%	11%	11%	14%	14%	8%	12%	14%	8%	13%	10%	9%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

35. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- AN EXECUTIVE ORDER THAT GRANTS TEMPORARY LEGAL STATUS TO AN ESTIMATED FOUR MILLION ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS FOR THE NEXT THREE YEARS AND STOPS ENFORCEMENT ACTION AGAINST THEM.

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	IMMIGRATION						3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
	TOTAL	CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Amnesty	252	168	84	127	103	21	90	65	78	108	102	42	148	104	49	203	217
	63%	67%	56%	65%	65%	46%	58%	64%	67%	74%	62%	46%	66%	59%	57%	65%	63%
Not amnesty	103	54	48	50	37	15	47	30	24	33	51	19	53	49	20	82	88
	26%	22%	32%	26%	23%	33%	30%	29%	21%	23%	31%	21%	24%	28%	24%	26%	25%
Don't know/Unsure	46	27	19	17	19	10	18	6	15	5	11	30	24	22	17	29	40
	11%	11%	12%	9%	12%	21%	11%	6%	13%	3%	7%	33%	11%	12%	19%	9%	12%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

35. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- AN EXECUTIVE ORDER THAT GRANTS TEMPORARY LEGAL STATUS TO AN ESTIMATED FOUR MILLION ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS FOR THE NEXT THREE YEARS AND STOPS ENFORCEMENT ACTION AGAINST THEM.

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	REGION				CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
		DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURREY COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Amnesty	252	108	65	80	75	54	57	68	72
	63%	65%	63%	60%	64%	61%	60%	67%	63%
Not amnesty	103	39	25	39	29	25	25	23	29
	26%	24%	24%	30%	25%	28%	27%	23%	25%
Don't know/Unsure	46	18	14	14	13	10	13	11	13
	11%	11%	13%	10%	11%	11%	13%	10%	11%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

36. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- A LEGISLATIVE PROPOSAL THAT WOULD ALLOW ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS A PATHWAY TO CITIZENSHIP IF THEY PAY A FINE, BACK TAXES, PASS A BACKGROUND CHECK, LEARN ENGLISH AND TAKE OTHER REQUIRED STEPS OVER A PERIOD OF YEARS TO GET RIGHT WITH THE LAW.

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Amnesty	199	107	93	106	63	169	25	114	85	75	41	38	62	47	45	34
	50%	46%	55%	48%	50%	49%	63%	49%	51%	48%	51%	41%	54%	41%	46%	45%
Not amnesty	154	107	47	88	49	137	13	97	57	66	32	44	40	58	43	33
	38%	46%	28%	40%	39%	40%	32%	42%	34%	43%	40%	47%	35%	50%	44%	45%
Don't know/Unsure	47	19	29	28	13	41	2	21	27	14	7	12	13	10	10	8
	12%	8%	17%	12%	10%	12%	5%	9%	16%	9%	9%	13%	11%	9%	10%	10%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

36. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- A LEGISLATIVE PROPOSAL THAT WOULD ALLOW ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS A PATHWAY TO CITIZENSHIP IF THEY PAY A FINE, BACK TAXES, PASS A BACKGROUND CHECK, LEARN ENGLISH AND TAKE OTHER REQUIRED STEPS OVER A PERIOD OF YEARS TO GET RIGHT WITH THE LAW.

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Amnesty	199	35	31	77	56	40	36	31	26	42	25	46	51	96	45	44	52	52
	50%	51%	50%	45%	56%	44%	38%	65%	65%	54%	48%	62%	47%	47%	56%	53%	41%	53%
Not amnesty	154	25	27	71	30	43	48	16	9	24	14	20	45	84	23	23	61	42
	38%	37%	44%	42%	30%	48%	51%	33%	23%	31%	28%	27%	42%	41%	29%	28%	48%	43%
Don't know/Unsure	47	8	4	22	13	8	10	1	5	11	12	8	13	26	12	16	14	4
	12%	12%	6%	13%	13%	8%	11%	2%	12%	15%	24%	11%	12%	13%	15%	20%	11%	5%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

36. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- A LEGISLATIVE PROPOSAL THAT WOULD ALLOW ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS A PATHWAY TO CITIZENSHIP IF THEY PAY A FINE, BACK TAXES, PASS A BACKGROUND CHECK, LEARN ENGLISH AND TAKE OTHER REQUIRED STEPS OVER A PERIOD OF YEARS TO GET RIGHT WITH THE LAW.  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Amnesty	199	102	58	160	39	39	106	84	112	88	107	70	23
	50%	51%	49%	50%	49%	52%	52%	48%	51%	49%	47%	54%	50%
Not amnesty	154	78	44	122	31	29	77	70	79	75	89	47	17
	38%	39%	38%	38%	39%	38%	38%	40%	36%	42%	39%	37%	38%
Don't know/Unsure	47	21	16	37	10	7	19	23	30	17	31	11	5
	12%	11%	13%	12%	13%	10%	9%	13%	14%	10%	14%	9%	11%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

36. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- A LEGISLATIVE PROPOSAL THAT WOULD ALLOW ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS A PATHWAY TO CITIZENSHIP IF THEY PAY A FINE, BACK TAXES, PASS A BACKGROUND CHECK, LEARN ENGLISH AND TAKE OTHER REQUIRED STEPS OVER A PERIOD OF YEARS TO GET RIGHT WITH THE LAW.

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Amnesty	199	134	66	89	85	25	76	48	66	96	62	41	104	95	41	158	168
	50%	54%	44%	46%	53%	55%	49%	48%	56%	66%	38%	45%	46%	54%	48%	50%	49%
Not amnesty	154	93	61	84	59	11	64	42	37	43	90	21	100	54	29	125	135
	38%	37%	40%	43%	37%	25%	42%	42%	32%	29%	55%	23%	44%	31%	34%	40%	39%
Don't know/Unsure	47	23	24	21	16	10	14	11	14	6	12	29	21	26	16	31	44
	12%	9%	16%	11%	10%	21%	9%	11%	12%	4%	7%	32%	9%	15%	19%	10%	13%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

36. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- A LEGISLATIVE PROPOSAL THAT WOULD ALLOW ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS A PATHWAY TO CITIZENSHIP IF THEY PAY A FINE, BACK TAXES, PASS A BACKGROUND CHECK, LEARN ENGLISH AND TAKE OTHER REQUIRED STEPS OVER A PERIOD OF YEARS TO GET RIGHT WITH THE LAW.  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	REGION				CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
		DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Amnesty	199	69	51	79	44	47	51	42	59
	50%	42%	50%	59%	37%	53%	54%	41%	52%
Not amnesty	154	71	39	44	53	31	30	43	49
	38%	43%	38%	33%	45%	36%	32%	42%	43%
Don't know/Unsure	47	24	13	10	21	10	13	17	7
	12%	15%	13%	8%	18%	11%	14%	17%	6%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

37. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- A PROPOSAL THAT DOES NOT ALLOW A PATHWAY TO CITIZENSHIP, BUT WOULD ALLOW ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS A LEGAL RESIDENCY STATUS IF THEY PAY A FINE, BACK TAXES, PASS A BACKGROUND CHECK, LEARN ENGLISH AND TAKE OTHER REQUIRED STEPS OVER A PERIOD OF YEARS TO GET RIGHT WITH THE LAW.

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Amnesty	161	97	63	91	49	139	16	93	67	56	41	29	44	44	40	28
	40%	42%	38%	41%	39%	40%	41%	40%	40%	36%	51%	31%	38%	38%	41%	38%
Not amnesty	192	114	77	106	59	165	21	118	73	84	33	54	61	61	47	40
	48%	49%	46%	48%	47%	47%	54%	51%	44%	54%	41%	57%	53%	53%	48%	53%
Don't know/Unsure	48	20	27	26	18	43	2	21	27	15	6	11	11	10	11	7
	12%	9%	16%	12%	14%	12%	5%	9%	16%	9%	8%	12%	9%	9%	11%	9%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

37. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- A PROPOSAL THAT DOES NOT ALLOW A PATHWAY TO CITIZENSHIP, BUT WOULD ALLOW ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS A LEGAL RESIDENCY STATUS IF THEY PAY A FINE, BACK TAXES, PASS A BACKGROUND CHECK, LEARN ENGLISH AND TAKE OTHER REQUIRED STEPS OVER A PERIOD OF YEARS TO GET RIGHT WITH THE LAW.

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	AGE				GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
		18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Amnesty	161	33	28	55	46	42	29	27	19	26	19	37	42	76	34	27	42	52
	40%	48%	45%	32%	46%	46%	31%	56%	48%	33%	36%	49%	39%	37%	43%	32%	33%	52%
Not amnesty	192	27	30	96	38	40	55	19	17	41	20	26	51	112	38	38	74	39
	48%	40%	48%	56%	38%	45%	59%	39%	43%	53%	38%	35%	47%	54%	48%	45%	58%	39%
Don't know/Unsure	48	8	4	20	16	9	9	3	4	10	13	12	16	19	7	19	11	8
	12%	12%	6%	11%	16%	9%	10%	6%	9%	13%	26%	16%	14%	9%	9%	23%	9%	8%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

37. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- A PROPOSAL THAT DOES NOT ALLOW A PATHWAY TO CITIZENSHIP, BUT WOULD ALLOW ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS A LEGAL RESIDENCY STATUS IF THEY PAY A FINE, BACK TAXES, PASS A BACKGROUND CHECK, LEARN ENGLISH AND TAKE OTHER REQUIRED STEPS OVER A PERIOD OF YEARS TO GET RIGHT WITH THE LAW.

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Amnesty	161	85	44	129	32	32	89	66	95	66	90	55	16
	40%	42%	37%	40%	39%	42%	44%	37%	43%	37%	40%	43%	35%
Not amnesty	192	93	62	155	37	34	91	94	98	94	108	61	22
	48%	46%	52%	48%	46%	45%	45%	53%	44%	52%	48%	48%	50%
Don't know/Unsure	48	23	13	36	12	9	22	17	28	20	29	12	7
	12%	11%	11%	11%	15%	12%	11%	10%	13%	11%	13%	9%	15%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

37. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- A PROPOSAL THAT DOES NOT ALLOW A PATHWAY TO CITIZENSHIP, BUT WOULD ALLOW ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS A LEGAL RESIDENCY STATUS IF THEY PAY A FINE, BACK TAXES, PASS A BACKGROUND CHECK, LEARN ENGLISH AND TAKE OTHER REQUIRED STEPS OVER A PERIOD OF YEARS TO GET RIGHT WITH THE LAW.

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Amnesty	161	109	51	76	68	17	60	33	61	79	51	31	87	73	35	125	135
	40%	44%	34%	39%	43%	36%	39%	32%	52%	54%	31%	34%	39%	42%	41%	40%	39%
Not amnesty	192	114	78	96	77	18	77	61	43	62	103	27	116	76	32	160	166
	48%	46%	52%	50%	48%	40%	50%	60%	36%	43%	63%	29%	52%	43%	37%	51%	48%
Don't know/Unsure	48	26	21	22	14	11	18	8	14	5	10	33	22	26	19	29	45
	12%	11%	14%	11%	9%	24%	12%	8%	12%	3%	6%	36%	10%	15%	22%	9%	13%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

37. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- A PROPOSAL THAT DOES NOT ALLOW A PATHWAY TO CITIZENSHIP, BUT WOULD ALLOW ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS A LEGAL RESIDENCY STATUS IF THEY PAY A FINE, BACK TAXES, PASS A BACKGROUND CHECK, LEARN ENGLISH AND TAKE OTHER REQUIRED STEPS OVER A PERIOD OF YEARS TO GET RIGHT WITH THE LAW.

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	REGION				CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
		DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Amnesty	161	56	43	61	34	38	37	38	48
	40%	34%	42%	46%	29%	43%	38%	37%	42%
Not amnesty	192	86	50	56	67	44	40	54	53
	48%	53%	48%	42%	57%	50%	42%	53%	47%
Don't know/Unsure	48	22	10	15	16	6	18	11	13
	12%	13%	10%	12%	14%	7%	19%	10%	11%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

38. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- ANY ACTION THAT GOES BEYOND SECURING THE BORDER OR STRONGER ENFORCEMENT OF EXISTING LAWS.

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO							
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON	
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Amnesty	113	63	50	65	35	100	12	68	45	40	25	21	30	31	26	24	
	28%	27%	29%	29%	28%	29%	31%	29%	27%	26%	32%	22%	26%	27%	26%	31%	
Not amnesty	213	130	83	115	66	180	24	133	80	93	43	58	69	69	55	43	
	53%	56%	49%	52%	52%	52%	60%	57%	48%	60%	54%	61%	60%	60%	56%	57%	
Don't know/Unsure	75	39	36	42	25	67	4	32	43	23	12	16	16	15	17	9	
	19%	17%	21%	19%	20%	19%	9%	14%	25%	15%	15%	17%	14%	13%	17%	12%	

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

38. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- ANY ACTION THAT GOES BEYOND SECURING THE BORDER OR STRONGER ENFORCEMENT OF EXISTING LAWS.

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	AGE				GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
		18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Amnesty	113	16	16	44	37	15	28	21	17	15	17	29	33	49	22	27	27	36
	28%	23%	26%	26%	38%	16%	30%	43%	43%	20%	33%	39%	31%	23%	27%	32%	21%	36%
Not amnesty	213	37	39	101	36	58	52	20	18	48	16	26	52	129	47	32	82	45
	53%	55%	63%	59%	36%	64%	56%	41%	46%	63%	31%	34%	48%	62%	59%	39%	64%	46%
Don't know/Unsure	75	15	7	26	27	18	13	8	4	13	19	19	23	30	11	25	18	17
	19%	22%	11%	15%	27%	20%	14%	17%	11%	17%	36%	26%	21%	14%	14%	30%	15%	18%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

38. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- ANY ACTION THAT GOES BEYOND SECURING THE BORDER OR STRONGER ENFORCEMENT OF EXISTING LAWS.

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Amnesty	113	56	32	89	24	18	60	49	70	43	62	40	10
	28%	28%	27%	28%	30%	24%	30%	28%	32%	24%	27%	31%	23%
Not amnesty	213	108	64	173	40	45	113	93	114	99	121	69	22
	53%	54%	54%	54%	49%	59%	56%	52%	52%	55%	53%	54%	50%
Don't know/Unsure	75	36	22	58	17	13	28	35	37	38	43	19	12
	19%	18%	19%	18%	20%	17%	14%	20%	17%	21%	19%	15%	27%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

38. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- ANY ACTION THAT GOES BEYOND SECURING THE BORDER OR STRONGER ENFORCEMENT OF EXISTING LAWS.

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	IMMIGRATION						3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
	TOTAL	CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Amnesty	113	77	35	51	48	14	39	27	40	55	36	22	64	49	23	90	100
	28%	31%	23%	26%	30%	30%	26%	27%	34%	38%	22%	24%	28%	28%	26%	29%	29%
Not amnesty	213	132	80	108	86	18	83	60	59	73	113	27	129	84	40	173	178
	53%	53%	53%	56%	54%	39%	54%	59%	50%	50%	69%	30%	57%	48%	46%	55%	52%
Don't know/Unsure	75	40	35	35	25	15	32	15	19	18	15	42	33	42	24	51	68
	19%	16%	23%	18%	16%	31%	21%	14%	16%	12%	9%	46%	14%	24%	27%	16%	20%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

38. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- ANY ACTION THAT GOES BEYOND SECURING THE BORDER OR STRONGER ENFORCEMENT OF EXISTING LAWS.

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	REGION				CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
		DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURREY COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Amnesty	113	34	36	42	21	34	24	20	35
	28%	21%	35%	32%	18%	39%	25%	19%	31%
Not amnesty	213	95	52	65	69	42	43	61	66
	53%	58%	51%	49%	59%	48%	45%	59%	58%
Don't know/Unsure	75	35	15	25	27	12	28	22	13
	19%	21%	14%	19%	23%	13%	30%	21%	11%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

39. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- IF A REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE SUPPORTS STRENGTHENING BORDER SECURITY, BUT ALSO SAYS IT IS UNREALISTIC TO DEPORT 11 MILLION UNDOCUMENTED IMMIGRANTS AND THAT FIXING THE IMMIGRATION SYSTEM LEGISLATIVELY IS NEEDED TO DEAL WITH THE CURRENT SITUATION.  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Amnesty	145	85	60	93	37	129	10	87	58	66	35	29	50	44	38	26
	36%	37%	36%	42%	29%	37%	27%	37%	35%	42%	44%	31%	43%	39%	38%	35%
Not amnesty	164	101	63	81	54	135	23	104	61	64	30	48	37	48	41	32
	41%	44%	37%	36%	43%	39%	58%	45%	36%	41%	38%	51%	32%	42%	41%	42%
Don't know/Unsure	91	46	45	48	35	83	6	42	49	26	15	17	28	22	20	17
	23%	20%	27%	22%	28%	24%	15%	18%	29%	17%	19%	18%	24%	19%	21%	23%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

39. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- IF A REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE SUPPORTS STRENGTHENING BORDER SECURITY, BUT ALSO SAYS IT IS UNREALISTIC TO DEPORT 11 MILLION UNDOCUMENTED IMMIGRANTS AND THAT FIXING THE IMMIGRATION SYSTEM LEGISLATIVELY IS NEEDED TO DEAL WITH THE CURRENT SITUATION.

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	AGE				GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
		18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Amnesty	145	21	25	65	34	31	37	16	14	28	18	28	42	73	32	28	41	42
	36%	31%	40%	38%	34%	35%	40%	33%	36%	36%	34%	38%	38%	35%	40%	33%	32%	43%
Not amnesty	164	30	26	71	37	39	42	21	17	29	17	23	43	93	31	30	62	36
	41%	44%	42%	42%	38%	43%	45%	43%	42%	38%	33%	31%	40%	45%	38%	35%	49%	37%
Don't know/Unsure	91	18	11	34	29	20	14	12	9	20	17	23	24	41	17	27	24	20
	23%	26%	18%	20%	29%	22%	15%	24%	21%	26%	33%	31%	22%	20%	22%	32%	19%	20%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

39. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- IF A REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE SUPPORTS STRENGTHENING BORDER SECURITY, BUT ALSO SAYS IT IS UNREALISTIC TO DEPORT 11 MILLION UNDOCUMENTED IMMIGRANTS AND THAT FIXING THE IMMIGRATION SYSTEM LEGISLATIVELY IS NEEDED TO DEAL WITH THE CURRENT SITUATION.

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Amnesty	145	92	29	121	24	24	79	59	88	57	88	40	17
	36%	46%	25%	38%	29%	31%	39%	33%	40%	32%	39%	31%	38%
Not amnesty	164	65	57	122	42	30	81	78	76	89	93	56	16
	41%	32%	48%	38%	52%	40%	40%	44%	34%	49%	41%	44%	35%
Don't know/Unsure	91	44	32	76	15	22	41	40	57	34	46	33	12
	23%	22%	27%	24%	19%	29%	20%	22%	26%	19%	20%	25%	27%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

39. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- IF A REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE SUPPORTS STRENGTHENING BORDER SECURITY, BUT ALSO SAYS IT IS UNREALISTIC TO DEPORT 11 MILLION UNDOCUMENTED IMMIGRANTS AND THAT FIXING THE IMMIGRATION SYSTEM LEGISLATIVELY IS NEEDED TO DEAL WITH THE CURRENT SITUATION.

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Amnesty	145	99	46	76	55	14	53	29	59	145	-	-	84	61	21	124	124
	36%	40%	30%	39%	35%	29%	35%	28%	51%	100%			37%	35%	24%	40%	36%
Not amnesty	164	92	72	80	67	16	70	55	25	-	164	-	100	64	40	124	141
	41%	37%	48%	41%	42%	35%	45%	54%	22%		100%		44%	37%	47%	39%	41%
Don't know/Unsure	91	58	33	38	37	17	31	18	33	-	-	91	41	50	25	66	81
	23%	23%	22%	19%	23%	35%	20%	18%	28%			100%	18%	29%	29%	21%	23%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

39. WHETHER POSITIONS REPRESENT AMNESTY -- IF A REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE SUPPORTS STRENGTHENING BORDER SECURITY, BUT ALSO SAYS IT IS UNREALISTIC TO DEPORT 11 MILLION UNDOCUMENTED IMMIGRANTS AND THAT FIXING THE IMMIGRATION SYSTEM LEGISLATIVELY IS NEEDED TO DEAL WITH THE CURRENT SITUATION.

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	REGION				CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
		DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Amnesty	145	57	37	52	36	31	36	40	38
	36%	34%	36%	39%	30%	35%	38%	39%	33%
Not amnesty	164	68	48	48	52	41	28	38	57
	41%	42%	46%	36%	44%	46%	30%	37%	50%
Don't know/Unsure	91	40	18	33	30	16	31	24	19
	23%	24%	18%	25%	25%	19%	32%	24%	17%

35-39. For each of the following positions, please tell me if you think this represents amnesty or does not represent amnesty.

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

40. WHETHER SUPPORT COMPREHENSIVE, MULTI-STEP APPROACH TO ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
SUPPORT (NET)	303	167	135	166	100	266	26	174	129	121	64	79	88	98	80	59
	76%	72%	80%	75%	80%	76%	67%	75%	77%	77%	79%	84%	77%	85%	81%	78%
Strongly support	131	70	61	78	41	119	8	82	49	44	33	32	35	38	37	24
	33%	30%	36%	35%	32%	34%	21%	35%	29%	28%	40%	34%	30%	33%	38%	31%
Somewhat support	172	97	75	88	59	147	18	92	80	77	31	47	54	60	42	35
	43%	42%	44%	40%	47%	42%	45%	40%	47%	49%	39%	50%	47%	52%	43%	47%
OPPOSE (NET)	69	48	22	40	18	58	10	43	27	28	15	13	21	15	14	12
	17%	21%	13%	18%	14%	17%	26%	18%	16%	18%	18%	14%	18%	13%	14%	17%
Somewhat oppose	32	22	10	17	11	27	5	19	13	13	4	10	11	8	8	7
	8%	9%	6%	7%	9%	8%	12%	8%	8%	8%	6%	11%	10%	7%	8%	9%
Strongly oppose	37	26	11	23	7	31	6	23	14	15	10	3	10	8	7	5
	9%	11%	7%	11%	6%	9%	14%	10%	8%	9%	13%	3%	9%	7%	7%	7%
Don't know/Unsure	28	17	11	16	8	24	3	16	13	7	2	2	6	2	5	4
	7%	7%	7%	7%	6%	7%	7%	7%	7%	5%	2%	2%	5%	2%	5%	5%

40. I'd like to read you a comprehensive, multi-step approach to illegal immigration and have you tell me if you support or oppose this plan. If (support/oppose): Strongly or just somewhat?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

40. WHETHER SUPPORT COMPREHENSIVE, MULTI-STEP APPROACH TO ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
SUPPORT (NET)	303	55	48	128	72	70	67	30	33	61	42	52	83	161	67	66	95	69
	76%	80%	77%	75%	72%	78%	72%	63%	82%	79%	81%	70%	76%	78%	83%	78%	75%	70%
Strongly support	131	21	17	61	32	27	32	12	11	29	21	29	29	73	32	28	41	29
	33%	31%	27%	36%	32%	30%	34%	24%	27%	38%	40%	39%	27%	35%	39%	33%	32%	30%
Somewhat support	172	34	31	67	40	43	35	19	22	31	21	23	54	89	35	38	54	40
	43%	50%	50%	39%	40%	48%	38%	39%	55%	41%	41%	31%	50%	43%	43%	45%	42%	40%
OPPOSE (NET)	69	6	11	32	20	14	21	12	3	11	7	16	19	33	10	10	23	25
	17%	9%	18%	19%	20%	16%	22%	26%	8%	15%	14%	22%	18%	16%	13%	12%	18%	25%
Somewhat oppose	32	5	5	17	5	10	10	3	-	8	3	8	8	15	4	6	11	10
	8%	7%	8%	10%	5%	11%	10%	6%		10%	5%	11%	7%	7%	5%	7%	9%	11%
Strongly oppose	37	2	6	15	14	5	11	10	3	4	4	8	11	18	7	4	11	15
	9%	3%	10%	9%	14%	5%	12%	20%	8%	5%	9%	11%	10%	9%	8%	5%	9%	15%
Don't know/Unsure	28	7	3	10	8	6	6	5	4	5	3	6	7	13	3	8	9	5
	7%	10%	5%	6%	8%	6%	6%	11%	11%	6%	5%	8%	6%	6%	4%	10%	7%	5%

40. I'd like to read you a comprehensive, multi-step approach to illegal immigration and have you tell me if you support or oppose this plan. If (support/oppose): Strongly or just somewhat?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

40. WHETHER SUPPORT COMPREHENSIVE, MULTI-STEP APPROACH TO ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
SUPPORT (NET)	303	147	94	241	62	57	158	128	163	140	172	99	32
	76%	73%	80%	75%	76%	75%	79%	72%	74%	78%	76%	77%	71%
Strongly support	131	60	41	101	30	24	67	58	69	62	79	38	14
	33%	30%	35%	32%	37%	32%	33%	32%	31%	35%	35%	30%	31%
Somewhat support	172	87	53	140	31	33	91	71	94	78	93	61	18
	43%	43%	45%	44%	39%	44%	45%	40%	43%	43%	41%	47%	41%
OPPOSE (NET)	69	40	16	56	13	10	30	35	37	32	38	21	11
	17%	20%	14%	17%	17%	14%	15%	20%	17%	18%	17%	16%	24%
Somewhat oppose	32	16	8	24	8	4	14	18	20	13	17	9	6
	8%	8%	7%	8%	10%	5%	7%	10%	9%	7%	8%	7%	13%
Strongly oppose	37	23	8	31	6	6	17	18	18	19	20	12	5
	9%	11%	7%	10%	7%	8%	8%	10%	8%	11%	9%	9%	11%
Don't know/Unsure	28	15	8	23	6	8	13	13	20	8	18	8	2
	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	11%	6%	8%	9%	4%	8%	7%	4%

40. I'd like to read you a comprehensive, multi-step approach to illegal immigration and have you tell me if you support or oppose this plan. If (support/oppose): Strongly or just somewhat?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

40. WHETHER SUPPORT COMPREHENSIVE, MULTI-STEP APPROACH TO ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	IMMIGRATION						3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
	TOTAL	CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
SUPPORT (NET)	303	182	121	154	114	34	133	88	62	97	139	67	177	126	62	240	261
	76%	73%	80%	79%	71%	74%	86%	86%	53%	67%	85%	73%	78%	72%	73%	76%	76%
Strongly support	131	70	61	75	40	17	72	38	17	44	62	26	80	51	26	105	115
	33%	28%	41%	39%	25%	36%	47%	37%	14%	30%	38%	28%	36%	29%	30%	33%	33%
Somewhat support	172	112	59	79	74	18	61	50	45	53	77	41	97	75	36	135	146
	43%	45%	39%	41%	47%	38%	40%	49%	38%	37%	47%	45%	43%	43%	42%	43%	42%
OPPOSE (NET)	69	49	21	30	34	6	14	11	42	42	18	10	36	33	13	56	60
	17%	20%	14%	15%	21%	12%	9%	11%	36%	29%	11%	11%	16%	19%	15%	18%	17%
Somewhat oppose	32	21	11	15	15	2	9	7	15	17	10	5	14	18	6	26	25
	8%	8%	7%	8%	9%	4%	6%	7%	13%	12%	6%	6%	6%	10%	7%	8%	7%
Strongly oppose	37	28	9	15	19	4	5	4	28	25	7	5	22	15	7	30	35
	9%	11%	6%	8%	12%	8%	3%	4%	24%	17%	4%	5%	10%	8%	9%	9%	10%
Don't know/Unsure	28	19	10	10	12	6	7	3	13	6	7	14	12	16	10	18	25
	7%	7%	6%	5%	8%	14%	5%	3%	11%	4%	5%	16%	5%	9%	12%	6%	7%

40. I'd like to read you a comprehensive, multi-step approach to illegal immigration and have you tell me if you support or oppose this plan. If (support/oppose): Strongly or just somewhat?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

40. WHETHER SUPPORT COMPREHENSIVE, MULTI-STEP APPROACH TO ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
SUPPORT (NET)	303	120	82	102	90	70	62	78	93
	76%	73%	79%	77%	77%	79%	65%	76%	81%
Strongly support	131	49	38	45	39	29	25	35	42
	33%	30%	37%	34%	33%	33%	26%	34%	36%
Somewhat support	172	71	44	57	51	40	37	43	51
	43%	43%	42%	43%	44%	46%	39%	42%	45%
OPPOSE (NET)	69	31	18	21	21	17	15	19	18
	17%	19%	17%	15%	18%	19%	16%	19%	16%
Somewhat oppose	32	14	13	5	10	12	8	5	7
	8%	8%	13%	4%	9%	14%	8%	5%	6%
Strongly oppose	37	17	5	16	11	5	7	14	11
	9%	10%	4%	12%	10%	5%	8%	14%	10%
Don't know/Unsure	28	14	4	10	6	2	18	5	4
	7%	9%	3%	8%	5%	2%	19%	5%	3%

40. I'd like to read you a comprehensive, multi-step approach to illegal immigration and have you tell me if you support or oppose this plan. If (support/oppose): Strongly or just somewhat?



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

41. WHETHER WILLING TO SUPPORT A CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT WHO SUPPORTS MULTI-STEP APPROACH TO LEGAL STATUS  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	325	184	140	179	102	281	31	193	131	128	68	81	95	102	82	61
	81%	79%	83%	81%	81%	81%	79%	83%	78%	82%	84%	86%	82%	88%	83%	82%
No	76	48	28	43	24	66	8	39	37	27	13	13	21	14	17	14
	19%	21%	17%	19%	19%	19%	21%	17%	22%	18%	16%	14%	18%	12%	17%	18%

41. Whatever your opinion, would you be willing to support a candidate for President who supports this multi-step approach to legal status?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

41. WHETHER WILLING TO SUPPORT A CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT WHO SUPPORTS MULTI-STEP APPROACH TO LEGAL STATUS  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	AGE				GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
		18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	325	54	55	141	74	73	76	35	36	65	39	53	88	174	71	66	104	76
	81%	80%	89%	83%	74%	81%	82%	72%	92%	84%	76%	72%	81%	84%	88%	78%	82%	77%
No	76	14	7	29	26	17	17	13	3	12	12	21	20	33	10	18	23	23
	19%	20%	11%	17%	26%	19%	18%	28%	8%	16%	24%	28%	19%	16%	12%	22%	18%	23%

41. Whatever your opinion, would you be willing to support a candidate for President who supports this multi-step approach to legal status?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

41. WHETHER WILLING TO SUPPORT A CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT WHO SUPPORTS MULTI-STEP APPROACH TO LEGAL STATUS  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	325	161	97	259	66	60	165	142	171	153	181	108	36
	81%	80%	82%	81%	82%	80%	82%	80%	78%	85%	80%	84%	80%
No	76	40	21	61	15	15	36	35	50	26	46	21	9
	19%	20%	18%	19%	18%	20%	18%	20%	22%	15%	20%	16%	20%

41. Whatever your opinion, would you be willing to support a candidate for President who supports this multi-step approach to legal status?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

41. WHETHER WILLING TO SUPPORT A CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT WHO SUPPORTS MULTI-STEP APPROACH TO LEGAL STATUS  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	325	193	131	175	116	34	141	94	71	114	146	64	184	141	67	257	279
	81%	78%	87%	90%	72%	72%	91%	92%	60%	79%	89%	70%	82%	80%	78%	82%	81%
No	76	56	20	19	44	13	13	8	47	30	18	27	41	34	19	57	67
	19%	22%	13%	10%	28%	28%	9%	8%	40%	21%	11%	30%	18%	20%	22%	18%	19%

41. Whatever your opinion, would you be willing to support a candidate for President who supports this multi-step approach to legal status?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

41. WHETHER WILLING TO SUPPORT A CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT WHO SUPPORTS MULTI-STEP APPROACH TO LEGAL STATUS  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	REGION				CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
		DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	325	132	87	105	101	76	69	87	93
	81%	80%	85%	80%	86%	86%	72%	85%	81%
No	76	33	16	27	17	12	26	16	21
	19%	20%	15%	20%	14%	14%	28%	15%	19%

41. Whatever your opinion, would you be willing to support a candidate for President who supports this multi-step approach to legal status?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

42. WHETHER WOULD SUPPORT ALLOWING ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS WHO COMPLY TO EVENTUALLY APPLY FOR U.S. CITIZENSHIP  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
SUPPORT (NET)	298	169	129	163	98	261	28	167	131	115	61	75	83	90	79	60
	74%	73%	77%	73%	78%	75%	71%	72%	78%	74%	76%	80%	72%	78%	80%	80%
Strongly support	153	86	67	92	48	140	12	86	67	49	43	38	40	48	36	22
	38%	37%	40%	41%	38%	40%	30%	37%	40%	32%	54%	41%	35%	41%	36%	30%
Somewhat support	145	82	63	71	51	122	16	81	64	65	18	37	43	42	43	37
	36%	36%	37%	32%	40%	35%	42%	35%	38%	42%	22%	40%	38%	36%	44%	50%
OPPOSE (NET)	68	45	24	38	16	54	10	48	20	32	18	16	26	20	15	12
	17%	19%	14%	17%	13%	16%	26%	21%	12%	21%	22%	17%	22%	17%	15%	16%
Somewhat oppose	18	12	6	8	6	14	4	14	4	10	2	6	5	6	5	2
	5%	5%	3%	4%	5%	4%	10%	6%	2%	7%	2%	6%	4%	5%	5%	3%
Strongly oppose	50	32	18	30	10	40	6	34	17	22	16	10	21	14	10	10
	13%	14%	11%	13%	8%	12%	16%	15%	10%	14%	20%	11%	18%	12%	10%	13%
Don't know/Unsure	34	19	15	21	11	32	1	17	17	8	2	3	6	6	5	3
	8%	8%	9%	9%	9%	9%	2%	7%	10%	5%	2%	3%	5%	5%	5%	4%

42. And if all the steps we just discussed were in place, would you support or oppose allowing the illegal immigrants who comply to eventually – after at least ten years - apply for U.S. citizenship? If (support/oppose): Strongly or just somewhat?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

42. WHETHER WOULD SUPPORT ALLOWING ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS WHO COMPLY TO EVENTUALLY APPLY FOR U.S. CITIZENSHIP  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
SUPPORT (NET)	298	50	52	125	71	69	67	32	32	58	39	50	80	159	64	62	96	68
	74%	73%	84%	73%	71%	77%	72%	67%	81%	76%	76%	68%	74%	77%	79%	74%	76%	69%
Strongly support	153	26	31	59	37	38	32	16	19	28	21	25	37	88	34	31	53	32
	38%	38%	50%	35%	37%	43%	34%	33%	47%	36%	40%	34%	34%	42%	43%	36%	42%	32%
Somewhat support	145	23	21	66	35	31	35	16	14	30	19	25	43	72	29	32	43	36
	36%	35%	34%	39%	35%	34%	38%	33%	34%	39%	36%	34%	39%	35%	36%	37%	34%	37%
OPPOSE (NET)	68	11	9	27	21	15	17	12	4	10	9	19	20	29	10	14	19	25
	17%	16%	15%	16%	21%	17%	18%	26%	11%	13%	17%	25%	19%	14%	12%	16%	15%	25%
Somewhat oppose	18	4	3	9	3	7	4	2	-	5	1	5	4	9	1	5	8	4
	5%	5%	5%	5%	3%	7%	4%	4%		6%	2%	6%	4%	4%	1%	6%	6%	4%
Strongly oppose	50	7	6	19	19	9	13	11	4	6	8	14	16	20	9	9	11	21
	13%	10%	10%	11%	19%	10%	14%	22%	11%	7%	16%	19%	15%	10%	11%	11%	9%	22%
Don't know/Unsure	34	8	1	18	7	6	9	4	3	8	4	5	8	19	7	8	12	5
	8%	11%	2%	10%	7%	6%	10%	7%	8%	11%	7%	7%	8%	9%	9%	10%	10%	6%

42. And if all the steps we just discussed were in place, would you support or oppose allowing the illegal immigrants who comply to eventually – after at least ten years - apply for U.S. citizenship? If (support/oppose): Strongly or just somewhat?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

42. WHETHER WOULD SUPPORT ALLOWING ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS WHO COMPLY TO EVENTUALLY APPLY FOR U.S. CITIZENSHIP  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
SUPPORT (NET)	298	150	87	237	61	53	153	131	165	133	168	101	29
	74%	74%	74%	74%	76%	71%	76%	74%	75%	74%	74%	79%	64%
Strongly support	153	76	42	118	35	22	73	73	78	75	83	53	17
	38%	38%	36%	37%	43%	29%	36%	41%	36%	42%	37%	41%	37%
Somewhat support	145	74	45	119	26	31	80	58	87	58	85	48	12
	36%	37%	38%	37%	32%	42%	40%	33%	39%	33%	37%	38%	26%
OPPOSE (NET)	68	37	18	55	13	12	33	33	36	33	38	18	12
	17%	18%	16%	17%	16%	16%	16%	18%	16%	18%	17%	14%	27%
Somewhat oppose	18	9	6	14	4	3	11	7	11	7	12	5	1
	5%	4%	5%	4%	5%	4%	6%	4%	5%	4%	5%	4%	2%
Strongly oppose	50	28	13	41	9	9	22	26	25	26	26	13	11
	13%	14%	11%	13%	12%	12%	11%	15%	11%	14%	12%	10%	25%
Don't know/Unsure	34	15	13	27	6	10	15	14	20	14	20	9	4
	8%	7%	11%	9%	8%	14%	8%	8%	9%	8%	9%	7%	9%

42. And if all the steps we just discussed were in place, would you support or oppose allowing the illegal immigrants who comply to eventually – after at least ten years - apply for U.S. citizenship? If (support/oppose): Strongly or just somewhat?



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

42. WHETHER WOULD SUPPORT ALLOWING ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS WHO COMPLY TO EVENTUALLY APPLY FOR U.S. CITIZENSHIP  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	IMMIGRATION						3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
	TOTAL	CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
SUPPORT (NET)	298	176	122	158	108	32	134	81	64	102	135	61	171	127	57	241	254
	74%	71%	81%	82%	67%	70%	87%	80%	55%	71%	82%	67%	76%	73%	66%	77%	74%
Strongly support	153	83	70	83	51	19	91	37	19	57	68	29	91	62	31	122	130
	38%	33%	46%	43%	32%	41%	59%	36%	16%	39%	41%	32%	40%	36%	36%	39%	38%
Somewhat support	145	93	52	75	57	13	42	44	46	46	67	32	80	65	26	119	124
	36%	37%	34%	39%	36%	29%	28%	43%	39%	31%	41%	35%	36%	37%	30%	38%	36%
OPPOSE (NET)	68	53	16	25	39	4	10	15	42	35	24	8	38	30	20	49	61
	17%	21%	10%	13%	25%	8%	6%	15%	35%	24%	15%	9%	17%	17%	23%	16%	18%
Somewhat oppose	18	10	8	8	9	1	5	6	7	9	7	3	9	9	5	13	16
	5%	4%	5%	4%	6%	2%	3%	5%	6%	6%	4%	3%	4%	5%	5%	4%	5%
Strongly oppose	50	42	8	18	30	3	5	10	35	27	18	6	29	21	15	35	45
	13%	17%	5%	9%	19%	6%	3%	10%	30%	18%	11%	6%	13%	12%	17%	11%	13%
Don't know/Unsure	34	20	13	11	13	10	11	5	11	7	5	22	16	18	9	24	31
	8%	8%	9%	5%	8%	23%	7%	5%	10%	5%	3%	24%	7%	10%	11%	8%	9%

42. And if all the steps we just discussed were in place, would you support or oppose allowing the illegal immigrants who comply to eventually – after at least ten years - apply for U.S. citizenship? If (support/oppose): Strongly or just somewhat?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

42. WHETHER WOULD SUPPORT ALLOWING ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS WHO COMPLY TO EVENTUALLY APPLY FOR U.S. CITIZENSHIP  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURREY COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
SUPPORT (NET)	298	111	89	99	81	76	58	75	89
	74%	67%	86%	75%	69%	86%	61%	73%	78%
Strongly support	153	58	52	44	45	44	29	41	39
	38%	35%	50%	33%	38%	50%	31%	40%	34%
Somewhat support	145	53	37	55	36	32	29	34	50
	36%	32%	36%	42%	31%	36%	31%	33%	43%
OPPOSE (NET)	68	34	12	22	24	12	17	18	22
	17%	21%	12%	17%	20%	14%	17%	17%	19%
Somewhat oppose	18	9	7	3	6	7	2	4	6
	5%	5%	6%	2%	5%	7%	2%	4%	5%
Strongly oppose	50	25	6	19	18	6	15	14	16
	13%	15%	5%	15%	15%	6%	15%	13%	14%
Don't know/Unsure	34	20	2	11	12	-	20	10	4
	8%	12%	2%	9%	11%		21%	10%	3%

42. And if all the steps we just discussed were in place, would you support or oppose allowing the illegal immigrants who comply to eventually – after at least ten years - apply for U.S. citizenship? If (support/oppose): Strongly or just somewhat?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

43. WHETHER WILLING TO SUPPORT A CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT WHO SUPPORTS MULTI-STEP APPROACH TO EVENTUAL CITIZENSHIP  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	308	177	131	171	101	273	27	177	131	120	63	79	89	95	77	61
	77%	76%	78%	77%	81%	78%	69%	76%	78%	77%	78%	84%	78%	82%	79%	81%
No	93	55	37	51	24	75	12	56	37	36	18	15	26	20	21	14
	23%	24%	22%	23%	19%	22%	31%	24%	22%	23%	22%	16%	22%	18%	21%	19%

43. Whatever your opinion, would you be willing to support a candidate for President who supports this multi-step approach to eventual citizenship?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

43. WHETHER WILLING TO SUPPORT A CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT WHO SUPPORTS MULTI-STEP APPROACH TO EVENTUAL CITIZENSHIP  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	308	53	56	129	70	77	67	33	33	62	37	52	84	163	64	63	99	73
	77%	78%	90%	76%	70%	85%	72%	69%	82%	80%	71%	70%	77%	79%	80%	75%	78%	74%
No	93	15	6	41	30	14	26	15	7	15	15	22	25	44	16	21	28	25
	23%	22%	10%	24%	30%	15%	28%	31%	18%	20%	29%	30%	23%	21%	20%	25%	22%	26%

43. Whatever your opinion, would you be willing to support a candidate for President who supports this multi-step approach to eventual citizenship?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

43. WHETHER WILLING TO SUPPORT A CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT WHO SUPPORTS MULTI-STEP APPROACH TO EVENTUAL CITIZENSHIP  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	308	152	93	245	63	57	158	133	168	140	175	102	31
	77%	75%	79%	77%	78%	76%	78%	75%	76%	78%	77%	79%	69%
No	93	50	25	74	18	18	43	44	53	40	52	27	14
	23%	25%	21%	23%	22%	24%	22%	25%	24%	22%	23%	21%	31%

43. Whatever your opinion, would you be willing to support a candidate for President who supports this multi-step approach to eventual citizenship?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

43. WHETHER WILLING TO SUPPORT A CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT WHO SUPPORTS MULTI-STEP APPROACH TO EVENTUAL CITIZENSHIP  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	308	180	127	163	109	35	137	84	68	107	134	67	176	132	60	248	262
	77%	72%	84%	84%	68%	75%	89%	83%	58%	74%	82%	73%	78%	76%	70%	79%	76%
No	93	69	24	31	50	11	17	18	49	38	30	24	50	43	26	66	84
	23%	28%	16%	16%	32%	25%	11%	17%	42%	26%	18%	27%	22%	24%	30%	21%	24%

43. Whatever your opinion, would you be willing to support a candidate for President who supports this multi-step approach to eventual citizenship?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

43. WHETHER WILLING TO SUPPORT A CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT WHO SUPPORTS MULTI-STEP APPROACH TO EVENTUAL CITIZENSHIP  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	REGION				CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
		DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	308	117	88	103	91	75	68	77	89
	77%	71%	85%	78%	77%	84%	71%	75%	78%
No	93	48	15	30	27	14	27	26	26
	23%	29%	15%	22%	23%	16%	29%	25%	22%

43. Whatever your opinion, would you be willing to support a candidate for President who supports this multi-step approach to eventual citizenship?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

44. AGREEMENT WITH STATEMENT -- A REPUBLICAN NOMINEE FOR PRESIDENT WILL HAVE A HARD TIME WINNING THE GENERAL ELECTION IF HE OR SHE TAKES A HARD LINE POSITION ON IMMIGRATION.

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
AGREE (NET)	205	116	89	114	66	180	18	116	89	73	53	57	48	57	47	30
	51%	50%	53%	51%	53%	52%	46%	50%	53%	47%	66%	60%	42%	49%	48%	40%
Strongly agree	104	65	39	62	36	98	5	62	42	31	30	28	24	29	17	15
	26%	28%	23%	28%	29%	28%	13%	27%	25%	20%	37%	30%	21%	25%	18%	21%
Somewhat agree	102	51	50	52	30	82	13	54	47	41	23	29	25	28	30	15
	25%	22%	30%	23%	24%	24%	33%	23%	28%	26%	29%	30%	21%	24%	30%	20%
DISAGREE (NET)	154	96	58	83	45	129	21	100	53	68	20	29	60	49	43	37
	38%	41%	34%	38%	36%	37%	54%	43%	32%	44%	25%	31%	52%	42%	44%	50%
Somewhat disagree	68	37	31	41	17	59	7	41	27	32	14	19	19	19	24	18
	17%	16%	18%	19%	14%	17%	18%	18%	16%	20%	17%	20%	16%	17%	24%	24%
Strongly disagree	86	59	27	42	28	70	14	59	27	36	6	10	41	30	19	19
	22%	25%	16%	19%	22%	20%	36%	26%	16%	23%	8%	11%	36%	26%	20%	26%
Don't know/unsure	41	20	21	25	14	39	-	16	25	15	7	8	7	9	8	7
	10%	9%	12%	11%	11%	11%		7%	15%	10%	9%	9%	6%	8%	8%	10%

44. Thinking now about how the issue of immigration may play out in a general election, please tell me if you agree or disagree with the following statement: A Republican nominee for president will have a hard time winning the general election if he or she takes a hard line position on immigration. If (agree/disagree) Strongly or just somewhat?



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

44. AGREEMENT WITH STATEMENT -- A REPUBLICAN NOMINEE FOR PRESIDENT WILL HAVE A HARD TIME WINNING THE GENERAL ELECTION IF HE OR SHE TAKES A HARD LINE POSITION ON IMMIGRATION.  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	AGE				GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
		18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
AGREE (NET)	205	39	27	81	58	45	44	27	21	37	31	45	47	108	40	47	68	45
	51%	58%	44%	48%	58%	50%	47%	56%	53%	48%	60%	61%	43%	52%	50%	56%	53%	45%
Strongly agree	104	19	16	39	29	28	22	15	8	17	14	22	23	57	20	18	37	27
	26%	29%	26%	23%	29%	31%	23%	31%	19%	22%	28%	30%	22%	28%	24%	22%	30%	28%
Somewhat agree	102	20	11	42	29	18	22	12	13	20	17	23	23	51	21	29	30	18
	25%	29%	18%	25%	29%	19%	24%	24%	34%	26%	33%	31%	21%	25%	26%	34%	24%	18%
DISAGREE (NET)	154	22	31	71	29	38	40	18	15	31	12	21	47	82	32	24	50	44
	38%	32%	50%	42%	29%	42%	43%	37%	38%	41%	22%	29%	43%	40%	40%	28%	39%	45%
Somewhat disagree	68	7	14	31	15	14	14	9	7	17	6	10	18	39	16	14	23	14
	17%	11%	23%	18%	15%	15%	15%	19%	18%	22%	12%	14%	17%	19%	19%	17%	18%	14%
Strongly disagree	86	15	17	40	14	24	26	9	8	14	5	11	29	43	17	10	27	31
	22%	22%	27%	24%	14%	27%	28%	19%	19%	18%	10%	15%	27%	21%	21%	11%	21%	31%
Don't know/unsure	41	7	4	18	12	7	9	4	4	8	9	8	15	17	8	13	10	9
	10%	10%	6%	10%	13%	8%	10%	7%	9%	11%	17%	10%	14%	8%	10%	16%	8%	10%

44. Thinking now about how the issue of immigration may play out in a general election, please tell me if you agree or disagree with the following statement: A Republican nominee for president will have a hard time winning the general election if he or she takes a hard line position on immigration. If (agree/disagree) Strongly or just somewhat?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

44. AGREEMENT WITH STATEMENT -- A REPUBLICAN NOMINEE FOR PRESIDENT WILL HAVE A HARD TIME WINNING THE GENERAL ELECTION IF HE OR SHE TAKES A HARD LINE POSITION ON IMMIGRATION.

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
	TOTAL	VERY CONSERVATIVE	SOMEWHAT CONSERVATIVE	TOTAL CONSERVATIVE	MODERATE /LIBERAL	STRONG SUPPORT	TOTAL SUPPORT	NOT A SUPPORT	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
AGREE (NET)	205	90	67	157	48	28	93	104	111	95	119	65	21
	51%	45%	57%	49%	60%	37%	46%	59%	50%	53%	52%	51%	48%
Strongly agree	104	47	28	74	29	14	45	53	54	50	64	25	15
	26%	23%	23%	23%	36%	18%	22%	30%	24%	28%	28%	19%	33%
Somewhat agree	102	43	40	83	19	14	48	51	57	45	55	41	6
	25%	21%	33%	26%	24%	19%	24%	29%	26%	25%	24%	32%	14%
DISAGREE (NET)	154	100	29	129	24	41	89	58	84	69	82	51	21
	38%	50%	25%	40%	30%	54%	44%	33%	38%	39%	36%	40%	46%
Somewhat disagree	68	40	15	55	13	10	34	30	36	32	39	22	7
	17%	20%	13%	17%	16%	13%	17%	17%	16%	18%	17%	17%	15%
Strongly disagree	86	61	14	74	12	31	55	29	49	37	43	30	14
	22%	30%	12%	23%	15%	41%	27%	16%	22%	21%	19%	23%	31%
Don't know/unsure	41	11	22	33	8	7	19	15	26	15	26	12	3
	10%	5%	19%	10%	10%	9%	10%	8%	12%	9%	12%	9%	6%

44. Thinking now about how the issue of immigration may play out in a general election, please tell me if you agree or disagree with the following statement: A Republican nominee for president will have a hard time winning the general election if he or she takes a hard line position on immigration. If (agree/disagree) Strongly or just somewhat?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

44. AGREEMENT WITH STATEMENT -- A REPUBLICAN NOMINEE FOR PRESIDENT WILL HAVE A HARD TIME WINNING THE GENERAL ELECTION  
IF HE OR SHE TAKES A HARD LINE POSITION ON IMMIGRATION.

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	IMMIGRATION						3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
	TOTAL	CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS- AGREE	COULD NOT DIS- AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
AGREE (NET)	205	126	79	108	77	21	84	57	51	72	94	40	117	89	44	161	175
	51%	51%	52%	55%	48%	45%	54%	56%	43%	49%	57%	43%	52%	51%	51%	51%	50%
Strongly agree	104	63	40	56	40	8	44	31	24	37	47	20	64	40	25	78	91
	26%	25%	27%	29%	25%	17%	29%	31%	20%	25%	28%	22%	28%	23%	29%	25%	26%
Somewhat agree	102	63	39	52	37	13	40	26	27	35	48	19	53	49	19	83	84
	25%	25%	26%	27%	23%	28%	26%	25%	23%	24%	29%	21%	23%	28%	22%	26%	24%
DISAGREE (NET)	154	98	56	72	65	16	57	37	54	64	60	30	90	64	32	122	137
	38%	39%	37%	37%	41%	35%	37%	36%	46%	44%	36%	33%	40%	36%	37%	39%	40%
Somewhat disagree	68	38	29	34	24	10	27	17	20	23	32	12	39	28	16	51	62
	17%	15%	19%	17%	15%	21%	18%	16%	17%	16%	19%	13%	17%	16%	19%	16%	18%
Strongly disagree	86	60	26	39	41	6	30	20	34	40	28	18	51	36	15	71	75
	22%	24%	17%	20%	26%	14%	20%	20%	29%	28%	17%	20%	22%	20%	18%	23%	22%
Don't know/unsure	41	25	16	14	18	9	13	8	13	10	10	21	19	22	10	31	34
	10%	10%	11%	7%	11%	20%	8%	8%	11%	7%	6%	23%	8%	13%	12%	10%	10%

44. Thinking now about how the issue of immigration may play out in a general election, please tell me if you agree or disagree with the following statement: A Republican nominee for president will have a hard time winning the general election if he or she takes a hard line position on immigration. If (agree/disagree) Strongly or just somewhat?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

44. AGREEMENT WITH STATEMENT -- A REPUBLICAN NOMINEE FOR PRESIDENT WILL HAVE A HARD TIME WINNING THE GENERAL ELECTION IF HE OR SHE TAKES A HARD LINE POSITION ON IMMIGRATION.

BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	REGION				CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
		DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURREY COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
AGREE (NET)	205	77	59	69	61	51	38	48	68
	51%	47%	57%	52%	52%	57%	40%	47%	59%
Strongly agree	104	44	22	38	36	18	21	31	33
	26%	27%	21%	28%	30%	21%	22%	31%	29%
Somewhat agree	102	33	37	32	25	32	17	17	35
	25%	20%	36%	24%	21%	37%	18%	17%	31%
DISAGREE (NET)	154	75	36	43	49	31	38	48	37
	38%	46%	35%	32%	42%	35%	40%	47%	32%
Somewhat disagree	68	35	16	17	25	15	8	24	20
	17%	21%	16%	12%	21%	17%	8%	24%	18%
Strongly disagree	86	41	19	26	24	16	30	24	17
	22%	25%	19%	20%	21%	18%	32%	23%	15%
Don't know/unsure	41	12	8	20	7	7	19	6	9
	10%	8%	8%	15%	6%	8%	20%	6%	8%

44. Thinking now about how the issue of immigration may play out in a general election, please tell me if you agree or disagree with the following statement: A Republican nominee for president will have a hard time winning the general election if he or she takes a hard line position on immigration. If (agree/disagree) Strongly or just somewhat?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

45. IMPORTANCE OF APPEALING TO NON-WHITE VOTERS IN ORDER TO WIN THE WHITE HOUSE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	352	203	149	197	112	309	33	204	148	137	72	89	96	102	91	65
	88%	87%	89%	89%	89%	89%	83%	88%	88%	88%	89%	95%	83%	88%	93%	87%
Very important	218	118	101	127	65	192	21	118	101	79	58	57	47	56	58	36
	55%	51%	60%	57%	52%	55%	53%	51%	60%	51%	72%	60%	41%	49%	59%	49%
Somewhat important	134	85	49	70	46	117	12	86	47	58	14	33	49	45	34	29
	33%	37%	29%	32%	37%	34%	31%	37%	28%	37%	18%	35%	43%	39%	34%	38%
NOT IMPORTANT (NET)	31	20	11	15	10	25	6	18	12	12	5	2	11	8	4	3
	8%	9%	6%	7%	8%	7%	14%	8%	7%	8%	6%	2%	10%	7%	4%	4%
Not very important	17	10	7	9	5	14	3	12	5	5	2	1	5	5	2	2
	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	7%	5%	3%	3%	2%	1%	4%	4%	2%	3%
Not important at all	14	10	4	6	6	12	3	7	7	8	3	1	7	3	2	1
	4%	4%	2%	3%	5%	3%	7%	3%	4%	5%	4%	1%	6%	3%	2%	1%
Don't know/Unsure	17	9	8	10	4	14	1	10	8	7	4	3	8	6	3	7
	4%	4%	5%	4%	3%	4%	3%	4%	5%	4%	4%	3%	7%	5%	3%	9%

45. In order to win the White House, how important is it for Republican presidential candidates to appeal to non-white voters?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

45. IMPORTANCE OF APPEALING TO NON-WHITE VOTERS IN ORDER TO WIN THE WHITE HOUSE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	352	61	50	155	86	79	82	41	32	73	45	67	98	182	70	77	111	87
	88%	89%	81%	91%	86%	88%	88%	85%	80%	95%	86%	90%	90%	88%	88%	92%	88%	88%
Very important	218	36	28	97	58	42	51	24	21	45	34	46	57	113	49	50	64	53
	55%	53%	45%	57%	58%	47%	55%	50%	54%	59%	66%	62%	53%	55%	61%	60%	50%	54%
Somewhat important	134	25	22	59	28	37	31	17	10	28	11	21	40	69	21	27	48	34
	33%	37%	35%	35%	28%	41%	33%	35%	26%	36%	21%	28%	37%	33%	26%	32%	38%	35%
NOT IMPORTANT (NET)	31	6	7	6	12	9	5	5	4	1	6	6	8	16	5	5	11	9
	8%	9%	11%	4%	12%	10%	6%	11%	9%	1%	12%	8%	7%	8%	6%	6%	9%	9%
Not very important	17	5	3	4	5	5	3	2	2	1	4	4	3	9	5	2	5	5
	4%	7%	5%	2%	5%	6%	3%	4%	6%	1%	7%	5%	3%	5%	6%	3%	4%	5%
Not important at all	14	1	4	3	6	4	3	4	1	-	3	2	5	7	-	3	7	4
	4%	2%	6%	2%	6%	4%	3%	7%	3%		5%	2%	5%	3%		4%	5%	4%
Don't know/Unsure	17	1	5	8	3	2	6	2	4	3	1	2	3	9	5	2	4	3
	4%	2%	8%	5%	3%	2%	6%	4%	11%	4%	2%	2%	3%	4%	7%	2%	3%	3%

45. In order to win the White House, how important is it for Republican presidential candidates to appeal to non-white voters?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

45. IMPORTANCE OF APPEALING TO NON-WHITE VOTERS IN ORDER TO WIN THE WHITE HOUSE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	352	179	100	279	73	61	176	157	195	157	207	109	35
	88%	89%	84%	87%	91%	81%	87%	89%	88%	88%	91%	85%	79%
Very important	218	102	65	167	52	30	96	112	121	98	127	62	29
	55%	50%	55%	52%	64%	39%	48%	63%	55%	54%	56%	48%	64%
Somewhat important	134	78	35	112	21	32	79	45	74	60	80	47	6
	33%	39%	29%	35%	27%	42%	39%	25%	34%	33%	35%	37%	14%
NOT IMPORTANT (NET)	31	18	11	29	2	9	18	13	17	14	14	12	5
	8%	9%	9%	9%	2%	12%	9%	7%	8%	8%	6%	10%	10%
Not very important	17	8	7	15	2	3	11	6	10	7	6	9	2
	4%	4%	6%	5%	2%	4%	5%	3%	4%	4%	3%	7%	4%
Not important at all	14	11	4	14	-	6	7	7	7	7	8	4	3
	4%	5%	3%	4%		9%	4%	4%	3%	4%	3%	3%	6%
Don't know/Unsure	17	4	8	12	6	5	8	7	9	8	6	7	5
	4%	2%	7%	4%	7%	6%	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	5%	11%

45. In order to win the White House, how important is it for Republican presidential candidates to appeal to non-white voters?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

45. IMPORTANCE OF APPEALING TO NON-WHITE VOTERS IN ORDER TO WIN THE WHITE HOUSE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	IMMIGRATION						3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
	TOTAL	CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	352	215	137	172	138	42	144	92	95	123	155	75	193	159	77	275	305
	88%	86%	91%	89%	86%	90%	93%	90%	81%	85%	94%	82%	86%	91%	89%	88%	88%
Very important	218	134	85	111	79	28	98	60	48	74	103	41	114	104	50	169	189
	55%	54%	56%	57%	49%	61%	63%	58%	41%	51%	63%	45%	51%	59%	58%	54%	55%
Somewhat important	134	81	52	61	59	14	46	32	47	49	51	34	79	55	27	107	116
	33%	33%	35%	31%	37%	29%	30%	32%	40%	34%	31%	37%	35%	31%	31%	34%	34%
NOT IMPORTANT (NET)	31	25	6	12	18	1	7	7	17	18	8	6	25	6	4	27	28
	8%	10%	4%	6%	11%	2%	4%	7%	14%	12%	5%	6%	11%	4%	4%	9%	8%
Not very important	17	14	2	5	11	1	2	4	10	11	4	2	15	2	2	15	16
	4%	6%	1%	2%	7%	2%	1%	4%	9%	7%	2%	2%	7%	1%	2%	5%	5%
Not important at all	14	11	4	7	7	-	5	3	6	7	4	4	10	4	2	12	12
	4%	4%	2%	4%	4%		3%	3%	6%	5%	2%	4%	4%	3%	2%	4%	4%
Don't know/Unsure	17	9	8	10	4	4	4	3	6	5	2	11	7	10	6	12	13
	4%	4%	5%	5%	2%	8%	2%	3%	5%	3%	1%	12%	3%	6%	7%	4%	4%

45. In order to win the White House, how important is it for Republican presidential candidates to appeal to non-white voters?



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

45. IMPORTANCE OF APPEALING TO NON-WHITE VOTERS IN ORDER TO WIN THE WHITE HOUSE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURREY COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IMPORTANT (NET)	352	146	94	112	107	79	83	90	100
	88%	89%	92%	84%	91%	89%	87%	88%	88%
Very important	218	85	63	69	66	52	51	56	60
	55%	52%	61%	52%	56%	58%	53%	55%	53%
Somewhat important	134	61	31	42	41	27	32	34	40
	33%	37%	30%	32%	35%	31%	34%	33%	35%
NOT IMPORTANT (NET)	31	11	7	13	7	8	5	7	10
	8%	7%	7%	10%	6%	9%	6%	7%	9%
Not very important	17	8	4	5	5	4	1	6	5
	4%	5%	4%	4%	4%	4%	1%	6%	5%
Not important at all	14	3	3	8	2	4	4	1	5
	4%	2%	3%	6%	2%	5%	5%	1%	4%
Don't know/Unsure	17	7	2	8	4	2	7	5	4
	4%	5%	2%	6%	3%	2%	7%	5%	3%

45. In order to win the White House, how important is it for Republican presidential candidates to appeal to non-white voters?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
46. POLITICAL PARTY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
REPUBLICAN (NET)	348	200	147	222	126	348	-	202	145	139	75	85	103	97	93	64
	87%	86%	88%	100%	100%	100%	-	87%	86%	89%	93%	91%	90%	84%	94%	85%
Strong Republican	222	119	103	222	-	222	-	138	84	95	48	51	61	52	65	41
	55%	51%	61%	100%	-	64%	-	59%	50%	61%	60%	54%	53%	45%	66%	55%
Not strong Republican	69	40	29	-	69	69	-	32	36	26	15	18	23	23	18	10
	17%	17%	17%	-	55%	20%	-	14%	22%	17%	19%	19%	20%	20%	18%	14%
Independent lean Republican	57	41	15	-	57	57	-	32	25	18	11	17	19	22	11	12
	14%	18%	9%	-	45%	16%	-	14%	15%	11%	14%	18%	16%	19%	11%	16%
Independent	39	24	15	-	-	-	39	22	17	13	4	6	8	12	3	8
	10%	10%	9%	-	-	-	100%	9%	10%	8%	6%	6%	7%	11%	3%	11%
DEMOCRAT (NET)	4	1	3	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	1	1	2	-
	1%	*%	2%	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	2%	-
Independent lean Democrat	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	1	1	-
	*%	*%	1%	-	-	-	-	*%	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	1%	-
Not strong Democrat	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-
Strong Democrat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Don't know	9	6	3	-	-	-	-	6	4	4	1	3	3	6	1	3
	2%	3%	2%	-	-	-	-	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	5%	1%	4%
Independent (NET)	98	67	31	-	57	57	39	55	43	31	16	23	28	35	14	21
	24%	29%	19%	-	45%	16%	100%	24%	25%	20%	20%	24%	24%	30%	14%	27%

46. In politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, Democrat, or an independent? (If "Republican/Democrat") And do you consider yourself to be a strong (Republican/Democrat) or a not so strong (Republican/Democrat)? (if "independent") If you had to say, do you tend to lean more toward Republicans or more towards Democrats?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
46. POLITICAL PARTY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
REPUBLICAN (NET)	348	57	54	152	85	75	85	40	36	67	45	65	92	184	72	73	112	84
	87%	83%	87%	89%	85%	83%	91%	83%	89%	88%	86%	87%	85%	89%	89%	86%	88%	85%
Strong Republican	222	36	32	105	49	42	58	19	26	47	30	45	58	115	47	55	67	48
	55%	53%	52%	62%	49%	47%	62%	39%	64%	61%	59%	60%	53%	55%	59%	65%	53%	49%
Not strong Republican	69	5	14	29	21	11	17	12	9	12	8	13	16	38	16	12	22	17
	17%	8%	23%	17%	21%	12%	18%	26%	22%	16%	16%	17%	15%	18%	19%	15%	18%	17%
Independent lean Republican	57	15	8	18	15	22	10	9	1	8	6	7	17	31	9	6	22	19
	14%	23%	13%	11%	15%	25%	11%	19%	3%	10%	12%	9%	16%	15%	11%	7%	18%	19%
Independent	39	8	5	14	12	11	6	7	2	9	4	6	15	17	7	7	10	13
	10%	12%	8%	8%	12%	13%	6%	15%	5%	11%	9%	8%	13%	8%	8%	9%	8%	13%
DEMOCRAT (NET)	4	1	1	-	2	1	-	-	1	-	2	2	1	1	1	2	-	1
	1%	2%	2%		2%	1%			3%		3%	3%	1%	*	1%	3%		1%
Independent lean Democrat	2	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	-	1
	*%		2%		1%	1%				2%	1%		*%	1%				1%
Not strong Democrat	2	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	1	1	-	-	2	-	-
	1%	2%			1%				3%		2%	1%	1%			3%		
Strong Democrat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Don't know	9	2	2	4	2	3	3	1	1	1	1	2	1	6	1	2	5	1
	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	4%	1%
Independent (NET)	98	24	14	32	28	35	16	16	3	16	12	14	32	49	16	13	33	33
	24%	35%	23%	19%	28%	38%	17%	33%	8%	21%	22%	19%	29%	24%	20%	15%	26%	33%

46. In politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, Democrat, or an independent? (If "Republican/Democrat") And do you consider yourself to be a strong (Republican/Democrat) or a not so strong (Republican/Democrat)? (if "independent") If you had to say, do you tend to lean more toward Republicans or more towards Democrats?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
46. POLITICAL PARTY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
	TOTAL	VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
REPUBLICAN (NET)	348	186	104	290	57	67	181	149	201	146	201	109	37
	87%	93%	88%	91%	71%	89%	90%	84%	91%	81%	89%	85%	83%
Strong Republican	222	136	56	192	30	38	117	92	123	99	132	67	23
	55%	68%	47%	60%	37%	50%	58%	52%	56%	55%	58%	52%	52%
Not strong Republican	69	23	31	53	16	12	32	34	44	25	37	26	6
	17%	11%	26%	17%	20%	16%	16%	19%	20%	14%	16%	21%	12%
Independent lean Republican	57	28	17	45	11	17	32	23	34	23	33	16	8
	14%	14%	15%	14%	14%	22%	16%	13%	15%	13%	14%	12%	18%
Independent	39	13	10	24	16	6	15	22	14	25	19	15	6
	10%	6%	9%	7%	20%	8%	7%	12%	6%	14%	8%	11%	12%
DEMOCRAT (NET)	4	1	1	2	2	1	2	2	1	3	3	-	1
	1%	*%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	*%	2%	1%		2%
Independent lean Democrat	2	1	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	2	1	-	1
	*%	*%		*%	1%		*%	1%		1%	*%		2%
Not strong Democrat	2	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	-	-
	1%		1%	*%	2%	2%	1%	1%	*%	1%	1%		
Strong Democrat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Don't know	9	1	3	4	5	1	3	5	5	5	4	5	1
	2%	*%	2%	1%	7%	1%	1%	3%	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%
Independent (NET)	98	42	28	70	28	23	48	46	48	50	53	30	15
	24%	21%	24%	22%	35%	30%	24%	26%	22%	28%	23%	24%	33%

46. In politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, Democrat, or an independent? (If "Republican/Democrat") And do you consider yourself to be a strong (Republican/Democrat) or a not so strong (Republican/Democrat)? (if "independent") If you had to say, do you tend to lean more toward Republicans or more towards Democrats?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
46. POLITICAL PARTY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
REPUBLICAN (NET)	348	216	131	173	138	37	132	88	106	129	135	83	198	150	71	276	308
	87%	87%	87%	89%	86%	80%	86%	86%	90%	89%	82%	92%	88%	86%	83%	88%	89%
Strong Republican	222	142	80	106	86	30	87	56	63	93	81	48	127	95	49	173	200
	55%	57%	53%	55%	54%	64%	57%	55%	54%	64%	49%	53%	56%	54%	57%	55%	58%
Not strong Republican	69	37	32	39	26	4	22	22	21	21	28	20	37	32	14	55	57
	17%	15%	21%	20%	16%	8%	15%	21%	18%	14%	17%	22%	16%	18%	16%	17%	16%
Independent lean Republican	57	36	20	27	25	4	22	11	22	16	26	15	34	23	8	49	50
	14%	15%	13%	14%	16%	9%	14%	11%	19%	11%	16%	16%	15%	13%	9%	16%	15%
Independent	39	24	15	15	16	7	16	11	7	10	23	6	19	20	11	28	29
	10%	10%	10%	8%	10%	16%	10%	11%	6%	7%	14%	7%	9%	11%	13%	9%	8%
DEMOCRAT (NET)	4	3	1	2	2	-	1	1	2	2	2	-	2	2	3	1	1
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	2%	2%	1%		1%	1%	3%	*%	*%
Independent lean Democrat	2	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	2	1	1	-	-	2	2	-	1
	*%	1%			1%				2%	1%	1%			1%	2%		*%
Not strong Democrat	2	1	1	2	-	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	2	-	1	1	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%			1%	1%		1%	1%		1%		1%	*%	
Strong Democrat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Don't know	9	5	4	4	4	2	6	1	3	3	5	2	6	4	1	8	8
	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%	4%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%
Independent (NET)	98	63	35	43	44	11	38	22	30	27	50	21	54	44	21	77	80
	24%	25%	23%	22%	27%	25%	25%	22%	26%	19%	30%	23%	24%	25%	24%	24%	23%

46. In politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, Democrat, or an independent? (If "Republican/Democrat") And do you consider yourself to be a strong (Republican/Democrat) or a not so strong (Republican/Democrat)? (If "independent") If you had to say, do you tend to lean more toward Republicans or more towards Democrats?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
46. POLITICAL PARTY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	REGION				CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
		DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURREY COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
REPUBLICAN (NET)	348	140	94	114	102	79	83	89	97
	87%	85%	91%	86%	86%	89%	88%	86%	85%
Strong Republican	222	90	55	78	64	44	54	55	69
	55%	55%	53%	58%	55%	50%	57%	53%	61%
Not strong Republican	69	30	23	16	24	20	13	23	13
	17%	18%	22%	12%	20%	23%	13%	23%	11%
Independent lean Republican	57	20	16	21	13	15	17	11	14
	14%	12%	15%	16%	11%	17%	18%	10%	12%
Independent	39	18	9	13	10	9	10	10	11
	10%	11%	8%	10%	9%	10%	10%	10%	10%
DEMOCRAT (NET)	4	1	-	3	1	-	1	2	1
	1%	1%		2%	1%		1%	2%	1%
Independent lean Democrat	2	1	-	1	1	-	1	1	-
	*%	1%		1%	1%		1%	1%	
Not strong Democrat	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	1	1
	1%			2%				1%	1%
Strong Democrat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Don't know	9	6	1	3	5	1	1	2	6
	2%	3%	1%	2%	4%	1%	1%	2%	5%
Independent (NET)	98	39	24	35	25	24	27	21	25
	24%	24%	24%	26%	21%	27%	29%	21%	22%

46. In politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, Democrat, or an independent? (If "Republican/Democrat") And do you consider yourself to be a strong (Republican/Democrat) or a not so strong (Republican/Democrat)? (if "independent") If you had to say, do you tend to lean more toward Republicans or more towards Democrats?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
47. POLITICAL IDEOLOGY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	GENDER			POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
	TOTAL	MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
CONSERVATIVE (NET)	320	185	134	192	98	290	24	186	134	136	59	74	106	92	87	62
	80%	80%	80%	86%	78%	84%	60%	80%	80%	87%	74%	79%	92%	79%	89%	83%
Very conservative	201	118	84	136	50	186	13	127	74	88	30	48	76	56	59	44
	50%	51%	50%	61%	40%	54%	33%	55%	44%	56%	37%	51%	66%	48%	60%	58%
Somewhat conservative	118	68	51	56	48	104	10	59	59	48	30	26	30	36	28	19
	30%	29%	30%	25%	38%	30%	27%	25%	35%	31%	37%	28%	26%	31%	29%	25%
MODERATE/LIBERAL (NET)	81	46	34	30	27	57	16	46	34	20	21	20	9	24	11	13
	20%	20%	20%	14%	22%	16%	40%	20%	20%	13%	26%	21%	8%	21%	11%	17%
Moderate	74	45	29	26	27	53	15	42	32	20	21	19	8	20	10	11
	19%	19%	18%	12%	22%	15%	38%	18%	19%	13%	26%	20%	7%	18%	10%	14%
LIBERAL (SUB-NET)	6	2	5	4	-	4	1	4	3	-	-	1	1	4	1	2
	2%	1%	3%	2%		1%	3%	2%	2%			1%	1%	3%	1%	3%
Somewhat liberal	5	2	4	3	-	3	1	3	3	-	-	1	-	4	1	1
	1%	1%	2%	1%		1%	3%	1%	2%			1%		3%	1%	1%
Very liberal	1	-	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1
	*%		1%	*%		*%		*%					1%			1%

47. Thinking a little about your political attitudes do you tend to be conservative, moderate or liberal? (IF "conservative/liberal" ask) Very (conservative/liberal) or somewhat (conservative/liberal)?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
47. POLITICAL IDEOLOGY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
CONSERVATIVE (NET)	320	54	52	132	82	73	71	41	33	61	41	63	85	163	61	69	102	78
	80%	79%	84%	77%	82%	81%	76%	85%	82%	79%	79%	85%	78%	79%	76%	82%	80%	79%
Very conservative	201	30	33	85	54	43	49	26	20	36	28	40	57	99	37	45	63	52
	50%	44%	53%	50%	54%	48%	52%	54%	50%	47%	53%	54%	52%	48%	46%	54%	50%	53%
Somewhat conservative	118	24	19	47	29	30	22	15	13	24	13	22	28	63	25	24	39	26
	30%	35%	31%	28%	29%	34%	24%	31%	32%	32%	26%	30%	25%	31%	31%	28%	31%	27%
MODERATE/ LIBERAL (NET)	81	14	10	39	18	17	22	7	7	16	11	11	24	44	19	15	25	20
	20%	21%	16%	23%	18%	19%	24%	15%	18%	21%	21%	15%	22%	21%	24%	18%	20%	21%
Moderate	74	14	9	35	16	17	21	7	6	14	9	9	22	42	18	11	24	19
	19%	21%	15%	20%	16%	19%	22%	15%	16%	19%	17%	12%	20%	20%	22%	14%	19%	20%
LIBERAL (SUB- NET)	6	-	1	4	2	-	2	-	1	2	2	3	2	2	1	4	1	1
	2%		2%	2%	2%		2%		3%	2%	3%	4%	2%	1%	1%	4%	1%	1%
Somewhat liberal	5	-	-	4	2	-	2	-	-	2	2	3	2	1	-	4	1	1
	1%			2%	2%		2%			2%	3%	4%	2%	*%		4%	1%	1%
Very liberal	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-
	*%		2%						3%					*%	1%			

47. Thinking a little about your political attitudes do you tend to be conservative, moderate or liberal? (IF "conservative/liberal" ask) Very (conservative/liberal) or somewhat (conservative/liberal)?



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
47. POLITICAL IDEOLOGY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
	TOTAL	VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
CONSERVATIVE (NET)	320	201	118	320	-	69	177	125	196	124	187	99	34
	80%	100%	100%	100%		91%	88%	71%	89%	69%	82%	77%	76%
Very conservative	201	201	-	201	-	56	130	62	131	71	129	48	25
	50%	100%		63%		74%	65%	35%	59%	39%	57%	37%	55%
Somewhat conservative	118	-	118	118	-	13	47	63	65	53	58	51	9
	30%		100%	37%		17%	24%	36%	30%	30%	26%	40%	21%
MODERATE/ LIBERAL (NET)	81	-	-	-	81	7	24	52	25	56	40	30	11
	20%				100%	9%	12%	29%	11%	31%	18%	23%	24%
Moderate	74	-	-	-	74	6	22	48	24	50	36	28	10
	19%				92%	8%	11%	27%	11%	28%	16%	22%	22%
LIBERAL (SUB- NET)	6	-	-	-	6	1	2	4	1	6	4	2	1
	2%				8%	1%	1%	2%	*%	3%	2%	1%	2%
Somewhat liberal	5	-	-	-	5	-	1	4	1	5	4	2	-
	1%				7%		*%	2%	*%	3%	2%	1%	
Very liberal	1	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	1
	*%				1%	1%	*%			1%			2%

47. Thinking a little about your political attitudes do you tend to be conservative, moderate or liberal? (IF "conservative/liberal" ask) Very (conservative/liberal) or somewhat (conservative/liberal)?

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 47. POLITICAL IDEOLOGY  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	IMMIGRATION						3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
	TOTAL	CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
CONSERVATIVE (NET)	320	211	109	151	132	36	107	88	106	121	122	76	183	137	70	250	283
	80%	85%	72%	78%	83%	77%	69%	87%	91%	84%	75%	83%	81%	78%	81%	79%	82%
Very conservative	201	139	62	90	86	25	66	50	76	92	65	44	119	83	42	159	182
	50%	56%	41%	46%	54%	55%	43%	49%	65%	63%	40%	48%	53%	47%	49%	51%	53%
Somewhat conservative	118	72	46	61	47	11	41	39	30	29	57	32	64	54	28	90	101
	30%	29%	31%	32%	29%	23%	26%	38%	26%	20%	35%	35%	28%	31%	32%	29%	29%
MODERATE/LIBERAL (NET)	81	38	42	43	27	11	47	14	11	24	42	15	43	38	16	64	63
	20%	15%	28%	22%	17%	23%	31%	13%	9%	16%	25%	17%	19%	22%	19%	21%	18%
Moderate	74	34	40	42	25	8	42	14	10	23	40	11	40	34	14	60	57
	19%	14%	27%	22%	15%	17%	27%	13%	9%	16%	24%	13%	18%	20%	17%	19%	17%
LIBERAL (SUB-NET)	6	5	2	1	3	3	5	-	1	1	2	4	3	4	2	5	5
	2%	2%	1%	*%	2%	6%	4%		1%	1%	1%	4%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%
Somewhat liberal	5	4	2	1	3	2	5	-	-	1	2	3	3	3	2	4	4
	1%	1%	1%	*%	2%	4%	4%			1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%
Very liberal	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	1
	*%	*%				2%			1%			1%		1%		*%	*%

47. Thinking a little about your political attitudes do you tend to be conservative, moderate or liberal? (IF "conservative/liberal" ask) Very (conservative/liberal) or somewhat (conservative/liberal)?

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 47. POLITICAL IDEOLOGY  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURREY COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
CONSERVATIVE (NET)	320	123	85	112	84	76	81	69	93
	80%	75%	82%	84%	72%	86%	85%	68%	81%
Very conservative	201	72	54	75	45	51	55	39	56
	50%	44%	53%	56%	38%	58%	58%	38%	49%
Somewhat conservative	118	51	31	37	39	25	26	30	36
	30%	31%	30%	28%	34%	29%	28%	30%	32%
MODERATE/LIBERAL (NET)	81	41	18	21	33	12	14	33	21
	20%	25%	18%	16%	28%	14%	15%	32%	19%
Moderate	74	40	16	18	31	10	13	31	20
	19%	24%	16%	14%	27%	12%	14%	30%	17%
LIBERAL (SUB-NET)	6	2	2	3	2	2	1	2	2
	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%
Somewhat liberal	5	2	2	2	2	2	-	2	2
	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%		2%	2%
Very liberal	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
	*%			1%			1%		

47. Thinking a little about your political attitudes do you tend to be conservative, moderate or liberal? (IF "conservative/liberal" ask) Very (conservative/liberal) or somewhat (conservative/liberal)?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

48. WHETHER SUPPORT THE TEA PARTY OR LIBERTY MOVEMENT  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	GENDER			POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
	TOTAL	MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
YES (NET)	201	132	70	117	64	181	15	138	63	96	29	56	79	72	52	47
	50%	57%	41%	53%	51%	52%	37%	60%	37%	62%	36%	59%	69%	62%	52%	63%
Yes strong supporter	75	47	28	38	29	67	6	56	19	36	3	16	34	25	13	21
	19%	20%	17%	17%	23%	19%	16%	24%	11%	23%	3%	17%	30%	22%	13%	28%
Yes, a supporter	126	84	41	79	35	114	9	82	44	61	26	39	45	46	39	26
	31%	36%	25%	36%	28%	33%	22%	35%	26%	39%	33%	42%	39%	40%	39%	35%
No, not a supporter	177	88	89	92	56	149	22	84	93	51	49	36	32	40	42	27
	44%	38%	53%	42%	45%	43%	55%	36%	55%	33%	61%	39%	28%	34%	43%	36%
Don't know	22	13	9	13	5	18	3	10	13	8	3	2	4	4	5	1
	6%	6%	6%	6%	4%	5%	7%	4%	8%	5%	3%	2%	3%	3%	5%	1%

48. Do you consider yourself a supporter of the Tea Party or liberty movement? (IF YES) Do you consider yourself to be a strong supporter or just a supporter?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

48. WHETHER SUPPORT THE TEA PARTY OR LIBERTY MOVEMENT  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
YES (NET)	201	36	32	86	46	55	55	21	13	31	25	36	58	103	31	37	72	58
	50%	53%	52%	51%	46%	61%	59%	44%	33%	41%	48%	49%	54%	50%	39%	44%	57%	59%
Yes strong supporter	75	14	13	29	20	19	18	11	8	11	9	14	22	37	13	14	24	22
	19%	20%	21%	17%	20%	21%	19%	22%	20%	15%	17%	18%	20%	18%	16%	16%	19%	22%
Yes, a supporter	126	23	19	57	27	36	37	11	5	20	16	22	36	66	18	23	48	36
	31%	33%	31%	34%	27%	40%	40%	22%	13%	26%	31%	30%	33%	32%	23%	27%	38%	36%
No, not a supporter	177	30	25	72	50	30	33	25	26	39	25	33	43	97	47	41	50	35
	44%	45%	40%	42%	50%	33%	35%	52%	64%	51%	48%	45%	39%	47%	58%	49%	40%	35%
Don't know	22	1	5	12	4	5	6	2	1	7	2	5	8	7	3	7	5	6
	6%	2%	8%	7%	4%	6%	6%	4%	3%	8%	3%	7%	7%	4%	3%	8%	4%	6%

48. Do you consider yourself a supporter of the Tea Party or liberty movement? (IF YES) Do you consider yourself to be a strong supporter or just a supporter?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

48. WHETHER SUPPORT THE TEA PARTY OR LIBERTY MOVEMENT  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
YES (NET)	201	130	47	177	24	75	201	-	126	75	107	69	25
	50%	65%	40%	55%	30%	100%	100%		57%	42%	47%	54%	56%
Yes strong supporter	75	56	13	69	7	75	75	-	44	31	36	26	13
	19%	28%	11%	22%	8%	100%	37%		20%	17%	16%	20%	30%
Yes, a supporter	126	74	34	108	17	-	126	-	82	44	71	42	12
	31%	37%	29%	34%	21%		63%		37%	24%	31%	33%	26%
No, not a supporter	177	62	63	125	52	-	-	177	79	98	107	53	17
	44%	31%	54%	39%	65%			100%	36%	55%	47%	41%	37%
Don't know	22	10	8	18	5	-	-	-	16	6	13	6	3
	6%	5%	7%	5%	6%				7%	4%	6%	5%	6%

48. Do you consider yourself a supporter of the Tea Party or liberty movement? (IF YES) Do you consider yourself to be a strong supporter or just a supporter?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

48. WHETHER SUPPORT THE TEA PARTY OR LIBERTY MOVEMENT  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
YES (NET)	201	135	66	91	84	26	69	55	66	79	81	41	116	85	30	171	178
	50%	54%	44%	47%	53%	56%	45%	54%	56%	55%	49%	45%	52%	49%	35%	54%	52%
Yes strong supporter	75	53	23	31	33	11	25	17	31	24	30	22	48	27	7	68	65
	19%	21%	15%	16%	20%	25%	16%	17%	27%	16%	18%	24%	22%	15%	9%	22%	19%
Yes, a supporter	126	83	43	60	51	15	44	38	35	56	51	19	68	58	23	103	113
	31%	33%	29%	31%	32%	32%	28%	37%	30%	38%	31%	21%	30%	33%	26%	33%	33%
No, not a supporter	177	102	75	94	67	16	77	42	44	59	78	40	99	78	46	131	148
	44%	41%	50%	48%	42%	35%	50%	41%	38%	41%	48%	43%	44%	45%	53%	42%	43%
Don't know	22	12	10	9	9	4	9	5	7	7	5	11	10	12	10	12	19
	6%	5%	7%	5%	5%	9%	6%	5%	6%	5%	3%	12%	5%	7%	12%	4%	6%

48. Do you consider yourself a supporter of the Tea Party or liberty movement? (IF YES) Do you consider yourself to be a strong supporter or just a supporter?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015

JOB #16364

48. WHETHER SUPPORT THE TEA PARTY OR LIBERTY MOVEMENT  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
YES (NET)	201	78	56	68	54	45	53	50	53
	50%	47%	54%	51%	46%	51%	56%	49%	46%
Yes strong supporter	75	27	19	30	21	16	24	19	17
	19%	16%	19%	22%	18%	18%	26%	18%	15%
Yes, a supporter	126	51	37	38	33	29	29	32	36
	31%	31%	36%	29%	28%	33%	30%	31%	31%
No, not a supporter	177	76	47	55	55	43	33	49	52
	44%	46%	45%	41%	47%	48%	35%	48%	46%
Don't know	22	11	1	10	9	1	9	3	9
	6%	7%	1%	8%	7%	1%	9%	3%	8%

48. Do you consider yourself a supporter of the Tea Party or liberty movement? (IF YES) Do you consider yourself to be a strong supporter or just a supporter?



BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 49. WHETHER EVANGELICAL OR BORN AGAIN CHRISTIAN  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	221	127	94	123	78	201	14	121	100	85	39	47	69	59	72	47
	55%	55%	56%	55%	62%	58%	35%	52%	59%	55%	48%	50%	60%	51%	73%	63%
No	179	105	74	99	47	146	25	111	68	70	42	47	46	56	26	28
	45%	45%	44%	45%	38%	42%	65%	48%	41%	45%	52%	50%	40%	49%	27%	37%

49. Would you describe yourself as a born again or evangelical Christian?

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 49. WHETHER EVANGELICAL OR BORN AGAIN CHRISTIAN  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	221	41	32	92	56	52	51	24	21	41	32	52	65	98	38	55	61	62
	55%	60%	52%	54%	56%	57%	54%	50%	53%	53%	62%	71%	59%	47%	47%	65%	48%	63%
No	179	27	30	79	44	39	43	24	19	36	20	22	44	109	43	30	66	36
	45%	40%	48%	46%	44%	43%	46%	50%	47%	47%	38%	29%	41%	53%	53%	35%	52%	37%

49. Would you describe yourself as a born again or evangelical Christian?

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 49. WHETHER EVANGELICAL OR BORN AGAIN CHRISTIAN  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	221	131	65	196	25	44	126	79	221	-	152	59	11
	55%	65%	55%	61%	31%	58%	63%	45%	100%		67%	46%	24%
No	179	71	53	124	56	31	75	98	-	179	75	70	34
	45%	35%	45%	39%	69%	42%	37%	55%		100%	33%	54%	76%

49. Would you describe yourself as a born again or evangelical Christian?

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 49. WHETHER EVANGELICAL OR BORN AGAIN CHRISTIAN  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	221	137	83	108	83	29	78	53	80	88	76	57	125	96	53	167	186
	55%	55%	55%	56%	52%	63%	51%	52%	68%	61%	46%	62%	55%	55%	62%	53%	54%
No	179	112	68	86	77	17	76	49	38	57	89	34	101	79	33	147	160
	45%	45%	45%	44%	48%	37%	49%	48%	32%	39%	54%	38%	45%	45%	38%	47%	46%

49. Would you describe yourself as a born again or evangelical Christian?

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 49. WHETHER EVANGELICAL OR BORN AGAIN CHRISTIAN  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	REGION				CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
		DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	221	91	53	77	56	39	64	38	79
	55%	55%	51%	58%	47%	45%	68%	37%	69%
No	179	74	51	55	62	49	31	64	36
	45%	45%	49%	42%	53%	55%	32%	63%	31%

49. Would you describe yourself as a born again or evangelical Christian?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
50. FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING RELIGIOUS SERVICES  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
MONTHLY OR MORE (NET)	303	171	132	176	92	267	25	174	129	119	63	75	83	82	83	54
	76%	74%	79%	79%	73%	77%	64%	75%	77%	77%	78%	80%	73%	71%	84%	72%
Once a week	227	123	104	132	70	201	19	130	97	83	46	52	60	57	72	45
	57%	53%	62%	59%	55%	58%	49%	56%	57%	53%	57%	55%	52%	49%	74%	60%
A few times per month	76	47	29	44	22	66	6	44	32	36	17	24	24	25	11	9
	19%	20%	17%	20%	17%	19%	15%	19%	19%	23%	21%	25%	21%	22%	11%	12%
LESS OFTEN (NET)	97	61	36	46	34	80	14	58	39	36	18	19	32	34	15	21
	24%	26%	21%	21%	27%	23%	36%	25%	23%	23%	22%	20%	27%	29%	16%	28%
Occasionally	52	32	21	23	20	43	9	29	23	19	10	9	10	17	6	9
	13%	14%	12%	10%	16%	12%	22%	13%	14%	12%	12%	9%	9%	15%	7%	13%
Rarely or never	45	30	15	23	14	37	6	29	16	18	8	10	21	17	9	11
	11%	13%	9%	11%	11%	11%	14%	12%	10%	11%	10%	11%	18%	15%	9%	15%

50. How often do you attend church, synagogue or another religious service?

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 50. FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING RELIGIOUS SERVICES  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
MONTHLY OR MORE (NET)	303	55	42	128	78	65	73	33	32	55	45	58	87	151	56	73	95	72
	76%	81%	68%	75%	78%	71%	78%	69%	82%	72%	86%	78%	80%	73%	70%	87%	75%	73%
Once a week	227	37	31	95	64	43	53	27	24	42	37	51	65	108	41	61	67	55
	57%	54%	50%	56%	64%	48%	57%	56%	61%	54%	72%	69%	60%	52%	51%	73%	53%	55%
A few times per month	76	18	11	33	13	21	20	6	8	13	7	7	22	43	15	12	28	17
	19%	27%	18%	20%	13%	23%	21%	13%	20%	17%	14%	10%	20%	21%	19%	14%	22%	17%
LESS OFTEN (NET)	97	13	20	42	22	26	20	15	7	22	7	16	22	56	24	11	31	27
	24%	19%	32%	25%	22%	29%	22%	31%	18%	28%	14%	22%	20%	27%	30%	13%	25%	27%
Occasionally	52	6	11	23	12	13	10	8	4	13	4	8	9	34	14	6	20	11
	13%	9%	18%	14%	12%	15%	11%	17%	10%	17%	7%	11%	8%	16%	18%	7%	16%	11%
Rarely or never	45	7	9	18	11	12	10	7	3	8	4	8	13	22	10	5	12	16
	11%	10%	15%	11%	11%	14%	11%	15%	8%	11%	7%	11%	12%	10%	12%	6%	9%	16%

50. How often do you attend church, synagogue or another religious service?

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 50. FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING RELIGIOUS SERVICES  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
	TOTAL	VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
MONTHLY OR MORE (NET)	303	154	92	246	57	50	147	141	191	112	227	76	-
	76%	76%	77%	77%	71%	66%	73%	79%	86%	63%	100%	59%	-
Once a week	227	129	58	187	40	36	107	107	152	75	227	-	-
	57%	64%	49%	59%	50%	47%	53%	61%	69%	42%	100%	-	-
A few times per month	76	25	33	58	17	14	40	33	39	37	-	76	-
	19%	12%	28%	18%	22%	19%	20%	19%	18%	20%	-	59%	-
LESS OFTEN (NET)	97	47	27	74	23	26	54	36	30	67	-	52	45
	24%	24%	23%	23%	29%	34%	27%	21%	14%	37%	-	41%	100%
Occasionally	52	23	17	40	12	12	29	20	20	33	-	52	-
	13%	11%	15%	13%	15%	16%	14%	11%	9%	18%	-	41%	-
Rarely or never	45	25	9	34	11	13	25	17	11	34	-	-	45
	11%	12%	8%	11%	14%	18%	13%	9%	5%	19%	-	-	100%

50. How often do you attend church, synagogue or another religious service?



BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 50. FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING RELIGIOUS SERVICES  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	IMMIGRATION						3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
	TOTAL	CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
MONTHLY OR MORE (NET)	303	183	119	144	123	36	120	78	84	116	123	64	171	132	60	243	264
	76%	74%	79%	74%	77%	77%	78%	76%	72%	80%	75%	71%	76%	75%	70%	77%	76%
Once a week	227	132	95	115	82	30	92	56	60	88	93	46	135	92	45	182	200
	57%	53%	63%	59%	51%	65%	60%	55%	52%	61%	56%	51%	60%	52%	52%	58%	58%
A few times per month	76	51	25	30	41	6	27	22	24	28	30	18	36	40	16	60	64
	19%	21%	16%	15%	25%	12%	18%	22%	20%	19%	18%	20%	16%	23%	18%	19%	19%
LESS OFTEN (NET)	97	66	31	50	37	11	34	24	33	29	42	27	54	43	26	72	82
	24%	26%	21%	26%	23%	23%	22%	24%	28%	20%	25%	29%	24%	25%	30%	23%	24%
Occasionally	52	34	18	30	19	3	20	12	18	12	26	15	31	22	14	39	42
	13%	14%	12%	16%	12%	6%	13%	12%	15%	8%	16%	16%	14%	13%	16%	12%	12%
Rarely or never	45	32	13	19	18	8	14	12	15	17	16	12	24	21	12	33	40
	11%	13%	9%	10%	11%	17%	9%	12%	13%	12%	10%	13%	11%	12%	14%	10%	12%

50. How often do you attend church, synagogue or another religious service?

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 50. FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING RELIGIOUS SERVICES  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
MONTHLY OR MORE (NET)	303	124	79	100	85	66	71	70	95
	76%	75%	77%	75%	73%	74%	75%	69%	83%
Once a week	227	84	62	81	58	52	50	50	75
	57%	51%	60%	61%	50%	58%	53%	49%	66%
A few times per month	76	40	17	19	27	14	21	21	20
	19%	24%	17%	14%	23%	16%	22%	20%	17%
LESS OFTEN (NET)	97	40	24	33	32	23	24	32	19
	24%	25%	23%	25%	27%	26%	25%	31%	17%
Occasionally	52	23	14	15	17	11	10	18	12
	13%	14%	14%	12%	15%	13%	11%	18%	11%
Rarely or never	45	17	10	18	15	11	14	14	7
	11%	11%	10%	13%	12%	13%	14%	13%	6%

50. How often do you attend church, synagogue or another religious service?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
51. EDUCATION  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	GENDER			POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
	TOTAL	MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
< COLLEGE GRAD. (NET)	183	99	84	103	54	156	20	102	81	70	33	36	54	48	50	31
	46%	43%	50%	46%	43%	45%	52%	44%	48%	45%	41%	39%	47%	41%	51%	42%
Graduated High school	74	37	37	45	20	65	6	40	34	26	13	13	17	19	20	9
	19%	16%	22%	20%	16%	19%	15%	17%	20%	17%	16%	14%	15%	16%	20%	12%
SOME COLLEGE/ VOCATIONAL (SUB-NET)	109	61	47	58	34	92	15	62	47	44	20	24	37	29	30	22
	27%	26%	28%	26%	27%	26%	37%	27%	28%	28%	25%	25%	32%	25%	31%	29%
Completed vocational or specialty training	11	4	7	6	3	9	-	7	4	5	4	3	2	1	2	1
	3%	2%	4%	3%	2%	3%		3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%
Completed some college courses	58	34	23	30	21	52	6	34	23	22	9	17	23	16	19	14
	14%	15%	14%	14%	17%	15%	15%	15%	14%	14%	11%	18%	20%	14%	19%	18%
An Associates Degree	40	23	17	22	10	31	9	20	19	17	7	4	12	13	10	7
	10%	10%	10%	10%	8%	9%	22%	9%	12%	11%	9%	4%	10%	11%	10%	10%
COLLEGE GRAD. + (NET)	207	127	80	115	69	184	17	126	81	77	45	54	58	64	46	42
	52%	55%	48%	52%	55%	53%	43%	54%	48%	50%	55%	57%	50%	55%	46%	56%
A college degree	138	80	57	73	48	121	11	84	54	50	28	34	39	42	34	23
	34%	35%	34%	33%	38%	35%	29%	36%	32%	32%	34%	36%	34%	37%	34%	31%
A post graduate degree, doctorate, legal or medical degree	69	46	23	42	21	63	6	42	28	27	17	20	19	22	12	19
	17%	20%	14%	19%	17%	18%	14%	18%	16%	17%	21%	21%	16%	19%	12%	25%
Refused	10	6	4	4	3	7	2	5	6	8	3	4	4	4	3	2
	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	5%	2%	3%	5%	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%

51. What is the highest level of formal education you have completed to date?

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 51. EDUCATION  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
< COLLEGE GRAD. (NET)	183	36	19	75	53	40	42	17	15	33	36	74	109	-	-	84	-	99
	46%	53%	31%	44%	53%	44%	45%	35%	39%	43%	69%	100%	100%	-	-	100%	-	100%
Graduated High school	74	8	6	27	33	12	14	12	2	13	21	74	-	-	-	37	-	37
	19%	12%	10%	16%	33%	13%	15%	24%	6%	17%	41%	100%	-	-	-	44%	-	38%
SOME COLLEGE/ VOCATIONAL (SUB-NET)	109	28	13	48	20	28	28	5	13	20	14	-	109	-	-	47	-	61
	27%	41%	21%	28%	20%	31%	30%	11%	33%	26%	28%	-	100%	-	-	56%	-	62%
Completed vocational or specialty training	11	3	1	7	-	1	3	-	3	5	-	-	11	-	-	7	-	4
	3%	4%	2%	4%	-	1%	3%	-	7%	6%	-	-	10%	-	-	9%	-	4%
Completed some college courses	58	15	5	22	15	17	15	3	3	8	12	-	58	-	-	23	-	34
	14%	22%	8%	13%	15%	19%	16%	6%	8%	10%	24%	-	53%	-	-	28%	-	35%
An Associates Degree	40	10	7	18	4	10	10	3	7	8	2	-	40	-	-	17	-	23
	10%	15%	11%	11%	4%	11%	11%	6%	18%	10%	3%	-	37%	-	-	20%	-	23%
COLLEGE GRAD. + (NET)	207	32	41	90	44	50	48	29	23	43	14	-	-	207	80	-	127	-
	52%	47%	66%	53%	44%	55%	51%	61%	59%	56%	28%	-	-	100%	100%	-	100%	-
A college degree	138	24	28	57	29	34	27	19	18	29	10	-	-	138	57	-	80	-
	34%	36%	45%	33%	29%	38%	29%	39%	45%	38%	19%	-	-	66%	71%	-	63%	-
A post graduate degree, doctorate, legal or medical degree	69	8	13	33	15	15	20	11	5	13	4	-	-	69	23	-	46	-
	17%	11%	21%	20%	15%	17%	22%	22%	13%	17%	9%	-	-	34%	29%	-	37%	-
Refused	10	-	2	5	4	1	4	2	1	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	3%	-	3%	3%	4%	1%	4%	4%	3%	1%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

51. What is the highest level of formal education you have completed to date?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
51. EDUCATION  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
	TOTAL	VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
< COLLEGE GRAD. (NET)	183	97	50	147	35	36	94	76	117	66	116	45	21
	46%	48%	42%	46%	44%	47%	47%	43%	53%	37%	51%	35%	48%
Graduated High school	74	40	22	63	11	14	36	33	52	22	51	15	8
	19%	20%	19%	20%	14%	18%	18%	19%	24%	12%	22%	12%	18%
SOME COLLEGE/ VOCATIONAL (SUB-NET)	109	57	28	85	24	22	58	43	65	44	65	30	13
	27%	28%	23%	26%	30%	29%	29%	24%	29%	25%	29%	24%	29%
Completed vocational or specialty training	11	6	3	9	2	4	6	4	5	6	7	2	2
	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	5%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	1%	4%
Completed some college courses	58	28	16	45	13	10	33	19	36	22	33	15	10
	14%	14%	14%	14%	16%	13%	17%	11%	16%	12%	15%	12%	21%
An Associates Degree	40	23	9	31	8	8	18	19	24	16	24	13	2
	10%	11%	7%	10%	10%	11%	9%	11%	11%	9%	11%	11%	4%
COLLEGE GRAD. + (NET)	207	99	63	163	44	37	103	97	98	109	108	77	22
	52%	49%	54%	51%	55%	49%	51%	55%	45%	61%	48%	60%	48%
A college degree	138	65	44	109	28	26	73	60	63	75	71	51	16
	34%	33%	37%	34%	35%	35%	36%	34%	28%	42%	31%	40%	36%
A post graduate degree, doctorate, legal or medical degree	69	34	20	53	16	11	30	36	36	34	38	26	6
	17%	17%	17%	17%	20%	14%	15%	21%	16%	19%	17%	20%	12%
Refused	10	5	5	9	1	3	4	5	5	5	3	6	2
	3%	2%	4%	3%	1%	4%	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%	4%	4%

51. What is the highest level of formal education you have completed to date?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
51. EDUCATION  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	IMMIGRATION						3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
	TOTAL	CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
< COLLEGE GRAD. (NET)	183	123	60	72	80	31	66	42	61	70	66	47	100	83	51	132	157
	46%	49%	40%	37%	50%	67%	43%	42%	52%	48%	40%	52%	44%	47%	59%	42%	46%
Graduated High school	74	52	22	28	29	17	25	20	24	28	23	23	36	38	22	52	66
	19%	21%	15%	14%	18%	37%	17%	20%	21%	19%	14%	25%	16%	22%	26%	17%	19%
SOME COLLEGE/ VOCATIONAL (SUB-NET)	109	71	37	44	51	14	40	22	37	42	43	24	64	44	29	80	91
	27%	29%	25%	23%	32%	30%	26%	22%	32%	29%	26%	26%	29%	25%	34%	25%	26%
Completed vocational or specialty training	11	8	3	3	7	1	3	2	6	4	3	4	6	5	2	9	7
	3%	3%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	5%	3%	2%	4%	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%
Completed some college courses	58	39	19	27	23	7	23	11	18	22	24	13	37	20	16	42	48
	14%	15%	13%	14%	15%	16%	15%	11%	16%	15%	14%	14%	17%	12%	19%	13%	14%
An Associates Degree	40	25	15	13	21	6	14	9	13	16	17	7	21	19	11	29	36
	10%	10%	10%	7%	13%	12%	9%	9%	11%	11%	10%	8%	9%	11%	13%	9%	10%
COLLEGE GRAD. + (NET)	207	119	88	117	76	14	84	57	55	73	93	41	119	88	32	175	183
	52%	48%	59%	60%	48%	31%	54%	56%	47%	51%	56%	45%	53%	50%	37%	56%	53%
A college degree	138	84	54	77	50	11	53	37	40	51	58	28	75	62	21	117	120
	34%	34%	36%	40%	31%	23%	34%	37%	34%	35%	35%	31%	33%	36%	24%	37%	35%
A post graduate degree, doctorate, legal or medical degree	69	35	35	40	26	4	31	19	15	22	35	13	44	25	11	58	63
	17%	14%	23%	20%	16%	8%	20%	19%	13%	15%	21%	14%	20%	14%	13%	19%	18%
Refused	10	7	3	6	4	1	5	3	1	2	6	3	6	5	3	7	5
	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	1%	1%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%

51. What is the highest level of formal education you have completed to date?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
51. EDUCATION  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
< COLLEGE GRAD. (NET)	183	59	45	79	37	41	54	34	54
	46%	36%	44%	59%	32%	46%	57%	33%	47%
Graduated High school	74	25	16	34	18	12	26	16	21
	19%	15%	15%	26%	16%	13%	27%	16%	18%
SOME COLLEGE/ VOCATIONAL (SUB-NET)	109	34	30	45	19	29	29	18	33
	27%	21%	29%	34%	16%	32%	30%	18%	29%
Completed vocational or specialty training	11	3	2	6	1	2	2	3	4
	3%	2%	2%	4%	1%	3%	2%	3%	3%
Completed some college courses	58	20	15	24	12	14	15	10	20
	14%	12%	14%	18%	10%	15%	15%	10%	17%
An Associates Degree	40	11	13	16	6	13	12	5	10
	10%	7%	12%	12%	5%	14%	13%	5%	9%
COLLEGE GRAD. + (NET)	207	102	53	52	78	44	36	68	58
	52%	62%	51%	39%	66%	50%	38%	67%	51%
A college degree	138	66	39	33	48	34	23	46	36
	34%	40%	38%	25%	41%	38%	24%	44%	31%
A post graduate degree, doctorate, legal or medical degree	69	36	14	19	30	11	13	23	23
	17%	22%	13%	15%	25%	12%	14%	22%	20%
Refused	10	4	5	2	3	4	5	-	2
	3%	2%	5%	1%	2%	4%	5%	-	2%

51. What is the highest level of formal education you have completed to date?

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 52. RACE/ETHNICITY  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
White	384	220	164	215	123	338	36	219	165	149	79	90	107	106	96	70
	96%	95%	97%	97%	98%	97%	90%	94%	98%	96%	98%	96%	93%	92%	97%	94%
OTHER (NET)	16	12	4	7	3	10	4	14	3	7	2	4	8	9	3	5
	4%	5%	3%	3%	2%	3%	10%	6%	2%	4%	2%	4%	7%	8%	3%	6%
Native American	3	2	1	2	1	3	-	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	2
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
	*%		1%	1%		*%		1%					1%			
Other	12	10	2	4	2	6	4	10	2	6	1	3	6	7	2	3
	3%	4%	1%	2%	2%	2%	10%	5%	1%	4%	1%	3%	6%	6%	2%	4%



BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 52. RACE/ETHNICITY  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
White	384	65	56	166	97	84	89	46	36	77	51	70	104	200	77	83	123	91
	96%	95%	90%	97%	97%	94%	95%	96%	92%	100%	98%	95%	96%	97%	96%	98%	97%	93%
OTHER (NET)	16	3	6	5	3	6	5	2	3	-	1	4	5	7	3	1	4	7
	4%	5%	10%	3%	3%	6%	5%	4%	8%		2%	5%	4%	3%	4%	2%	3%	7%
Native American	3	-	1	2	-	-	2	-	1	-	-	-	1	2	1	-	1	1
	1%		2%	1%			2%		3%				1%	1%	1%		1%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-
	*%	2%							3%			2%				2%		
Other	12	2	5	3	3	6	3	2	1	-	1	3	4	5	2	-	3	6
	3%	3%	8%	2%	3%	6%	3%	4%	3%		2%	4%	3%	2%	2%		2%	7%

52. And is your race or ethnicity...?

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
52. RACE/ETHNICITY  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
White	384	194	115	309	75	69	193	169	213	171	222	122	40
	96%	96%	97%	97%	93%	91%	96%	96%	97%	95%	98%	95%	89%
OTHER (NET)	16	7	3	11	6	7	9	8	7	9	5	6	5
	4%	4%	3%	3%	7%	9%	4%	4%	3%	5%	2%	5%	11%
Native American	3	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	1	2	1	-	2
	1%	*%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	*%	1%	*%	-	4%
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	-
	*%	-	1%	*%	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-
Other	12	7	1	8	5	5	7	6	7	6	5	5	3
	3%	3%	1%	2%	6%	6%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	6%

52. And is your race or ethnicity...?

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 52. RACE/ETHNICITY  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
White	384	238	146	189	150	45	152	97	109	139	156	88	215	169	83	301	331
	96%	95%	97%	98%	94%	96%	99%	95%	93%	96%	95%	97%	96%	96%	97%	96%	96%
OTHER (NET)	16	12	5	5	10	2	2	5	8	5	8	3	10	6	3	14	15
	4%	5%	3%	2%	6%	4%	1%	5%	7%	4%	5%	3%	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%
Native American	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	-	3	3
	1%	1%	1%	*%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	*%	1%		1%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	1
	*%	1%			1%			1%			1%		1%			*%	*%
Other	12	8	4	4	8	1	1	3	7	5	6	2	8	5	3	9	10
	3%	3%	3%	2%	5%	2%	1%	3%	6%	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%

52. And is your race or ethnicity...?

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 52. RACE/ETHNICITY  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
White	384	157	101	126	115	88	89	98	109
	96%	95%	98%	95%	98%	99%	94%	95%	96%
OTHER (NET)	16	8	2	6	3	1	6	5	5
	4%	5%	2%	5%	2%	1%	6%	5%	4%
Native American	3	1	1	1	1	-	2	1	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		2%	1%	
Hispanic or Latino	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
	*%	1%							1%
Other	12	6	1	5	2	1	4	4	4
	3%	4%	1%	4%	2%	1%	4%	4%	3%

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
53. AGE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	GENDER			POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
	TOTAL	MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
18-44 (NET)	130	90	40	68	43	111	13	82	48	40	25	26	44	59	28	26
	32%	39%	24%	31%	34%	32%	34%	35%	28%	26%	31%	28%	38%	51%	28%	35%
18-34 (SUB-NET)	68	48	20	36	21	57	8	39	29	18	16	10	20	22	13	11
	17%	21%	12%	16%	17%	16%	21%	17%	17%	11%	20%	11%	17%	19%	13%	15%
18-29 (23.5)	40	24	16	23	13	36	3	19	21	7	7	3	16	11	11	4
	10%	10%	10%	10%	11%	10%	7%	8%	13%	4%	8%	3%	14%	9%	11%	5%
30-34 (32.0)	28	24	4	13	7	21	6	21	7	11	9	7	4	11	2	7
	7%	10%	2%	6%	6%	6%	14%	9%	4%	7%	12%	8%	3%	10%	2%	10%
35-44 (39.5)	62	42	20	32	22	54	5	43	19	22	9	16	24	37	15	15
	15%	18%	12%	14%	18%	16%	13%	19%	11%	14%	11%	17%	21%	32%	15%	20%
45+ (NET)	270	142	129	154	83	237	26	150	120	116	55	68	71	56	71	48
	68%	61%	76%	69%	66%	68%	66%	65%	72%	74%	69%	72%	62%	49%	72%	65%
45-64 (SUB-NET)	170	93	77	105	47	152	14	96	74	74	30	40	49	42	45	30
	43%	40%	46%	47%	37%	44%	37%	42%	44%	47%	37%	43%	43%	37%	46%	40%
45-54 (49.5)	69	32	37	41	19	60	9	35	34	24	7	13	21	16	17	8
	17%	14%	22%	18%	15%	17%	23%	15%	20%	15%	9%	14%	18%	14%	17%	11%
55-64 (59.5)	101	61	40	64	28	92	5	61	40	50	23	27	28	26	28	22
	25%	26%	24%	29%	22%	27%	14%	26%	24%	32%	28%	29%	24%	23%	28%	29%
65+ (69.5)	100	48	52	49	36	85	12	54	46	42	26	28	22	14	26	19
	25%	21%	31%	22%	28%	24%	30%	23%	28%	27%	32%	29%	19%	12%	26%	25%
Refused	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEAN	51.65	49.97	53.97	51.69	51.87	51.75	51.26	51.27	52.18	54.30	53.44	54.45	49.55	46.94	52.93	52.27
MEDIAN	59.50	49.50	59.50	59.50	59.50	59.50	49.50	49.50	59.50	59.50	59.50	59.50	49.50	39.50	59.50	59.50
STD. DEVIATION	15.02	15.18	14.52	14.66	15.49	14.95	15.40	14.71	15.47	13.53	15.72	13.59	15.12	13.84	14.78	14.52
STD. ERROR	0.77	1.03	1.12	1.00	1.41	0.82	2.58	0.99	1.21	1.09	1.81	1.42	1.43	1.34	1.49	1.72

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
53. AGE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
18-44 (NET)	130	68	62	-	-	90	-	-	40	-	-	14	41	73	23	15	50	40
	32%	100%	100%			100%			100%			19%	38%	35%	29%	18%	39%	40%
18-34 (SUB-NET)	68	68	-	-	-	48	-	-	20	-	-	8	28	32	9	10	23	26
	17%	100%				53%			50%			11%	26%	15%	12%	12%	18%	26%
18-29 (23.5)	40	40	-	-	-	24	-	-	16	-	-	8	19	13	9	7	4	20
	10%	59%				27%			40%			11%	17%	6%	12%	8%	3%	20%
30-34 (32.0)	28	28	-	-	-	24	-	-	4	-	-	-	9	19	-	4	19	6
	7%	41%				27%			9%				9%	9%		4%	15%	6%
35-44 (39.5)	62	-	62	-	-	42	-	-	20	-	-	6	13	41	14	5	27	14
	15%		100%			47%			50%			8%	12%	20%	17%	6%	21%	14%
45+ (NET)	270	-	-	170	100	-	93	48	-	77	52	60	68	134	57	69	77	59
	68%			100%	100%		100%	100%		100%	100%	81%	62%	65%	71%	82%	61%	60%
45-64 (SUB-NET)	170	-	-	170	-	-	93	-	-	77	-	27	48	90	43	33	48	42
	43%			100%			100%			100%		37%	44%	44%	53%	39%	38%	43%
45-54 (49.5)	69	-	-	69	-	-	32	-	-	37	-	10	20	37	21	15	16	15
	17%			41%			34%			48%		13%	18%	18%	26%	18%	13%	15%
55-64 (59.5)	101	-	-	101	-	-	61	-	-	40	-	17	28	53	22	18	32	27
	25%			59%			66%			52%		23%	26%	26%	27%	21%	25%	27%
65+ (69.5)	100	-	-	-	100	-	-	48	-	-	52	33	20	44	14	36	29	17
	25%				100%			100%			100%	45%	18%	21%	18%	42%	23%	17%
Refused	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEAN	51.65	27.00	39.50	55.45	69.50	33.23	56.08	69.50	32.35	54.68	69.50	57.11	48.53	51.07	50.99	56.70	51.12	48.00
MEDIAN	59.50	23.50	39.50	59.50	69.50	32.00	59.50	69.50	39.50	59.50	69.50	59.50	49.50	49.50	49.50	59.50	49.50	49.50
STD. DEVIATION	15.02	4.21	0.00	4.92	0.00	6.66	4.77	0.00	7.67	5.03	0.00	15.08	15.93	14.13	13.94	14.66	14.31	16.29
STD. ERROR	0.77	0.64	0.00	0.37	0.00	0.81	0.48	0.00	1.34	0.56	0.00	1.72	1.59	1.01	1.55	1.60	1.33	1.70

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
53. AGE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
	TOTAL	VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
18-44 (NET)	130	63	43	106	24	27	68	55	73	57	68	47	16
	32%	31%	36%	33%	30%	35%	34%	31%	33%	32%	30%	36%	35%
18-34 (SUB-NET)	68	30	24	54	14	14	36	30	41	27	37	25	7
	17%	15%	20%	17%	18%	18%	18%	17%	18%	15%	16%	19%	15%
18-29 (23.5)	40	19	15	33	7	8	21	17	24	16	20	13	7
	10%	9%	12%	10%	8%	11%	11%	10%	11%	9%	9%	10%	15%
30-34 (32.0)	28	11	9	21	7	6	15	13	17	11	17	11	-
	7%	6%	8%	6%	9%	7%	7%	7%	8%	6%	7%	9%	
35-44 (39.5)	62	33	19	52	10	13	32	25	32	30	31	22	9
	15%	16%	16%	16%	12%	17%	16%	14%	14%	17%	14%	17%	20%
45+ (NET)	270	138	75	214	56	49	133	122	148	122	159	82	29
	68%	69%	64%	67%	70%	65%	66%	69%	67%	68%	70%	64%	65%
45-64 (SUB-NET)	170	85	47	132	39	29	86	72	92	79	95	57	18
	43%	42%	40%	41%	48%	39%	43%	40%	42%	44%	42%	44%	41%
45-54 (49.5)	69	36	17	53	16	12	34	30	42	27	38	27	4
	17%	18%	14%	17%	20%	16%	17%	17%	19%	15%	17%	21%	9%
55-64 (59.5)	101	49	30	79	23	17	52	42	50	52	57	30	14
	25%	24%	25%	25%	28%	23%	26%	23%	23%	29%	25%	23%	32%
65+ (69.5)	100	54	29	82	18	20	46	50	56	44	64	25	11
	25%	27%	24%	26%	22%	26%	23%	28%	25%	24%	28%	19%	24%
Refused	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEAN	51.65	52.22	50.64	51.64	51.72	51.20	51.07	52.25	51.24	52.16	52.72	49.78	51.63
MEDIAN	59.50	59.50	49.50	59.50	59.50	49.50	49.50	59.50	49.50	59.50	59.50	49.50	59.50
STD. DEVIATION	15.02	14.85	15.70	15.17	14.53	15.46	15.08	15.27	15.29	14.71	14.99	14.73	15.79
STD. ERROR	0.77	1.06	1.49	0.86	1.66	1.82	1.09	1.17	1.06	1.11	1.01	1.34	2.35

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
53. AGE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	IMMIGRATION						3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
	TOTAL	CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
18-44 (NET)	130	69	61	64	49	16	47	33	40	46	56	29	91	39	28	102	103
	32%	28%	40%	33%	31%	35%	30%	32%	34%	32%	34%	31%	40%	22%	32%	32%	30%
18-34 (SUB-NET)	68	36	32	31	26	10	26	17	19	21	30	18	42	26	11	57	54
	17%	14%	21%	16%	16%	22%	17%	16%	16%	14%	18%	19%	19%	15%	13%	18%	16%
18-29 (23.5)	40	27	13	15	19	7	15	15	8	13	15	12	25	15	5	35	29
	10%	11%	9%	8%	12%	14%	10%	14%	7%	9%	9%	13%	11%	8%	6%	11%	8%
30-34 (32.0)	28	9	19	17	7	4	11	2	11	7	15	6	17	11	6	22	24
	7%	4%	12%	9%	5%	8%	7%	2%	10%	5%	9%	6%	7%	6%	7%	7%	7%
35-44 (39.5)	62	33	29	33	23	6	21	16	21	25	26	11	49	13	17	45	49
	15%	13%	19%	17%	14%	13%	14%	16%	18%	17%	16%	12%	22%	7%	20%	14%	14%
45+ (NET)	270	180	90	130	111	30	107	69	77	99	109	62	134	136	58	212	243
	68%	72%	60%	67%	69%	65%	70%	68%	66%	68%	66%	69%	60%	78%	68%	68%	70%
45-64 (SUB-NET)	170	106	64	85	70	15	75	44	41	65	71	34	81	90	34	136	149
	43%	43%	42%	44%	44%	32%	49%	44%	35%	45%	43%	37%	36%	51%	40%	43%	43%
45-54 (49.5)	69	42	27	37	27	5	28	20	17	30	26	13	29	40	16	53	60
	17%	17%	18%	19%	17%	11%	18%	20%	14%	21%	16%	14%	13%	23%	19%	17%	17%
55-64 (59.5)	101	64	37	48	43	10	47	24	24	35	45	21	52	50	18	83	89
	25%	26%	25%	25%	27%	21%	31%	24%	21%	24%	28%	23%	23%	28%	21%	26%	26%
65+ (69.5)	100	74	26	45	40	15	32	25	36	34	37	29	54	46	24	76	94
	25%	30%	17%	23%	25%	33%	21%	25%	30%	23%	23%	31%	24%	27%	28%	24%	27%
Refused	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEAN	51.65	53.26	49.00	51.39	51.95	51.74	51.61	51.17	52.43	51.59	51.32	52.35	50.14	53.60	52.48	51.43	52.66
MEDIAN	59.50	59.50	49.50	49.50	59.50	59.50	59.50	49.50	59.50	49.50	59.50	59.50	49.50	59.50	49.50	59.50	59.50
STD. DEVIATION	15.02	15.12	14.53	14.45	15.20	16.95	14.53	15.50	15.14	14.50	14.86	16.23	15.47	14.23	14.42	15.20	14.76
STD. ERROR	0.77	0.96	1.24	1.06	1.22	2.56	1.20	1.55	1.43	1.22	1.19	1.74	1.06	1.09	1.58	0.88	0.81



BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 53. AGE  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURREY COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
18-44 (NET)	130	57	36	37	36	30	33	32	35
	32%	35%	35%	28%	31%	33%	35%	31%	31%
18-34 (SUB-NET)	68	28	21	19	16	18	22	13	15
	17%	17%	20%	14%	14%	20%	24%	13%	13%
18-29 (23.5)	40	13	13	13	11	12	9	9	9
	10%	8%	13%	10%	9%	14%	10%	9%	8%
30-34 (32.0)	28	15	7	6	6	6	13	4	6
	7%	9%	7%	4%	5%	6%	14%	4%	5%
35-44 (39.5)	62	29	15	18	20	12	11	19	20
	15%	18%	15%	14%	17%	14%	12%	19%	18%
45+ (NET)	270	107	67	96	81	59	62	70	79
	68%	65%	65%	72%	69%	67%	65%	69%	69%
45-64 (SUB-NET)	170	69	46	55	53	37	39	44	50
	43%	42%	45%	42%	46%	41%	41%	43%	44%
45-54 (49.5)	69	30	17	22	20	14	14	21	20
	17%	18%	16%	17%	17%	16%	15%	20%	18%
55-64 (59.5)	101	39	29	33	33	23	25	23	30
	25%	24%	28%	25%	28%	26%	27%	23%	26%
65+ (69.5)	100	38	21	40	28	22	22	26	29
	25%	23%	21%	30%	24%	25%	23%	25%	26%
Refused	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEAN	51.65	51.07	50.38	53.37	52.16	51.11	50.74	51.99	52.54
MEDIAN	59.50	49.50	49.50	59.50	59.50	59.50	59.50	49.50	59.50
STD. DEVIATION	15.02	14.70	15.39	15.09	14.51	15.85	15.55	14.53	14.48
STD. ERROR	0.77	1.18	1.56	1.32	1.35	1.73	1.67	1.44	1.37

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

54. WHETHER VOTED IN THE 2014 IOWA REPUBLICAN PRIMARY FOR U.S. SENATE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	346	201	145	200	107	308	29	209	137	139	69	82	104	100	89	67
	86%	87%	86%	90%	85%	89%	73%	90%	82%	90%	86%	87%	90%	87%	91%	89%
No	45	25	19	19	14	33	8	19	26	11	11	11	10	12	9	8
	11%	11%	12%	8%	11%	10%	22%	8%	15%	7%	14%	12%	9%	11%	9%	11%
Don' t remember	10	6	4	3	4	7	2	5	5	5	-	1	1	3	-	-
	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	5%	2%	3%	3%		1%	1%	3%		

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

54. WHETHER VOTED IN THE 2014 IOWA REPUBLICAN PRIMARY FOR U.S. SENATE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	AGE				GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
		18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	346	54	49	149	94	73	82	46	29	67	48	66	91	183	69	74	113	84
	86%	79%	79%	88%	94%	81%	88%	94%	74%	88%	93%	89%	84%	88%	86%	88%	90%	85%
No	45	13	11	15	6	13	10	3	10	6	4	7	15	20	9	9	11	13
	11%	18%	18%	9%	6%	15%	10%	6%	26%	7%	7%	10%	14%	10%	11%	11%	9%	13%
Don' t remember	10	2	2	6	-	4	2	-	-	4	-	1	2	4	2	1	2	2
	2%	3%	3%	3%		4%	2%			5%		1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

54. WHETHER VOTED IN THE 2014 IOWA REPUBLICAN PRIMARY FOR U.S. SENATE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	346	182	101	283	63	65	178	148	186	160	200	106	40
	86%	90%	85%	89%	78%	86%	89%	84%	84%	89%	88%	82%	89%
No	45	14	17	31	14	10	20	24	27	18	22	19	4
	11%	7%	14%	10%	17%	13%	10%	13%	12%	10%	10%	15%	8%
Don' t remember	10	5	1	6	4	1	3	5	8	2	5	4	1
	2%	2%	1%	2%	5%	1%	1%	3%	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

54. WHETHER VOTED IN THE 2014 IOWA REPUBLICAN PRIMARY FOR U.S. SENATE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	346	221	125	165	141	40	126	84	109	124	141	81	201	145	63	283	346
	86%	88%	83%	85%	88%	87%	82%	82%	93%	86%	86%	89%	89%	83%	73%	90%	100%
No	45	25	20	23	16	6	20	16	9	16	19	9	19	26	21	24	-
	11%	10%	13%	12%	10%	13%	13%	16%	7%	11%	12%	10%	9%	15%	24%	8%	
Don' t remember	10	4	6	7	3	-	8	2	-	5	4	1	5	5	3	7	-
	2%	1%	4%	3%	2%		5%	2%		3%	2%	1%	2%	3%	3%	2%	

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY

APR. 2015  
JOB #16364

54. WHETHER VOTED IN THE 2014 IOWA REPUBLICAN PRIMARY FOR U.S. SENATE  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	346	146	85	115	108	75	77	94	101
	86%	89%	82%	87%	92%	84%	81%	91%	88%
No	45	13	15	16	6	14	12	7	12
	11%	8%	15%	12%	5%	16%	13%	7%	10%
Don' t remember	10	6	3	1	3	-	6	2	2
	2%	4%	3%	1%	2%		6%	2%	2%

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
CD1	89	50	39	44	35	79	9	51	37	39	16	27	28	33	17	20
	22%	22%	23%	20%	28%	23%	22%	22%	22%	25%	20%	29%	25%	29%	18%	27%
CD2	95	57	38	54	29	83	10	48	47	29	18	12	27	18	19	14
	24%	25%	23%	24%	23%	24%	25%	21%	28%	19%	23%	13%	23%	16%	20%	19%
CD3	102	59	44	55	34	89	10	63	40	31	22	23	29	31	25	16
	26%	25%	26%	25%	27%	25%	25%	27%	24%	20%	28%	25%	25%	27%	25%	21%
CD4	114	66	48	69	27	97	11	70	44	56	24	32	31	33	37	25
	29%	29%	29%	31%	22%	28%	29%	30%	26%	36%	30%	34%	27%	29%	38%	33%

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
CD1	89	18	12	37	22	15	24	11	15	12	12	12	29	44	14	24	30	17
	22%	26%	19%	21%	22%	17%	26%	22%	37%	16%	22%	16%	26%	21%	17%	28%	24%	17%
CD2	95	22	11	39	22	30	19	9	4	21	13	26	29	36	13	23	23	31
	24%	33%	18%	23%	22%	33%	20%	19%	10%	27%	26%	35%	26%	17%	16%	27%	18%	32%
CD3	102	13	19	44	26	22	24	12	10	20	13	16	18	68	31	13	38	21
	26%	19%	31%	26%	26%	24%	26%	26%	26%	26%	26%	21%	17%	33%	38%	16%	30%	21%
CD4	114	15	20	50	29	24	26	16	11	24	13	21	33	58	23	25	36	29
	29%	22%	32%	29%	29%	27%	28%	33%	28%	31%	26%	28%	31%	28%	28%	29%	28%	30%



BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
CD1	89	51	25	76	12	16	45	43	39	49	52	26	11
	22%	25%	21%	24%	15%	21%	22%	24%	18%	27%	23%	20%	25%
CD2	95	55	26	81	14	24	53	33	64	31	50	31	14
	24%	27%	22%	25%	17%	32%	26%	19%	29%	17%	22%	24%	30%
CD3	102	39	30	69	33	19	50	49	38	64	50	39	14
	26%	20%	26%	22%	41%	25%	25%	28%	17%	36%	22%	30%	30%
CD4	114	56	36	93	21	17	53	52	79	36	75	32	7
	29%	28%	31%	29%	27%	22%	26%	30%	36%	20%	33%	25%	15%

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
CD1	89	59	29	37	44	8	34	28	21	31	41	16	40	48	12	77	75
	22%	24%	19%	19%	27%	17%	22%	27%	18%	21%	25%	18%	18%	28%	13%	25%	22%
CD2	95	59	36	42	33	20	32	18	40	36	28	31	37	58	24	71	77
	24%	24%	24%	22%	21%	43%	20%	17%	34%	25%	17%	34%	16%	33%	28%	23%	22%
CD3	102	66	36	55	39	9	38	29	26	40	38	24	63	39	24	78	94
	26%	27%	24%	28%	25%	18%	24%	29%	23%	28%	23%	27%	28%	22%	28%	25%	27%
CD4	114	64	50	60	43	10	51	27	30	38	57	19	84	30	26	88	101
	29%	26%	33%	31%	27%	22%	33%	26%	25%	26%	35%	21%	38%	17%	30%	28%	29%

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
CD1	89	6	78	4	5	89	-	-	-
	22%	4%	76%	3%	4%	100%			
CD2	95	30	19	46	14	-	95	-	-
	24%	18%	19%	35%	12%		100%		
CD3	102	83	-	19	79	-	-	102	-
	26%	51%		14%	67%			100%	
CD4	114	45	5	63	20	-	-	-	114
	29%	28%	5%	48%	17%				100%

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
REGION  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Des Moines DMA	47	32	16	25	13	39	8	31	17	23	3	12	14	9	14	9
	12%	14%	9%	11%	11%	11%	19%	13%	10%	15%	3%	13%	12%	8%	14%	13%
Cedar Rapids DMA	103	58	45	55	39	94	9	58	45	44	24	25	28	36	21	21
	26%	25%	27%	25%	31%	27%	22%	25%	27%	28%	30%	27%	25%	31%	22%	28%
Other DMA	133	72	60	78	36	114	13	70	62	52	29	26	40	33	32	23
	33%	31%	36%	35%	29%	33%	33%	30%	37%	33%	36%	28%	35%	29%	33%	31%
Polk and surrounding Counties	117	70	48	64	37	102	10	73	44	37	25	30	32	38	31	21
	29%	30%	28%	29%	30%	29%	26%	32%	26%	24%	31%	32%	28%	33%	31%	28%

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 REGION  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Des Moines DMA	47	12	9	15	11	18	8	6	3	8	4	6	15	25	6	10	19	12
	12%	18%	15%	9%	11%	20%	8%	13%	8%	10%	9%	9%	14%	12%	7%	12%	15%	12%
Cedar Rapids DMA	103	21	15	46	21	19	29	11	17	17	11	16	30	53	18	25	35	21
	26%	31%	24%	27%	21%	21%	31%	22%	42%	22%	21%	21%	27%	26%	23%	29%	27%	21%
Other DMA	133	19	18	55	40	24	26	21	13	29	19	34	45	52	25	34	27	44
	33%	28%	29%	33%	40%	27%	28%	44%	32%	38%	36%	46%	41%	25%	31%	41%	21%	45%
Polk and surrounding Counties	117	16	20	53	28	29	31	10	7	23	18	18	19	78	31	16	46	21
	29%	24%	32%	31%	28%	32%	33%	20%	18%	30%	34%	25%	17%	37%	39%	18%	37%	22%

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
REGION  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Des Moines DMA	47	27	12	39	9	6	24	21	35	12	26	18	3
	12%	13%	10%	12%	11%	8%	12%	12%	16%	7%	11%	14%	6%
Cedar Rapids DMA	103	54	31	85	18	19	56	47	53	51	62	31	10
	26%	27%	26%	27%	23%	25%	28%	26%	24%	28%	27%	24%	22%
Other DMA	133	75	37	112	21	30	68	55	77	55	81	34	18
	33%	37%	31%	35%	26%	39%	34%	31%	35%	31%	36%	27%	39%
Polk and surrounding Counties	117	45	39	84	33	21	54	55	56	62	58	44	15
	29%	22%	33%	26%	41%	28%	27%	31%	25%	34%	26%	35%	33%

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 REGION  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	IMMIGRATION						3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
	TOTAL	CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Des Moines DMA	47	26	21	24	18	5	17	8	18	21	16	10	26	21	15	32	38
	12%	11%	14%	12%	12%	11%	11%	8%	16%	14%	10%	11%	12%	12%	18%	10%	11%
Cedar Rapids DMA	103	60	44	51	42	10	39	31	26	37	48	18	46	58	13	91	85
	26%	24%	29%	26%	26%	23%	26%	30%	22%	25%	29%	20%	20%	33%	15%	29%	25%
Other DMA	133	87	46	62	50	20	53	28	43	52	48	33	81	51	36	96	115
	33%	35%	30%	32%	31%	44%	34%	28%	37%	36%	29%	36%	36%	29%	42%	31%	33%
Polk and surrounding Counties	117	77	40	58	49	11	44	35	30	36	52	30	72	45	22	95	108
	29%	31%	27%	30%	31%	23%	29%	34%	25%	25%	32%	33%	32%	26%	26%	30%	31%

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 REGION  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Des Moines DMA	47	47	-	-	-	1	16	5	25
	12%	29%				1%	17%	5%	22%
Cedar Rapids DMA	103	-	103	-	-	78	19	-	5
	26%		100%			89%	20%		5%
Other DMA	133	-	-	133	-	4	46	19	63
	33%			100%		5%	48%	19%	55%
Polk and surrounding Counties	117	117	-	-	117	5	14	79	20
	29%	71%			100%	6%	14%	77%	18%



BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 PHONE TYPE  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
TOTAL	400	232	168	222	126	348	39	232	168	156	80	94	115	115	98	75
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Cell	84	65	19	46	28	75	6	54	30	31	15	21	24	33	19	18
	21%	28%	11%	21%	23%	22%	15%	23%	18%	20%	18%	22%	21%	29%	19%	24%
Landline	317	167	150	176	97	273	33	179	138	124	66	73	91	82	80	57
	79%	72%	89%	79%	77%	78%	85%	77%	82%	80%	82%	78%	79%	71%	81%	76%

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 PHONE TYPE  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Cell	84	35	18	25	6	44	17	4	8	8	3	12	28	44	9	10	35	30
	21%	51%	29%	14%	6%	49%	18%	7%	21%	10%	5%	16%	26%	21%	11%	11%	27%	31%
Landline	317	33	44	146	94	46	76	45	31	69	49	62	81	163	71	75	92	68
	79%	49%	71%	86%	94%	51%	82%	93%	79%	90%	95%	84%	74%	79%	89%	89%	73%	69%

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 PHONE TYPE  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY				TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
		VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Cell	84	50	20	70	14	20	47	33	46	38	44	30	10
	21%	25%	17%	22%	17%	26%	24%	19%	21%	21%	19%	24%	22%
Landline	317	152	98	250	67	56	154	144	175	142	183	98	35
	79%	75%	83%	78%	83%	74%	76%	81%	79%	79%	81%	76%	78%

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 PHONE TYPE  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Cell	84	47	36	44	36	3	31	20	28	31	34	18	55	29	17	67	73
	21%	19%	24%	23%	23%	7%	20%	19%	24%	22%	21%	20%	24%	16%	20%	21%	21%
Landline	317	202	115	150	123	43	123	82	90	113	130	73	170	146	69	247	273
	79%	81%	76%	77%	77%	93%	80%	81%	76%	78%	79%	80%	76%	84%	80%	79%	79%

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 PHONE TYPE  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Cell	84	38	25	21	29	21	19	23	20
	21%	23%	24%	16%	24%	24%	20%	23%	17%
Landline	317	127	78	111	89	67	76	79	95
	79%	77%	76%	84%	76%	76%	80%	77%	83%



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
BN. BANNER BY BANNER TABLE: BANNER  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	GENDER			POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
	TOTAL	MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
18-34	68	48	20	36	21	57	8	39	29	18	16	10	20	22	13	11
	17%	21%	12%	16%	17%	16%	21%	17%	17%	11%	20%	11%	17%	19%	13%	15%
35-44	62	42	20	32	22	54	5	43	19	22	9	16	24	37	15	15
	15%	18%	12%	14%	18%	16%	13%	19%	11%	14%	11%	17%	21%	32%	15%	20%
45-64	170	93	77	105	47	152	14	96	74	74	30	40	49	42	45	30
	43%	40%	46%	47%	37%	44%	37%	42%	44%	47%	37%	43%	43%	37%	46%	40%
65+	100	48	52	49	36	85	12	54	46	42	26	28	22	14	26	19
	25%	21%	31%	22%	28%	24%	30%	23%	28%	27%	32%	29%	19%	12%	26%	25%
GENDER/AGE:																
Men 18-44	90	90	-	42	33	75	11	57	33	32	18	19	32	46	16	19
	23%	39%	-	19%	26%	22%	29%	25%	20%	21%	22%	20%	28%	40%	16%	25%
Men 45-64	93	93	-	58	27	85	6	58	36	45	16	21	29	27	25	18
	23%	40%	-	26%	21%	24%	15%	25%	21%	29%	20%	23%	25%	23%	25%	24%
Men 65+	48	48	-	19	21	40	7	28	21	25	11	12	12	5	10	11
	12%	21%	-	8%	17%	12%	18%	12%	12%	16%	13%	12%	10%	5%	10%	14%
Women 18-44	40	-	40	26	10	36	2	25	15	8	7	7	11	13	12	8
	10%	-	24%	12%	8%	10%	5%	11%	9%	5%	9%	8%	10%	11%	12%	11%
Women 45-64	77	-	77	47	20	67	9	39	38	28	14	19	20	15	20	11
	19%	-	46%	21%	16%	19%	22%	17%	23%	18%	17%	20%	17%	13%	20%	15%
Women 65+	52	-	52	30	14	45	4	26	26	17	15	16	11	9	16	8
	13%	-	31%	14%	11%	13%	11%	11%	15%	11%	19%	17%	9%	8%	16%	11%
EDUCATION:																
Graduated High school	74	37	37	45	20	65	6	40	34	26	13	13	17	19	20	9
	19%	16%	22%	20%	16%	19%	15%	17%	20%	17%	16%	14%	15%	16%	20%	12%
Some college/vocational	109	61	47	58	34	92	15	62	47	44	20	24	37	29	30	22
	27%	26%	28%	26%	27%	26%	37%	27%	28%	28%	25%	25%	32%	25%	31%	29%
College grad. +	207	127	80	115	69	184	17	126	81	77	45	54	58	64	46	42
	52%	55%	48%	52%	55%	53%	43%	54%	48%	50%	55%	57%	50%	55%	46%	56%
GENDER/EDUCATION:																
College women	80	-	80	47	24	72	7	42	39	21	18	18	22	21	25	13
	20%	-	48%	21%	19%	21%	17%	18%	23%	14%	22%	19%	19%	18%	25%	17%
Non-college women	84	-	84	55	18	73	7	46	38	29	17	22	19	15	23	15
	21%	-	50%	25%	14%	21%	19%	20%	23%	19%	21%	24%	16%	13%	23%	20%
College men	127	127	-	67	45	112	10	84	43	56	27	36	36	43	21	29
	32%	55%	-	30%	36%	32%	26%	36%	25%	36%	33%	38%	31%	37%	21%	39%
Non-college men	99	99	-	48	35	84	13	56	43	41	16	14	35	33	27	16
	25%	43%	-	22%	28%	24%	33%	24%	25%	27%	20%	15%	30%	28%	27%	22%
POLITICAL IDEOLOGY:																
Very conservative	201	118	84	136	50	186	13	127	74	88	30	48	76	56	59	44
	50%	51%	50%	61%	40%	54%	33%	55%	44%	56%	37%	51%	66%	48%	60%	58%

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
BN. BANNER BY BANNER TABLE: BANNER  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
		MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
Somewhat conservative	118	68	51	56	48	104	10	59	59	48	30	26	30	36	28	19
	30%	29%	30%	25%	38%	30%	27%	25%	35%	31%	37%	28%	26%	31%	29%	25%
Total conservatives	320	185	134	192	98	290	24	186	134	136	59	74	106	92	87	62
	80%	80%	80%	86%	78%	84%	60%	80%	80%	87%	74%	79%	92%	79%	89%	83%
Moderate/Liberal	81	46	34	30	27	57	16	46	34	20	21	20	9	24	11	13
	20%	20%	20%	14%	22%	16%	40%	20%	20%	13%	26%	21%	8%	21%	11%	17%
TEA PARTY:																
Strong supporter	75	47	28	38	29	67	6	56	19	36	3	16	34	25	13	21
	19%	20%	17%	17%	23%	19%	16%	24%	11%	23%	3%	17%	30%	22%	13%	28%
Total supporter	201	132	70	117	64	181	15	138	63	96	29	56	79	72	52	47
	50%	57%	41%	53%	51%	52%	37%	60%	37%	62%	36%	59%	69%	62%	52%	63%
Not a supporter	177	88	89	92	56	149	22	84	93	51	49	36	32	40	42	27
	44%	38%	53%	42%	45%	43%	55%	36%	55%	33%	61%	39%	28%	34%	43%	36%
EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT:																
Yes	221	127	94	123	78	201	14	121	100	85	39	47	69	59	72	47
	55%	55%	56%	55%	62%	58%	35%	52%	59%	55%	48%	50%	60%	51%	73%	63%
No	179	105	74	99	47	146	25	111	68	70	42	47	46	56	26	28
	45%	45%	44%	45%	38%	42%	65%	48%	41%	45%	52%	50%	40%	49%	27%	37%
FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES:																
Weekly	227	123	104	132	70	201	19	130	97	83	46	52	60	57	72	45
	57%	53%	62%	59%	55%	58%	49%	56%	57%	53%	57%	55%	52%	49%	74%	60%
Less than weekly	128	79	49	67	42	109	15	73	55	55	26	32	34	42	17	18
	32%	34%	29%	30%	34%	31%	37%	31%	33%	36%	33%	34%	30%	36%	17%	24%
Rarely/never	45	30	15	23	14	37	6	29	16	18	8	10	21	17	9	11
	11%	13%	9%	11%	11%	11%	14%	12%	10%	11%	10%	11%	18%	15%	9%	15%
IMMIGRATION:																
Critically Important	249	147	103	142	74	216	24	154	96	100	41	66	81	71	64	50
	62%	63%	61%	64%	59%	62%	62%	66%	57%	64%	51%	70%	70%	62%	65%	67%
Less Important	151	85	66	80	52	131	15	79	72	56	39	28	34	44	35	25
	38%	37%	39%	36%	41%	38%	38%	34%	43%	36%	49%	30%	30%	38%	35%	33%
Could disagree	194	112	82	106	66	173	15	108	86	78	56	50	57	62	51	36
	48%	48%	49%	48%	53%	50%	39%	46%	51%	50%	69%	53%	50%	54%	52%	49%
Could NOT disagree	160	100	60	86	51	138	16	97	63	65	18	36	49	47	43	31
	40%	43%	36%	39%	41%	40%	42%	42%	37%	42%	22%	38%	43%	41%	43%	41%
Unsure	47	21	26	30	8	37	7	28	19	12	7	9	8	7	5	7
	12%	9%	15%	13%	6%	11%	19%	12%	11%	8%	8%	9%	7%	6%	5%	10%
3 WAY:																
Path to citizenship.	154	87	67	87	45	132	16	80	74	52	40	36	38	42	37	23
	38%	37%	40%	39%	36%	38%	40%	34%	44%	33%	49%	38%	33%	36%	38%	31%



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
BN. BANNER BY BANNER TABLE: BANNER  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	GENDER			POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
	TOTAL	MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
Path to legal status	102	55	47	56	32	88	11	67	35	45	18	33	31	33	28	17
	25%	24%	28%	25%	26%	25%	29%	29%	21%	29%	22%	35%	27%	29%	29%	22%
Deport	117	77	40	63	43	106	7	75	42	47	20	20	41	33	29	28
	29%	33%	24%	29%	34%	31%	17%	32%	25%	30%	25%	22%	36%	29%	30%	37%
CANDIDATE FOR REFORM:																
Amnesty	145	85	60	93	37	129	10	87	58	66	35	29	50	44	38	26
	36%	37%	36%	42%	29%	37%	27%	37%	35%	42%	44%	31%	43%	39%	38%	35%
Not amnesty	164	101	63	81	54	135	23	104	61	64	30	48	37	48	41	32
	41%	44%	37%	36%	43%	39%	58%	45%	36%	41%	38%	51%	32%	42%	41%	42%
Don't know/Unsure	91	46	45	48	35	83	6	42	49	26	15	17	28	22	20	17
	23%	20%	27%	22%	28%	24%	15%	18%	29%	17%	19%	18%	24%	19%	21%	23%
MATCH:																
Yes	225	133	92	127	71	198	19	149	76	91	46	60	74	74	59	47
	56%	57%	55%	57%	57%	57%	50%	64%	45%	58%	57%	64%	64%	64%	60%	62%
No	175	99	76	95	54	150	20	83	92	65	35	34	41	42	39	28
	44%	43%	45%	43%	43%	43%	50%	36%	55%	42%	43%	36%	36%	36%	40%	38%
VOTER HISTORY:																
First caucus	86	44	42	49	22	71	11	31	55	29	16	19	23	14	24	11
	22%	19%	25%	22%	17%	20%	29%	13%	33%	19%	20%	20%	20%	12%	24%	15%
Past caucus	314	188	126	173	104	276	28	202	112	127	64	75	92	102	75	64
	78%	81%	75%	78%	83%	80%	71%	87%	67%	81%	80%	80%	80%	88%	76%	85%
2014 Primary Voter	346	201	145	200	107	308	29	209	137	139	69	82	104	100	89	67
	86%	87%	86%	90%	85%	89%	73%	90%	82%	90%	86%	87%	90%	87%	91%	89%
REGION:																
Des Moines DMA	165	101	63	90	50	140	18	104	60	60	28	42	47	47	45	31
	41%	44%	38%	40%	40%	40%	45%	45%	36%	38%	34%	45%	41%	40%	46%	41%
Cedar Rapids DMA	103	58	45	55	39	94	9	58	45	44	24	25	28	36	21	21
	26%	25%	27%	25%	31%	27%	22%	25%	27%	28%	30%	27%	25%	31%	22%	28%
Other DMA	133	72	60	78	36	114	13	70	62	52	29	26	40	33	32	23
	33%	31%	36%	35%	29%	33%	33%	30%	37%	33%	36%	28%	35%	29%	33%	31%
Polk and surrounding Counties	117	70	48	64	37	102	10	73	44	37	25	30	32	38	31	21
	29%	30%	28%	29%	30%	29%	26%	32%	26%	24%	31%	32%	28%	33%	31%	28%
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT:																
CD1	89	50	39	44	35	79	9	51	37	39	16	27	28	33	17	20
	22%	22%	23%	20%	28%	23%	22%	22%	22%	25%	20%	29%	25%	29%	18%	27%
CD2	95	57	38	54	29	83	10	48	47	29	18	12	27	18	19	14
	24%	25%	23%	24%	23%	24%	25%	21%	28%	19%	23%	13%	23%	16%	20%	19%
CD3	102	59	44	55	34	89	10	63	40	31	22	23	29	31	25	16
	26%	25%	26%	25%	27%	25%	25%	27%	24%	20%	28%	25%	25%	27%	25%	21%

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 BN. BANNER BY BANNER TABLE: BANNER  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

		GENDER		POLITICAL PARTY				LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND GOP CAUCUS		CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO						
	TOTAL	MEN	WOMEN	STRONG GOP	LESS STRONG GOP	TOTAL GOP	IND	VERY LIKELY	SMWHT LIKELY	SCOTT WALKER	JEB BUSH	MARCO RUBIO	TED CRUZ	RAND PAUL	MIKE HUCKABEE	BEN CARSON
CD4	114	66	48	69	27	97	11	70	44	56	24	32	31	33	37	25
	29%	29%	29%	31%	22%	28%	29%	30%	26%	36%	30%	34%	27%	29%	38%	33%

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
BN. BANNER BY BANNER TABLE: BANNER  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
		18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON-COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON-COLLEGE MEN	
TOTAL	400	68	62	170	100	90	93	48	40	77	52	74	109	207	80	84	127	99	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
GENDER:																			
Men	232	48	42	93	48	90	93	48	-	-	-	37	61	127	-	-	127	99	
	58%	71%	68%	55%	48%	100%	100%	100%				50%	56%	61%			100%	100%	
Women	168	20	20	77	52	-	-	-	40	77	52	37	47	80	80	84	-	-	
	42%	29%	32%	45%	52%				100%	100%	100%	50%	44%	39%	100%	100%			
POLITICAL PARTY:																			
Strong Republican	222	36	32	105	49	42	58	19	26	47	30	45	58	115	47	55	67	48	
	55%	53%	52%	62%	49%	47%	62%	39%	64%	61%	59%	60%	53%	55%	59%	65%	53%	49%	
Less strong Republican	126	21	22	47	36	33	27	21	10	20	14	20	34	69	24	18	45	35	
	31%	31%	35%	28%	36%	36%	29%	44%	25%	26%	28%	27%	31%	33%	30%	21%	35%	36%	
Total Republican	348	57	54	152	85	75	85	40	36	67	45	65	92	184	72	73	112	84	
	87%	83%	87%	89%	85%	83%	91%	83%	89%	88%	86%	87%	85%	89%	89%	86%	88%	85%	
Independent	39	8	5	14	12	11	6	7	2	9	4	6	15	17	7	7	10	13	
	10%	12%	8%	8%	12%	13%	6%	15%	5%	11%	9%	8%	13%	8%	8%	9%	8%	13%	
LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND A REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS:																			
Very likely	232	39	43	96	54	57	58	28	25	39	26	40	62	126	42	46	84	56	
	58%	58%	69%	57%	54%	64%	62%	57%	63%	51%	50%	54%	57%	61%	52%	55%	66%	57%	
Somewhat likely	168	29	19	74	46	33	36	21	15	38	26	34	47	81	39	38	43	43	
	42%	42%	31%	43%	46%	36%	38%	43%	37%	49%	50%	46%	43%	39%	48%	45%	34%	43%	
CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO:																			
Scott Walker	156	18	22	74	42	32	45	25	8	28	17	26	44	77	21	29	56	41	
	39%	26%	35%	43%	42%	36%	49%	52%	19%	37%	33%	36%	40%	37%	27%	34%	44%	42%	
Jeb Bush	80	16	9	30	26	18	16	11	7	14	15	13	20	45	18	17	27	16	
	20%	24%	15%	17%	26%	20%	17%	22%	18%	18%	29%	18%	18%	22%	22%	20%	21%	17%	
Marco Rubio	94	10	16	40	28	19	21	12	7	19	16	13	24	54	18	22	36	14	
	23%	15%	26%	24%	28%	21%	23%	24%	18%	25%	31%	17%	22%	26%	22%	27%	28%	14%	
Ted Cruz	115	20	24	49	22	32	29	12	11	20	11	17	37	58	22	19	36	35	
	29%	29%	39%	29%	22%	36%	31%	24%	29%	26%	21%	23%	34%	28%	27%	22%	28%	36%	
Rand Paul	115	22	37	42	14	46	27	5	13	15	9	19	29	64	21	15	43	33	
	29%	32%	60%	25%	14%	51%	29%	11%	33%	20%	17%	25%	27%	31%	26%	18%	34%	33%	
Mike Huckabee	98	13	15	45	26	16	25	10	12	20	16	20	30	46	25	23	21	27	
	25%	18%	24%	26%	26%	17%	27%	20%	30%	26%	31%	27%	28%	22%	31%	27%	16%	27%	
Ben Carson	75	11	15	30	19	19	18	11	8	11	8	9	22	42	13	15	29	16	
	19%	17%	24%	17%	19%	21%	20%	22%	20%	15%	16%	12%	20%	20%	16%	17%	23%	17%	
AGE:																			
18-34	68	68	-	-	-	48	-	-	20	-	-	8	28	32	9	10	23	26	
	17%	100%				53%			50%			11%	26%	15%	12%	12%	18%	26%	

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
BN. BANNER BY BANNER TABLE: BANNER  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT.	COLL. GRAD. +	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
35-44	62	-	62	-	-	42	-	-	20	-	-	6	13	41	14	5	27	14
	15%		100%			47%			50%			8%	12%	20%	17%	6%	21%	14%
45-64	170	-	-	170	-	-	93	-	-	77	-	27	48	90	43	33	48	42
	43%			100%			100%			100%		37%	44%	44%	53%	39%	38%	43%
65+	100	-	-	-	100	-	-	48	-	-	52	33	20	44	14	36	29	17
	25%				100%			100%			100%	45%	18%	21%	18%	42%	23%	17%
GENDER/AGE:																		
Men 18-44	90	48	42	-	-	90	-	-	-	-	-	12	28	50	-	-	50	40
	23%	71%	68%			100%						16%	26%	24%			39%	40%
Men 45-64	93	-	-	93	-	-	93	-	-	-	-	14	28	48	-	-	48	42
	23%			55%			100%					19%	26%	23%			38%	43%
Men 65+	48	-	-	-	48	-	-	48	-	-	-	12	5	29	-	-	29	17
	12%				48%			100%				16%	5%	14%			23%	17%
Women 18-44	40	20	20	-	-	-	-	-	40	-	-	2	13	23	23	15	-	-
	10%	29%	32%						100%			3%	12%	11%	29%	18%		
Women 45-64	77	-	-	77	-	-	-	-	-	77	-	13	20	43	43	33	-	-
	19%			45%						100%		18%	18%	21%	53%	39%		
Women 65+	52	-	-	-	52	-	-	-	-	-	52	21	14	14	14	36	-	-
	13%				52%						100%	29%	13%	7%	18%	42%		
EDUCATION:																		
Graduated High school	74	8	6	27	33	12	14	12	2	13	21	74	-	-	-	37	-	37
	19%	12%	10%	16%	33%	13%	15%	24%	6%	17%	41%	100%				44%		38%
Some college/ vocational	109	28	13	48	20	28	28	5	13	20	14	-	109	-	-	47	-	61
	27%	41%	21%	28%	20%	31%	30%	11%	33%	26%	28%		100%			56%		62%
College grad. +	207	32	41	90	44	50	48	29	23	43	14	-	-	207	80	-	127	-
	52%	47%	66%	53%	44%	55%	51%	61%	59%	56%	28%			100%	100%		100%	
GENDER/ EDUCATION:																		
College women	80	9	14	43	14	-	-	-	23	43	14	-	-	80	80	-	-	-
	20%	14%	23%	25%	14%				59%	56%	28%			39%	100%			
Non-college women	84	10	5	33	36	-	-	-	15	33	36	37	47	-	-	84	-	-
	21%	15%	8%	19%	36%				39%	43%	69%	50%	44%			100%		
College men	127	23	27	48	29	50	48	29	-	-	-	-	-	127	-	-	127	-
	32%	33%	44%	28%	29%	55%	51%	61%						61%			100%	
Non-college men	99	26	14	42	17	40	42	17	-	-	-	37	61	-	-	-	-	99
	25%	38%	23%	25%	17%	44%	45%	35%				50%	56%					100%
POLITICAL IDEOLOGY:																		
Very conservative	201	30	33	85	54	43	49	26	20	36	28	40	57	99	37	45	63	52
	50%	44%	53%	50%	54%	48%	52%	54%	50%	47%	53%	54%	52%	48%	46%	54%	50%	53%
Somewhat conservative	118	24	19	47	29	30	22	15	13	24	13	22	28	63	25	24	39	26
	30%	35%	31%	28%	29%	34%	24%	31%	32%	32%	26%	30%	25%	31%	31%	28%	31%	27%
Total conservatives	320	54	52	132	82	73	71	41	33	61	41	63	85	163	61	69	102	78
	80%	79%	84%	77%	82%	81%	76%	85%	82%	79%	79%	85%	78%	79%	76%	82%	80%	79%



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
BN. BANNER BY BANNER TABLE: BANNER  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	AGE					GENDER/AGE						EDUCATION			GENDER/EDUCATION			
	TOTAL	18-34	35-44	45-64	65+	MEN 18-44	MEN 45-64	MEN 65+	WOMEN 18-44	WOMEN 45-64	WOMEN 65+	HIGH SCHOOL GRAD.	SOME COLL./ VOCAT. +	COLL. GRAD.	COLLEGE WOMEN	NON- COLLEGE WOMEN	COLLEGE MEN	NON- COLLEGE MEN
Amnesty	145	21	25	65	34	31	37	16	14	28	18	28	42	73	32	28	41	42
	36%	31%	40%	38%	34%	35%	40%	33%	36%	36%	34%	38%	38%	35%	40%	33%	32%	43%
Not amnesty	164	30	26	71	37	39	42	21	17	29	17	23	43	93	31	30	62	36
	41%	44%	42%	42%	38%	43%	45%	43%	42%	38%	33%	31%	40%	45%	38%	35%	49%	37%
Don't know/Unsure	91	18	11	34	29	20	14	12	9	20	17	23	24	41	17	27	24	20
	23%	26%	18%	20%	29%	22%	15%	24%	21%	26%	33%	31%	22%	20%	22%	32%	19%	20%
MATCH:																		
Yes	225	42	49	81	54	63	45	26	28	36	28	36	64	119	45	44	74	56
	56%	62%	79%	47%	54%	70%	48%	54%	71%	47%	53%	48%	59%	58%	56%	52%	59%	57%
No	175	26	13	90	46	27	49	22	12	41	24	38	44	88	35	40	53	42
	44%	38%	21%	53%	46%	30%	52%	46%	29%	53%	47%	52%	41%	42%	44%	48%	41%	43%
VOTER HISTORY:																		
First caucus	86	11	17	34	24	19	18	7	9	16	17	22	29	32	15	26	17	25
	22%	16%	27%	20%	24%	21%	19%	15%	23%	21%	33%	30%	27%	16%	19%	31%	14%	25%
Past caucus	314	57	45	136	76	71	76	41	31	61	35	52	80	175	65	58	110	74
	78%	84%	73%	80%	76%	79%	81%	85%	77%	79%	67%	70%	73%	84%	81%	69%	86%	75%
2014 Primary Voter	346	54	49	149	94	73	82	46	29	67	48	66	91	183	69	74	113	84
	86%	79%	79%	88%	94%	81%	88%	94%	74%	88%	93%	89%	84%	88%	86%	88%	90%	85%
REGION:																		
Des Moines DMA	165	28	29	69	38	47	38	16	10	31	22	25	34	102	37	25	65	33
	41%	42%	47%	40%	38%	52%	41%	33%	26%	40%	43%	33%	31%	49%	46%	30%	51%	34%
Cedar Rapids DMA	103	21	15	46	21	19	29	11	17	17	11	16	30	53	18	25	35	21
	26%	31%	24%	27%	21%	21%	31%	22%	42%	22%	21%	21%	27%	26%	23%	29%	27%	21%
Other DMA	133	19	18	55	40	24	26	21	13	29	19	34	45	52	25	34	27	44
	33%	28%	29%	33%	40%	27%	28%	44%	32%	38%	36%	46%	41%	25%	31%	41%	21%	45%
Polk and surrounding Counties	117	16	20	53	28	29	31	10	7	23	18	18	19	78	31	16	46	21
	29%	24%	32%	31%	28%	32%	33%	20%	18%	30%	34%	25%	17%	37%	39%	18%	37%	22%
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT:																		
CD1	89	18	12	37	22	15	24	11	15	12	12	12	29	44	14	24	30	17
	22%	26%	19%	21%	22%	17%	26%	22%	37%	16%	22%	16%	26%	21%	17%	28%	24%	17%
CD2	95	22	11	39	22	30	19	9	4	21	13	26	29	36	13	23	23	31
	24%	33%	18%	23%	22%	33%	20%	19%	10%	27%	26%	35%	26%	17%	16%	27%	18%	32%
CD3	102	13	19	44	26	22	24	12	10	20	13	16	18	68	31	13	38	21
	26%	19%	31%	26%	26%	24%	26%	26%	26%	26%	26%	21%	17%	33%	38%	16%	30%	21%
CD4	114	15	20	50	29	24	26	16	11	24	13	21	33	58	23	25	36	29
	29%	22%	32%	29%	29%	27%	28%	33%	28%	31%	26%	28%	31%	28%	28%	29%	28%	30%

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
BN. BANNER BY BANNER TABLE: BANNER  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
	TOTAL	VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
TOTAL	400	201	118	320	81	75	201	177	221	179	227	128	45
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
GENDER:													
Men	232	118	68	185	46	47	132	88	127	105	123	79	30
	58%	58%	57%	58%	58%	63%	65%	49%	57%	59%	54%	61%	66%
Women	168	84	51	134	34	28	70	89	94	74	104	49	15
	42%	42%	43%	42%	42%	37%	35%	51%	43%	41%	46%	39%	34%
POLITICAL PARTY:													
Strong Republican	222	136	56	192	30	38	117	92	123	99	132	67	23
	55%	68%	47%	60%	37%	50%	58%	52%	56%	55%	58%	52%	52%
Less strong Republican	126	50	48	98	27	29	64	56	78	47	70	42	14
	31%	25%	41%	31%	34%	38%	32%	32%	35%	26%	31%	33%	31%
Total Republican	348	186	104	290	57	67	181	149	201	146	201	109	37
	87%	93%	88%	91%	71%	89%	90%	84%	91%	81%	89%	85%	83%
Independent	39	13	10	24	16	6	15	22	14	25	19	15	6
	10%	6%	9%	7%	20%	8%	7%	12%	6%	14%	8%	11%	12%
LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND A REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS:													
Very likely	232	127	59	186	46	56	138	84	121	111	130	73	29
	58%	63%	50%	58%	57%	75%	69%	48%	55%	62%	57%	57%	64%
Somewhat likely	168	74	59	134	34	19	63	93	100	68	97	55	16
	42%	37%	50%	42%	43%	25%	31%	52%	45%	38%	43%	43%	36%
CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO:													
Scott Walker	156	88	48	136	20	36	96	51	85	70	83	55	18
	39%	44%	41%	42%	25%	48%	48%	29%	39%	39%	36%	43%	40%
Jeb Bush	80	30	30	59	21	3	29	49	39	42	46	26	8
	20%	15%	25%	19%	26%	4%	14%	28%	18%	23%	20%	20%	19%
Marco Rubio	94	48	26	74	20	16	56	36	47	47	52	32	10
	23%	24%	22%	23%	24%	21%	28%	21%	21%	26%	23%	25%	22%
Ted Cruz	115	76	30	106	9	34	79	32	69	46	60	34	21
	29%	38%	26%	33%	11%	45%	39%	18%	31%	26%	26%	27%	47%
Rand Paul	115	56	36	92	24	25	72	40	59	56	57	42	17
	29%	28%	30%	29%	30%	33%	36%	22%	27%	31%	25%	32%	38%
Mike Huckabee	98	59	28	87	11	13	52	42	72	26	72	17	9
	25%	29%	24%	27%	14%	17%	26%	24%	33%	15%	32%	13%	20%
Ben Carson	75	44	19	62	13	21	47	27	47	28	45	18	11
	19%	22%	16%	19%	16%	28%	24%	15%	21%	15%	20%	14%	26%
AGE:													
18-34	68	30	24	54	14	14	36	30	41	27	37	25	7
	17%	15%	20%	17%	18%	18%	18%	17%	18%	15%	16%	19%	15%

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
BN. BANNER BY BANNER TABLE: BANNER  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
	TOTAL	VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
35-44	62	33	19	52	10	13	32	25	32	30	31	22	9
	15%	16%	16%	16%	12%	17%	16%	14%	14%	17%	14%	17%	20%
45-64	170	85	47	132	39	29	86	72	92	79	95	57	18
	43%	42%	40%	41%	48%	39%	43%	40%	42%	44%	42%	44%	41%
65+	100	54	29	82	18	20	46	50	56	44	64	25	11
	25%	27%	24%	26%	22%	26%	23%	28%	25%	24%	28%	19%	24%
GENDER/AGE:													
Men 18-44	90	43	30	73	17	19	55	30	52	39	43	35	12
	23%	21%	26%	23%	21%	25%	27%	17%	23%	22%	19%	27%	28%
Men 45-64	93	49	22	71	22	18	55	33	51	43	53	30	10
	23%	24%	19%	22%	28%	24%	27%	18%	23%	24%	23%	23%	23%
Men 65+	48	26	15	41	7	11	21	25	24	24	27	14	7
	12%	13%	13%	13%	9%	14%	11%	14%	11%	13%	12%	11%	16%
Women 18-44	40	20	13	33	7	8	13	26	21	19	24	12	3
	10%	10%	11%	10%	9%	10%	7%	14%	10%	10%	11%	9%	7%
Women 45-64	77	36	24	61	16	11	31	39	41	36	42	27	8
	19%	18%	21%	19%	20%	15%	16%	22%	18%	20%	18%	21%	19%
Women 65+	52	28	13	41	11	9	25	25	32	20	37	11	4
	13%	14%	11%	13%	13%	12%	12%	14%	15%	11%	17%	8%	8%
EDUCATION:													
Graduated High school	74	40	22	63	11	14	36	33	52	22	51	15	8
	19%	20%	19%	20%	14%	18%	18%	19%	24%	12%	22%	12%	18%
Some college/vocational	109	57	28	85	24	22	58	43	65	44	65	30	13
	27%	28%	23%	26%	30%	29%	29%	24%	29%	25%	29%	24%	29%
College grad. +	207	99	63	163	44	37	103	97	98	109	108	77	22
	52%	49%	54%	51%	55%	49%	51%	55%	45%	61%	48%	60%	48%
GENDER/EDUCATION:													
College women	80	37	25	61	19	13	31	47	38	43	41	29	10
	20%	18%	21%	19%	24%	17%	15%	26%	17%	24%	18%	23%	22%
Non-college women	84	45	24	69	15	14	37	41	55	30	61	17	5
	21%	22%	20%	22%	19%	18%	18%	23%	25%	16%	27%	13%	12%
College men	127	63	39	102	25	24	72	50	61	66	67	48	12
	32%	31%	33%	32%	31%	32%	36%	28%	27%	37%	29%	37%	26%
Non-college men	99	52	26	78	20	22	58	35	62	36	55	28	16
	25%	26%	22%	25%	25%	29%	29%	20%	28%	20%	24%	22%	36%
POLITICAL IDEOLOGY:													
Very conservative	201	201	-	201	-	56	130	62	131	71	129	48	25
	50%	100%		63%		74%	65%	35%	59%	39%	57%	37%	55%
Somewhat conservative	118	-	118	118	-	13	47	63	65	53	58	51	9
	30%		100%	37%		17%	24%	36%	30%	30%	26%	40%	21%
Total conservatives	320	201	118	320	-	69	177	125	196	124	187	99	34
	80%	100%	100%	100%		91%	88%	71%	89%	69%	82%	77%	76%





BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
BN. BANNER BY BANNER TABLE: BANNER  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY					TEA PARTY			EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT		FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES		
	TOTAL	VERY CONS.	SMWHT CONS.	TOTAL CONS.	MOD. /LIB.	STRONG SUPP.	TOTAL SUPP.	NOT A SUPP.	YES	NO	WEEKLY	LESS THAN WEEKLY	RARELY /NEVER
Amnesty	145	92	29	121	24	24	79	59	88	57	88	40	17
	36%	46%	25%	38%	29%	31%	39%	33%	40%	32%	39%	31%	38%
Not amnesty	164	65	57	122	42	30	81	78	76	89	93	56	16
	41%	32%	48%	38%	52%	40%	40%	44%	34%	49%	41%	44%	35%
Don't know/Unsure	91	44	32	76	15	22	41	40	57	34	46	33	12
	23%	22%	27%	24%	19%	29%	20%	22%	26%	19%	20%	25%	27%
MATCH:													
Yes	225	119	64	183	43	48	116	99	125	101	135	66	24
	56%	59%	54%	57%	53%	64%	58%	56%	56%	56%	60%	52%	53%
No	175	83	54	137	38	27	85	78	96	79	92	62	21
	44%	41%	46%	43%	47%	36%	42%	44%	44%	44%	40%	48%	47%
VOTER HISTORY:													
First caucus	86	42	28	70	16	7	30	46	53	33	45	29	12
	22%	21%	24%	22%	20%	10%	15%	26%	24%	18%	20%	23%	27%
Past caucus	314	159	90	250	64	68	171	131	167	147	182	99	33
	78%	79%	76%	78%	80%	90%	85%	74%	76%	82%	80%	77%	73%
2014 Primary Voter	346	182	101	283	63	65	178	148	186	160	200	106	40
	86%	90%	85%	89%	78%	86%	89%	84%	84%	89%	88%	82%	89%
REGION:													
Des Moines DMA	165	72	51	123	41	27	78	76	91	74	84	63	17
	41%	36%	43%	39%	51%	36%	39%	43%	41%	41%	37%	49%	39%
Cedar Rapids DMA	103	54	31	85	18	19	56	47	53	51	62	31	10
	26%	27%	26%	27%	23%	25%	28%	26%	24%	28%	27%	24%	22%
Other DMA	133	75	37	112	21	30	68	55	77	55	81	34	18
	33%	37%	31%	35%	26%	39%	34%	31%	35%	31%	36%	27%	39%
Polk and surrounding Counties	117	45	39	84	33	21	54	55	56	62	58	44	15
	29%	22%	33%	26%	41%	28%	27%	31%	25%	34%	26%	35%	33%
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT:													
CD1	89	51	25	76	12	16	45	43	39	49	52	26	11
	22%	25%	21%	24%	15%	21%	22%	24%	18%	27%	23%	20%	25%
CD2	95	55	26	81	14	24	53	33	64	31	50	31	14
	24%	27%	22%	25%	17%	32%	26%	19%	29%	17%	22%	24%	30%
CD3	102	39	30	69	33	19	50	49	38	64	50	39	14
	26%	20%	26%	22%	41%	25%	25%	28%	17%	36%	22%	30%	30%
CD4	114	56	36	93	21	17	53	52	79	36	75	32	7
	29%	28%	31%	29%	27%	22%	26%	30%	36%	20%	33%	25%	15%

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
BN. BANNER BY BANNER TABLE: BANNER  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	IMMIGRATION						3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
	TOTAL	CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
TOTAL	400	249	151	194	160	47	154	102	117	145	164	91	225	175	86	314	346
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
GENDER:																	
Men	232	147	85	112	100	21	87	55	77	85	101	46	133	99	44	188	201
	58%	59%	57%	58%	62%	44%	56%	54%	66%	59%	62%	50%	59%	56%	51%	60%	58%
Women	168	103	66	82	60	26	67	47	40	60	63	45	92	76	42	126	145
	42%	41%	43%	42%	38%	56%	44%	46%	34%	41%	38%	50%	41%	44%	49%	40%	42%
POLITICAL PARTY:																	
Strong Republican	222	142	80	106	86	30	87	56	63	93	81	48	127	95	49	173	200
	55%	57%	53%	55%	54%	64%	57%	55%	54%	64%	49%	53%	56%	54%	57%	55%	58%
Less strong Republican	126	74	52	66	51	8	45	32	43	37	54	35	71	54	22	104	107
	31%	30%	34%	34%	32%	17%	29%	32%	36%	25%	33%	38%	32%	31%	25%	33%	31%
Total Republican	348	216	131	173	138	37	132	88	106	129	135	83	198	150	71	276	308
	87%	87%	87%	89%	86%	80%	86%	86%	90%	89%	82%	92%	88%	86%	83%	88%	89%
Independent	39	24	15	15	16	7	16	11	7	10	23	6	19	20	11	28	29
	10%	10%	10%	8%	10%	16%	10%	11%	6%	7%	14%	7%	9%	11%	13%	9%	8%
LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND A REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS:																	
Very likely	232	154	79	108	97	28	80	67	75	87	104	42	149	83	31	202	209
	58%	62%	52%	56%	61%	59%	52%	66%	64%	60%	63%	46%	66%	47%	36%	64%	60%
Somewhat likely	168	96	72	86	63	19	74	35	42	58	61	49	76	92	55	112	137
	42%	38%	48%	44%	39%	41%	48%	34%	36%	40%	37%	54%	34%	53%	64%	36%	40%
CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO:																	
Scott Walker	156	100	56	78	65	12	52	45	47	66	64	26	91	65	29	127	139
	39%	40%	37%	40%	41%	27%	34%	44%	40%	45%	39%	29%	40%	37%	34%	40%	40%
Jeb Bush	80	41	39	56	18	7	40	18	20	35	30	15	46	35	16	64	69
	20%	17%	26%	29%	11%	14%	26%	18%	17%	24%	18%	16%	20%	20%	19%	20%	20%
Marco Rubio	94	66	28	50	36	9	36	33	20	29	48	17	60	34	19	75	82
	23%	26%	19%	26%	22%	19%	23%	32%	17%	20%	29%	18%	27%	19%	22%	24%	24%
Ted Cruz	115	81	34	57	49	8	38	31	41	50	37	28	74	41	23	92	104
	29%	33%	23%	30%	31%	18%	25%	30%	35%	34%	23%	31%	33%	24%	27%	29%	30%
Rand Paul	115	71	44	62	47	7	42	33	33	44	48	22	74	42	14	102	100
	29%	29%	29%	32%	29%	14%	27%	32%	28%	31%	30%	25%	33%	24%	16%	32%	29%
Mike Huckabee	98	64	35	51	43	5	37	28	29	38	41	20	59	39	24	75	89
	25%	26%	23%	26%	27%	10%	24%	28%	25%	26%	25%	22%	26%	22%	28%	24%	26%
Ben Carson	75	50	25	36	31	7	23	17	28	26	32	17	47	28	11	64	67
	19%	20%	16%	19%	19%	16%	15%	16%	23%	18%	19%	19%	21%	16%	13%	20%	19%
AGE:																	
18-34	68	36	32	31	26	10	26	17	19	21	30	18	42	26	11	57	54
	17%	14%	21%	16%	16%	22%	17%	16%	16%	14%	18%	19%	19%	15%	13%	18%	16%

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
BN. BANNER BY BANNER TABLE: BANNER  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	IMMIGRATION						3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
	TOTAL	CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
35-44	62	33	29	33	23	6	21	16	21	25	26	11	49	13	17	45	49
	15%	13%	19%	17%	14%	13%	14%	16%	18%	17%	16%	12%	22%	7%	20%	14%	14%
45-64	170	106	64	85	70	15	75	44	41	65	71	34	81	90	34	136	149
	43%	43%	42%	44%	44%	32%	49%	44%	35%	45%	43%	37%	36%	51%	40%	43%	43%
65+	100	74	26	45	40	15	32	25	36	34	37	29	54	46	24	76	94
	25%	30%	17%	23%	25%	33%	21%	25%	30%	23%	23%	31%	24%	27%	28%	24%	27%
GENDER/AGE:																	
Men 18-44	90	47	43	47	34	9	36	16	32	31	39	20	63	27	19	71	73
	23%	19%	29%	24%	21%	19%	23%	16%	28%	22%	24%	22%	28%	16%	22%	23%	21%
Men 45-64	93	64	30	45	44	5	38	26	26	37	42	14	45	49	18	76	82
	23%	26%	20%	23%	28%	10%	25%	26%	22%	26%	26%	16%	20%	28%	21%	24%	24%
Men 65+	48	36	12	20	21	7	12	13	19	16	21	12	26	22	7	41	46
	12%	14%	8%	10%	13%	15%	8%	13%	16%	11%	13%	13%	11%	13%	8%	13%	13%
Women 18-44	40	22	18	17	15	8	11	17	8	14	17	9	28	12	9	31	29
	10%	9%	12%	9%	9%	17%	7%	16%	7%	10%	10%	9%	13%	7%	10%	10%	9%
Women 45-64	77	42	34	40	26	10	37	18	15	28	29	20	36	41	16	61	67
	19%	17%	23%	21%	17%	22%	24%	18%	13%	19%	18%	22%	16%	23%	19%	19%	19%
Women 65+	52	38	13	25	19	8	20	12	17	18	17	17	28	24	17	35	48
	13%	15%	9%	13%	12%	17%	13%	11%	14%	12%	10%	19%	12%	14%	20%	11%	14%
EDUCATION:																	
Graduated High school	74	52	22	28	29	17	25	20	24	28	23	23	36	38	22	52	66
	19%	21%	15%	14%	18%	37%	17%	20%	21%	19%	14%	25%	16%	22%	26%	17%	19%
Some college/vocational	109	71	37	44	51	14	40	22	37	42	43	24	64	44	29	80	91
	27%	29%	25%	23%	32%	30%	26%	22%	32%	29%	26%	26%	29%	25%	34%	25%	26%
College grad. +	207	119	88	117	76	14	84	57	55	73	93	41	119	88	32	175	183
	52%	48%	59%	60%	48%	31%	54%	56%	47%	51%	56%	45%	53%	50%	37%	56%	53%
GENDER/ EDUCATION:																	
College women	80	47	34	46	30	5	36	24	16	32	31	17	45	35	15	65	69
	20%	19%	22%	23%	19%	10%	23%	24%	14%	22%	19%	19%	20%	20%	17%	21%	20%
Non-college women	84	55	29	33	30	21	29	21	24	28	30	27	44	40	26	58	74
	21%	22%	19%	17%	19%	46%	19%	21%	20%	19%	18%	29%	19%	23%	30%	18%	21%
College men	127	72	55	71	46	10	48	32	39	41	62	24	74	53	17	110	113
	32%	29%	36%	37%	29%	21%	31%	32%	33%	28%	38%	26%	33%	30%	20%	35%	33%
Non-college men	99	68	31	39	50	10	37	21	37	42	36	20	56	42	25	74	84
	25%	27%	20%	20%	31%	21%	24%	21%	32%	29%	22%	22%	25%	24%	29%	23%	24%
POLITICAL IDEOLOGY:																	
Very conservative	201	139	62	90	86	25	66	50	76	92	65	44	119	83	42	159	182
	50%	56%	41%	46%	54%	55%	43%	49%	65%	63%	40%	48%	53%	47%	49%	51%	53%
Somewhat conservative	118	72	46	61	47	11	41	39	30	29	57	32	64	54	28	90	101
	30%	29%	31%	32%	29%	23%	26%	38%	26%	20%	35%	35%	28%	31%	32%	29%	29%
Total conservatives	320	211	109	151	132	36	107	88	106	121	122	76	183	137	70	250	283
	80%	85%	72%	78%	83%	77%	69%	87%	91%	84%	75%	83%	81%	78%	81%	79%	82%



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
BN. BANNER BY BANNER TABLE: BANNER  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	TOTAL	IMMIGRATION					3 WAY			CANDIDATE FOR REFORM			MATCH		VOTER HISTORY		
		CRIT. IMPORT.	LESS IMPORT.	COULD DIS-AGREE	COULD NOT DIS-AGREE	UNSURE	PATH TO CITIZEN	PATH TO LEGAL STATUS	DEPORT	AMNESTY	NOT AMNESTY	UNSURE	YES	NO	FIRST CAUCUS	PAST CAUCUS	2014 PRIM. VOTER
Amnesty	145	99	46	76	55	14	53	29	59	145	-	-	84	61	21	124	124
	36%	40%	30%	39%	35%	29%	35%	28%	51%	100%			37%	35%	24%	40%	36%
Not amnesty	164	92	72	80	67	16	70	55	25	-	164	-	100	64	40	124	141
	41%	37%	48%	41%	42%	35%	45%	54%	22%		100%		44%	37%	47%	39%	41%
Don't know/Unsure	91	58	33	38	37	17	31	18	33	-	-	91	41	50	25	66	81
	23%	23%	22%	19%	23%	35%	20%	18%	28%			100%	18%	29%	29%	21%	23%
MATCH:																	
Yes	225	137	89	115	86	24	91	57	63	84	100	41	225	-	44	181	201
	56%	55%	59%	59%	54%	51%	59%	56%	54%	58%	61%	45%	100%		51%	58%	58%
No	175	113	62	79	73	23	63	45	54	61	64	50	-	175	42	133	145
	44%	45%	41%	41%	46%	49%	41%	44%	46%	42%	39%	55%	100%	49%	42%	42%	
VOTER HISTORY:																	
First caucus	86	50	36	37	39	11	35	21	26	21	40	25	44	42	86	-	63
	22%	20%	24%	19%	24%	24%	23%	20%	22%	14%	24%	28%	20%	24%	100%		18%
Past caucus	314	199	115	157	121	35	119	81	91	124	124	66	181	133	-	314	283
	78%	80%	76%	81%	76%	76%	77%	80%	78%	86%	76%	72%	80%	76%		100%	82%
2014 Primary Voter	346	221	125	165	141	40	126	84	109	124	141	81	201	145	63	283	346
	86%	88%	83%	85%	88%	87%	82%	82%	93%	86%	86%	89%	89%	83%	73%	90%	100%
REGION:																	
Des Moines DMA	165	103	61	82	67	16	62	43	48	57	68	40	98	66	38	127	146
	41%	41%	41%	42%	42%	34%	40%	42%	41%	39%	42%	44%	44%	38%	44%	40%	42%
Cedar Rapids DMA	103	60	44	51	42	10	39	31	26	37	48	18	46	58	13	91	85
	26%	24%	29%	26%	26%	23%	26%	30%	22%	25%	29%	20%	20%	33%	15%	29%	25%
Other DMA	133	87	46	62	50	20	53	28	43	52	48	33	81	51	36	96	115
	33%	35%	30%	32%	31%	44%	34%	28%	37%	36%	29%	36%	36%	29%	42%	31%	33%
Polk and surrounding Counties	117	77	40	58	49	11	44	35	30	36	52	30	72	45	22	95	108
	29%	31%	27%	30%	31%	23%	29%	34%	25%	25%	32%	33%	32%	26%	26%	30%	31%
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT:																	
CD1	89	59	29	37	44	8	34	28	21	31	41	16	40	48	12	77	75
	22%	24%	19%	19%	27%	17%	22%	27%	18%	21%	25%	18%	18%	28%	13%	25%	22%
CD2	95	59	36	42	33	20	32	18	40	36	28	31	37	58	24	71	77
	24%	24%	24%	22%	21%	43%	20%	17%	34%	25%	17%	34%	16%	33%	28%	23%	22%
CD3	102	66	36	55	39	9	38	29	26	40	38	24	63	39	24	78	94
	26%	27%	24%	28%	25%	18%	24%	29%	23%	28%	23%	27%	28%	22%	28%	25%	27%
CD4	114	64	50	60	43	10	51	27	30	38	57	19	84	30	26	88	101
	29%	26%	33%	31%	27%	22%	33%	26%	25%	26%	35%	21%	38%	17%	30%	28%	29%

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
BN. BANNER BY BANNER TABLE: BANNER  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
TOTAL	400	165	103	133	117	89	95	102	114
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
GENDER:									
Men	232	101	58	72	70	50	57	59	66
	58%	62%	57%	55%	59%	56%	60%	57%	58%
Women	168	63	45	60	48	39	38	44	48
	42%	38%	43%	45%	41%	44%	40%	43%	42%
POLITICAL PARTY:									
Strong Republican	222	90	55	78	64	44	54	55	69
	55%	55%	53%	58%	55%	50%	57%	53%	61%
Less strong Republican	126	50	39	36	37	35	29	34	27
	31%	31%	38%	27%	32%	40%	31%	33%	24%
Total Republican	348	140	94	114	102	79	83	89	97
	87%	85%	91%	86%	86%	89%	88%	86%	85%
Independent	39	18	9	13	10	9	10	10	11
	10%	11%	8%	10%	9%	10%	10%	10%	10%
LIKELIHOOD TO ATTEND A REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS:									
Very likely	232	104	58	70	73	51	48	63	70
	58%	63%	56%	53%	63%	58%	51%	61%	61%
Somewhat likely	168	60	45	62	44	37	47	40	44
	42%	37%	44%	47%	37%	42%	49%	39%	39%
CANDIDATES 1ST CHOICE/OPEN TO:									
Scott Walker	156	60	44	52	37	39	29	31	56
	39%	36%	43%	39%	31%	44%	30%	31%	49%
Jeb Bush	80	28	24	29	25	16	18	22	24
	20%	17%	23%	22%	21%	18%	19%	22%	21%
Marco Rubio	94	42	25	26	30	27	12	23	32
	23%	26%	24%	20%	26%	31%	13%	23%	28%
Ted Cruz	115	47	28	40	32	28	27	29	31
	29%	28%	28%	30%	28%	32%	28%	29%	27%
Rand Paul	115	47	36	33	38	33	18	31	33
	29%	28%	35%	25%	32%	37%	19%	30%	29%
Mike Huckabee	98	45	21	32	31	17	19	25	37
	25%	27%	21%	24%	26%	20%	20%	24%	32%
Ben Carson	75	31	21	23	21	20	14	16	25
	19%	19%	20%	18%	18%	23%	15%	16%	22%
AGE:									

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 BN. BANNER BY BANNER TABLE: BANNER  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURREY COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
18-34	68	28	21	19	16	18	22	13	15
	17%	17%	20%	14%	14%	20%	24%	13%	13%
35-44	62	29	15	18	20	12	11	19	20
	15%	18%	15%	14%	17%	14%	12%	19%	18%
45-64	170	69	46	55	53	37	39	44	50
	43%	42%	45%	42%	46%	41%	41%	43%	44%
65+	100	38	21	40	28	22	22	26	29
	25%	23%	21%	30%	24%	25%	23%	25%	26%
GENDER/AGE:									
Men 18-44	90	47	19	24	29	15	30	22	24
	23%	29%	18%	18%	25%	17%	31%	21%	21%
Men 45-64	93	38	29	26	31	24	19	24	26
	23%	23%	28%	20%	26%	27%	20%	24%	23%
Men 65+	48	16	11	21	10	11	9	12	16
	12%	10%	10%	16%	8%	12%	9%	12%	14%
Women 18-44	40	10	17	13	7	15	4	10	11
	10%	6%	16%	9%	6%	16%	4%	10%	10%
Women 45-64	77	31	17	29	23	12	21	20	24
	19%	19%	17%	22%	19%	14%	22%	20%	21%
Women 65+	52	22	11	19	18	12	13	13	13
	13%	14%	10%	14%	15%	13%	14%	13%	12%
EDUCATION:									
Graduated High school	74	25	16	34	18	12	26	16	21
	19%	15%	15%	26%	16%	13%	27%	16%	18%
Some college/vocational	109	34	30	45	19	29	29	18	33
	27%	21%	29%	34%	16%	32%	30%	18%	29%
College grad. +	207	102	53	52	78	44	36	68	58
	52%	62%	51%	39%	66%	50%	38%	67%	51%
GENDER/EDUCATION:									
College women	80	37	18	25	31	14	13	31	23
	20%	22%	18%	19%	27%	16%	14%	30%	20%
Non-college women	84	25	25	34	16	24	23	13	25
	21%	15%	24%	26%	13%	27%	24%	13%	22%
College men	127	65	35	27	46	30	23	38	36
	32%	40%	34%	20%	40%	34%	24%	37%	31%
Non-college men	99	33	21	44	21	17	31	21	29
	25%	20%	20%	34%	18%	19%	33%	20%	26%
POLITICAL IDEOLOGY:									
Very conservative	201	72	54	75	45	51	55	39	56
	50%	44%	53%	56%	38%	58%	58%	38%	49%



BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
BN. BANNER BY BANNER TABLE: BANNER  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
Somewhat conservative	118 30%	51 31%	31 30%	37 28%	39 34%	25 29%	26 28%	30 30%	36 32%
Total conservatives	320 80%	123 75%	85 82%	112 84%	84 72%	76 86%	81 85%	69 68%	93 81%
Moderate/Liberal	81 20%	41 25%	18 18%	21 16%	33 28%	12 14%	14 15%	33 32%	21 19%
TEA PARTY:									
Strong supporter	75 19%	27 16%	19 19%	30 22%	21 18%	16 18%	24 26%	19 18%	17 15%
Total supporter	201 50%	78 47%	56 54%	68 51%	54 46%	45 51%	53 56%	50 49%	53 46%
Not a supporter	177 44%	76 46%	47 45%	55 41%	55 47%	43 48%	33 35%	49 48%	52 46%
EVANGELICAL PROTESTANT:									
Yes	221 55%	91 55%	53 51%	77 58%	56 47%	39 45%	64 68%	38 37%	79 69%
No	179 45%	74 45%	51 49%	55 42%	62 53%	49 55%	31 32%	64 63%	36 31%
FREQUENCY OF ATTENDING SERVICES:									
Weekly	227 57%	84 51%	62 60%	81 61%	58 50%	52 58%	50 53%	50 49%	75 66%
Less than weekly	128 32%	63 38%	31 30%	34 26%	44 38%	26 29%	31 33%	39 38%	32 28%
Rarely/never	45 11%	17 11%	10 10%	18 13%	15 12%	11 13%	14 14%	14 13%	7 6%
IMMIGRATION:									
Critically Important	249 62%	103 63%	60 58%	87 65%	77 66%	59 67%	59 62%	66 65%	64 56%
Less Important	151 38%	61 37%	44 42%	46 35%	40 34%	29 33%	36 38%	36 35%	50 44%
Could disagree	194 48%	82 50%	51 49%	62 47%	58 49%	37 42%	42 44%	55 53%	60 53%
Could NOT disagree	160 40%	67 41%	42 41%	50 38%	49 42%	44 50%	33 35%	39 38%	43 38%
Unsure	47 12%	16 10%	10 10%	20 15%	11 9%	8 9%	20 21%	9 8%	10 9%
3 WAY:									
Path to citizenship.	154 38%	62 37%	39 38%	53 40%	44 38%	34 38%	32 33%	38 37%	51 45%

BURNING GLASS  
IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
APR. 2015  
JOB #16364  
BN. BANNER BY BANNER TABLE: BANNER  
BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

	REGION					CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
Path to legal status	102 25%	43 26%	31 30%	28 21%	35 30%	28 32%	18 19%	29 29%	27 24%
Deport	117 29%	48 29%	26 25%	43 33%	30 25%	21 24%	40 42%	26 26%	30 26%
CANDIDATE FOR REFORM:									
Amnesty	145 36%	57 34%	37 36%	52 39%	36 30%	31 35%	36 38%	40 39%	38 33%
Not amnesty	164 41%	68 42%	48 46%	48 36%	52 44%	41 46%	28 30%	38 37%	57 50%
Don't know/Unsure	91 23%	40 24%	18 18%	33 25%	30 25%	16 19%	31 32%	24 24%	19 17%
MATCH:									
Yes	225 56%	98 60%	46 44%	81 61%	72 62%	40 46%	37 39%	63 62%	84 74%
No	175 44%	66 40%	58 56%	51 39%	45 38%	48 54%	58 61%	39 38%	30 26%
VOTER HISTORY:									
First caucus	86 22%	38 23%	13 12%	36 27%	22 19%	12 13%	24 26%	24 24%	26 23%
Past caucus	314 78%	127 77%	91 88%	96 73%	95 81%	77 87%	71 74%	78 76%	88 77%
2014 Primary Voter	346 86%	146 89%	85 82%	115 87%	108 92%	75 84%	77 81%	94 91%	101 88%
REGION:									
Des Moines DMA	165 41%	165 100%	-	-	117 100%	6 7%	30 32%	83 81%	45 40%
Cedar Rapids DMA	103 26%	-	103 100%	-	-	78 89%	19 20%	-	5 5%
Other DMA	133 33%	-	-	133 100%	-	4 5%	46 48%	19 19%	63 55%
Polk and surrounding Counties	117 29%	117 71%	-	-	117 100%	5 6%	14 14%	79 77%	20 18%
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT:									
CD1	89 22%	6 4%	78 76%	4 3%	5 4%	89 100%	-	-	-
CD2	95 24%	30 18%	19 19%	46 35%	14 12%	-	95 100%	-	-
CD3	102 26%	83 51%	-	19 14%	79 67%	-	-	102 100%	-

BURNING GLASS  
 IA REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAUCUS STUDY  
 APR. 2015  
 JOB #16364  
 BN. BANNER BY BANNER TABLE: BANNER  
 BASE: TOTAL RESPONDENTS

		REGION				CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT			
	TOTAL	DES MOINES DMA	CEDAR RAPIDS DMA	OTHER DMA	POLK & SURR. COUNTIES	CD1	CD2	CD3	CD4
CD4	114	45	5	63	20	-	-	-	114
	29%	28%	5%	48%	17%				100%